

On the well-posedness of the Green-Naghdi system

Vincent Duchêne & Samer Israwi

CNRS & IRMAR, Univ. Rennes 1

Workshop “Recent progress on the qualitative properties of nonlinear dispersive equations and systems”

Vienna, September 21, 2016

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Formulations
- Main result

2 Well-posedness

- Preparation
- Quasi-linearization
- Energy estimates

3 Bathymetry and large time well-posedness

State of the art

Formal derivation

[Serre'53, Su&Gardner'69, Green&Naghdi'76, Miles&Salmon'85...]

[Bonneton&Lannes'09]

Rigorous justification

- Consistency [Lannes'13]
- Well-posedness of WW and GN
- Stability [Iguchi'09, Lannes'13]

Existence and uniqueness of a strong solution of the Cauchy problem, in the Sobolev setting and uniformly with respect to $\mu \ll 1$.

- Water-waves system [Alvarez-Samaniego&Lannes'08, Iguchi'09, Lannes'13]
- $d = 1$ Green-Naghdi system [Li'02, Israwi'11]
- modified $d = 2$ Green-Naghdi system [Israwi'10]
- original $d = 2$ Green-Naghdi system [Alvarez-Samaniego&Lannes'08] using a Nash-Moser iterative scheme.

$\rightsquigarrow U|_{t=0} \in X^{d/2+40+s}$ yields $U \in C([0, T]; X^{d/2+6+s})$, $s > 0$.

Lack of energy estimates

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_\mu[h] \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathcal{T}_\mu[h] (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + h \nabla \zeta \\ \quad + \mu \frac{2}{3} \nabla (h^3 (\partial_1 \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\partial_2 \mathbf{u}^\perp) + h^3 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{GN})$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu[h] \mathbf{u} = h\mathbf{u} - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla (h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}).$$

- By **blue terms**, we control

$$|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2.$$

- **green terms** are controlled by $|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2$.
- **red terms** are controlled by $|\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\mathbf{u}|_{H^{n+1}}^2$.

Lack of energy estimates

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_\mu[h] \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathcal{T}_\mu[h] (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + h \nabla \zeta \\ \quad + \mu \frac{2}{3} \nabla (h^3 (\partial_1 \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\partial_2 \mathbf{u}^\perp) + h^3 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{GN})$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu[h] \mathbf{u} = h\mathbf{u} - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla (h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}).$$

- By **blue terms**, we control

$$|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2.$$

- **green terms** are controlled by $|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2$.
- **red terms** are controlled by $|\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\mathbf{u}|_{H^{n+1}}^2$.

Hamiltonian formulation (1/2)

Zakharov/Craig-Sulem formulation of the water-waves system:

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -Id & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\zeta \mathcal{H} \\ \delta_\psi \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{WW})$$

with $\psi = \phi|_{z=1+\zeta}$ (ϕ is the velocity potential)

$$\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{1+\zeta} |\nabla^\mu \phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi G^\mu[h] \psi.$$

Hamiltonian formulation of the Green-Naghdi system

[Camassa&Holm&Levermore'96, Matsuno'16]

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -Id & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\zeta \mathcal{H}_{\text{GN}} \\ \delta_\psi \mathcal{H}_{\text{GN}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{GN})$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{GN}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^2 - \psi \nabla \cdot (h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} (h \nabla \psi)).$$

Hamiltonian formulation (1/2)

Zakharov/Craig-Sulem formulation of the water-waves system:

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -Id & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\zeta \mathcal{H} \\ \delta_\psi \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{WW})$$

with $\psi = \phi|_{z=1+\zeta}$ (ϕ is the velocity potential)

$$\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{1+\zeta} |\nabla^\mu \phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi G^\mu[h] \psi.$$

Hamiltonian formulation of the Green-Naghdi system

[Camassa&Holm&Levermore'96, Matsuno'16]

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -Id & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\zeta \mathcal{H}_{\text{GN}} \\ \delta_\psi \mathcal{H}_{\text{GN}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{GN})$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{GN}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^2 - \psi \nabla \cdot (h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} (h \nabla \psi)).$$

Hamiltonian formulation (2/2)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_\mu[h](\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}) + h\nabla\zeta = -\mu\frac{2}{3}\nabla(h^3(\partial_1\mathbf{u}) \cdot (\partial_2\mathbf{u}^\perp) + h^3(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathcal{T}_\mu^{-1}(h\nabla\psi)) = 0 \\ \partial_t \psi + \zeta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{T}_\mu^{-1}(h\nabla\psi)|^2 = \mu\left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_\mu^{-1}(h\nabla\psi)}{3h} \cdot \nabla(h^3\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu^{-1}(h\nabla\psi)) + \frac{1}{2}h^2(\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu^{-1}(h\nabla\psi))^2\right) \end{cases}$$

Hamiltonian formulation (2/2)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_\mu[h](\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}) + h\nabla\zeta = -\mu\frac{2}{3}\nabla(h^3(\partial_1 \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\partial_2 \mathbf{u}^\perp) + h^3(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2) \end{cases}$$

$$\Updownarrow \quad h\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h\mathbf{u} - \mu\frac{1}{3}\nabla(h^3\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ (\partial_t + \mathbf{u}^\perp \text{curl})\mathbf{v} + \nabla\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\mathbf{u}|^2) = \mu\nabla\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{3h} \cdot \nabla(h^3\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2}h^2(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2\right) \end{cases}$$

$$\Updownarrow \quad \mathbf{v} = \nabla\psi$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \partial_t \psi + \zeta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 = \mu\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{3h} \cdot \nabla(h^3\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2}h^2(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2\right) \end{cases}$$

Main results

Well-posedness

Let $N \geq 4$ and $\zeta_0 \in H^N$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^N$ be such that

$$h_0 = 1 + \zeta_0 > 0 \quad ; \quad \operatorname{curl} (h_0^{-1} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h_0] \mathbf{u}_0) = 0. \quad (\text{H})$$

Then there exist $T > 0$ and a unique $(\zeta, \mathbf{u}) \in C([0, T]; H^N \times X^N)$ satisfying (H), strong solution to (GN) and $(\zeta, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (\zeta_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$.

Moreover, one can restrict $T^{-1} \lesssim |\zeta_0|_{H^4} + |\mathbf{u}_0|_{X^4}$ and set C such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad |\zeta|_{H^N}(t) + |\mathbf{u}_0|_{X^N}(t) \leq C |\zeta_0|_{H^N} + C |\mathbf{u}_0|_{X^N}.$$

+ continuity of the flow map.

Rigorous justification is a consequence:

For any initial data satisfying the assumptions of [\[Lannes '13\]](#), there exist

- a unique solution to the water-waves system,
- a unique solution to the Green-Naghdi system,

and the difference is of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$ in $C^0([0, T]; H^N \times X^N)$.

- 1 Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Formulations
 - Main result

- 2 Well-posedness
 - Preparation
 - Quasi-linearization
 - Energy estimates

- 3 Bathymetry and large time well-posedness

Preparation

Recall $|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2$ and $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]\mathbf{u} = h\mathbf{u} - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla(h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$.

Invertibility

Let $h \in L^\infty$ be such that $h \geq h_0 > 0$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h] : X^0 \rightarrow (X^0)'$ is linear, bounded, symmetric, coercive. It is a topological isomorphism and

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in (X^0)', \quad |\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}|_{X^0} \leq C(h_0^{-1})|\mathbf{v}|_{(X^0)'}$$

Differentiability

Let $h \in H^3$ such that $h \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\mathbf{v} \in Y^n$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \in X^n$ and

$$|\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}|_{X^n} \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |h|_{H^{3\vee n}})|\mathbf{v}|_{Y^n}$$

Linearization

Let $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\zeta \in H^{3\vee|\alpha|-1}$ such that $1 + \zeta \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\mathbf{v} \in Y^{3\vee|\alpha|-1}$. Then one has

Preparation

Recall $|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2$ and $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]\mathbf{u} = h\mathbf{u} - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla(h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$.

Invertibility

Let $h \in L^\infty$ be such that $h \geq h_0 > 0$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h] : X^0 \rightarrow (X^0)'$ is linear, bounded, symmetric, coercive. It is a topological isomorphism and

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in (X^0)', \quad |\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}|_{X^0} \leq C(h_0^{-1})|\mathbf{v}|_{(X^0)'}$$

Differentiability

Let $h \in H^3$ such that $h \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\mathbf{v} \in Y^n$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \in X^n$ and

$$|\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}|_{X^n} \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |h|_{H^{3\vee n}})|\mathbf{v}|_{Y^n}$$

Linearization

Let $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\zeta \in H^{3\vee|\alpha|-1}$ such that $1 + \zeta \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\mathbf{v} \in Y^{3\vee|\alpha|-1}$. Then one has

Preparation

Recall $|\mathbf{u}|_{X^n}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2 + \mu |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}|_{H^n}^2$ and $\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]\mathbf{u} = h\mathbf{u} - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla(h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$.

Invertibility

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in (X^0)', \quad |\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}|_{X^0} \leq C(h_0^{-1})|\mathbf{v}|_{(X^0)'}$$

Differentiability

$$|\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}|_{X^n} \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |h|_{H^{3\nu n}})|\mathbf{v}|_{Y^n}$$

Linearization

Let $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\zeta \in H^{3\nu|\alpha|-1}$ such that $1 + \zeta \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\mathbf{v} \in Y^{3\nu|\alpha|-1}$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha (\mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v}) - \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\partial^\alpha \mathbf{v} + \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{d_h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h](\partial^\alpha \zeta, \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}\mathbf{v})\}|_{X^0} \\ \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^{3\nu|\alpha|-1}}, |\mathbf{v}|_{Y^{3\nu|\alpha|-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

with $d_h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f\mathbf{u} - \mu \nabla(h^2 f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$.

Quasi-linearization

Differentiate the GN system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \partial_t \psi + \zeta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 = \mu \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{3h} \cdot \nabla (h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 \right) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}(h\nabla\psi)$, and withdraw all zero-th order terms.

At first order in terms of μ , $\mathbf{u} \approx \nabla\psi$ and we find

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \partial^\alpha \zeta + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \partial^\alpha \zeta) + \nabla \cdot (h \partial^\alpha \nabla \psi) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \partial^\alpha \psi + \partial^\alpha \zeta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial^\alpha \nabla \psi = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases}$$

And zero-th order means $r_{(\alpha)}^1 \in L^2$ and $\nabla r_{(\alpha)}^2 \in L^2$.

Energy estimates are obtained by testing against $(\partial^\alpha \zeta, \nabla \cdot (h \partial^\alpha \nabla \psi))^\top$.
 \rightsquigarrow control of $|\partial^\alpha \zeta|_{L^2}^2 + |\partial^\alpha \nabla \psi|_{L^2}^2$.

Quasi-linearization

Differentiate the GN system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \partial_t \psi + \zeta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 = \mu \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{3h} \cdot \nabla (h^3 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 \right) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1}(h\nabla\psi)$, and withdraw all zero-th order terms.

At first order in terms of μ , $\mathbf{u} \approx \nabla\psi$ and we find

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \partial^\alpha \zeta + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \partial^\alpha \zeta) + \nabla \cdot (h \partial^\alpha \nabla \psi) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \partial^\alpha \psi + \partial^\alpha \zeta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial^\alpha \nabla \psi = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases}$$

And zero-th order means $r_{(\alpha)}^1 \in L^2$ and $\nabla r_{(\alpha)}^2 \in L^2$.

Energy estimates are obtained by testing against $(\partial^\alpha \zeta, \nabla \cdot (h \partial^\alpha \nabla \psi))^\top$.
 \rightsquigarrow control of $|\partial^\alpha \zeta|_{L^2}^2 + |\partial^\alpha \nabla \psi|_{L^2}^2$.

Quasi-linearization of the GN system

Let α be a non-zero multi-index and $\zeta \in H^{4\vee|\alpha|}$ be such that $h \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\nabla\psi \in Y^{4\vee|\alpha|}$, satisfying (GN). Denote

$$\zeta_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^\alpha \zeta \quad ; \quad \psi_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^\alpha \psi + \mu w \partial^\alpha \zeta \quad \text{where} \quad w \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

Then $\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}) + \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \psi_{(\alpha)} + \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)} = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\},$$

and $r_{(\alpha)}^1, r_{(\alpha)}^2$ satisfy the estimates

$$|r_{(\alpha)}^1|_{L^2} + |\nabla r_{(\alpha)}^2|_{Y^0} \leq \mathbf{R} \left(|\zeta|_{H^{|\alpha|}} + |\nabla \psi|_{Y^{|\alpha|}} \right)$$

with $\mathbf{R} = C(\mu, h_0^{-1}, |\nabla \zeta|_{H^3}, |\nabla \psi|_{Y^4})$.

Quasi-linearization of the GN system

Let α be a non-zero multi-index and $\zeta \in H^{4V|\alpha|}$ be such that $h \geq h_0 > 0$, and $\nabla\psi \in Y^{4V|\alpha|}$, satisfying (GN). Denote

$$\zeta_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^\alpha \zeta \quad ; \quad \psi_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^\alpha \psi + \mu w \partial^\alpha \zeta \quad \text{where} \quad w \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

Then $\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}) + \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \psi_{(\alpha)} + \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)} = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

Remark: One recovers the quasilinear structure of the water-wave system in [Lannes '13], up to two differences.

- \mathbf{u} replaces $U = (\nabla_X \phi)|_{z=\zeta}$ and μw replaces $(\partial_z \phi)|_{z=\zeta}$;
- There is no Rayleigh-Taylor criterion, $(-\partial_z P)|_{z=\zeta} > 0$, or

$$\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \mu \partial_t w - \mu \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla w > 0.$$

since $\mu(\partial_t w - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla w)\zeta_{(\alpha)} \in L^2 \implies \sqrt{\mu} \nabla(\partial_t w - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla w)\zeta_{(\alpha)} \in Y^0$.

A priori energy estimates

Let $\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}) + \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \psi_{(\alpha)} + \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)} = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\},$$

Test against $(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}))^\top$.

- Remainder terms are zero-th order. **Green terms** compensate.
- **Blue term** is estimated by skew-symmetry:

$$(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}), \zeta_{(\alpha)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|_{L^\infty} |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |\mathbf{u}|_{H^3} |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2.$$

- **Red term** satisfies a similar estimate

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}, \nabla \cdot (h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}))_{L^2} \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\mathbf{u}|_{H^3}) |\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}|_{Y^0}^2.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) \leq \mathbf{C} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)})^{1/2}$$

with $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R} = C(\mu, h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\nabla \psi|_{Y^4})$, and

$$\mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \zeta_{(\alpha)})_{L^2} + (\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\})_{L^2} \approx |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2 + |\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}|_{Y^0}^2.$$

A priori energy estimates

Let $\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}) + \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \psi_{(\alpha)} + \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)} = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\},$$

Test against $(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}))^\top$.

- Remainder terms are zero-th order. **Green terms** compensate.
- **Blue term** is estimated by skew-symmetry:

$$(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}), \zeta_{(\alpha)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|_{L^\infty} |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |\mathbf{u}|_{H^3} |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2.$$

- **Red term** satisfies a similar estimate

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}, \nabla \cdot (h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}))_{L^2} \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\mathbf{u}|_{H^3}) |\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}|_{Y^0}^2.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) \leq \mathbf{C} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)})^{1/2}$$

with $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R} = C(\mu, h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\nabla \psi|_{Y^4})$, and

$$\mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \zeta_{(\alpha)})_{L^2} + (\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\})_{L^2} \approx |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2 + |\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}|_{Y^0}^2.$$

A priori energy estimates

Let $\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}) + \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}) = r_{(\alpha)}^1 \\ \partial_t \psi_{(\alpha)} + \zeta_{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)} = r_{(\alpha)}^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\},$$

Test against $(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_{(\alpha)}))^\top$.

- Remainder terms are zero-th order. **Green terms** compensate.
- **Blue term** is estimated by skew-symmetry:

$$(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \zeta_{(\alpha)}), \zeta_{(\alpha)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|_{L^\infty} |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |\mathbf{u}|_{H^3} |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2.$$

- **Red term** satisfies a similar estimate

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}, \nabla \cdot (h \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}))_{L^2} \leq C(h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\mathbf{u}|_{H^3}) |\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}|_{Y^0}^2.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) \leq \mathbf{C} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)})^{1/2}$$

with $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R} = C(\mu, h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\nabla \psi|_{Y^4})$, and

$$\mathcal{E}(\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \psi_{(\alpha)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\zeta_{(\alpha)}, \zeta_{(\alpha)})_{L^2} + (\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{T}_\mu[h]^{-1} \{h \nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}\})_{L^2} \approx |\zeta_{(\alpha)}|_{L^2}^2 + |\nabla \psi_{(\alpha)}|_{Y^0}^2.$$

Well-posedness

We have the uniform *a priori* energy estimates

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\zeta(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)) \leq \mathbf{C} \mathcal{E}(\zeta(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)) + \mathbf{R} \mathcal{E}(\zeta(\alpha), \psi(\alpha))^{1/2}$$

with $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R} = C(\mu, h_0^{-1}, |\zeta|_{H^4}, |\nabla\psi|_{Y^4})$; and, for any $N \geq 4$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N \mathcal{E}(\zeta(\alpha), \psi(\alpha)) \approx |\zeta|_{H^N}^2 + |\nabla\psi|_{Y^N}^2.$$

Well-posedness

Let $N \geq 4$ and $\zeta_0 \in H^N$ be such that $h_0 = 1 + \zeta_0 > 0$ and $\nabla\psi_0 \in Y^N$. Then there exist $T > 0$ and a unique $(\zeta, \nabla\psi) \in C([0, T]; H^N \times Y^N)$, strong solution to (GN) and $(\zeta, \psi)|_{t=0} = (\zeta_0, \psi_0)$.

Moreover, one can restrict $T^{-1} \lesssim |\zeta_0|_{H^4} + |\nabla\psi_0|_{Y^4}$ and set C such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad |\zeta|_{H^N}(t) + |\nabla\psi|_{Y^N}(t) \leq C |\zeta_0|_{Y^N} + C |\nabla\psi_0|_{Y^N}.$$

+ continuity of the flow map.

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Formulations
- Main result

2 Well-posedness

- Preparation
- Quasi-linearization
- Energy estimates

3 Bathymetry and large time well-posedness

Well-posedness with non-trivial bathymetry

Let $N \geq 4$ and $\zeta_0 \in H^N$, $b \in \dot{H}^{N+2}$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^N$ be such that

$$h_0 = 1 + \zeta_0 > 0 \quad ; \quad \operatorname{curl} (h_0^{-1} \mathcal{T}_\mu[h_0, b] \mathbf{u}_0) = 0. \quad (\text{H})$$

Then there exist $T > 0$ and a unique $(\zeta, \mathbf{u}) \in C([0, T]; H^N \times X^N)$ satisfying (H), strong solution to (GN) and $(\zeta, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (\zeta_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$. Moreover, one can restrict $T^{-1} \lesssim |\zeta_0|_{H^4} + |\mathbf{u}_0|_{X^4} + |\nabla b|_{H^{N+1}}$ and set C such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad |\zeta|_{H^N}(t) + |\mathbf{u}|_{X^N}(t) \leq C |\zeta_0|_{H^N} + C |\mathbf{u}_0|_{X^N}.$$

+ continuity of the flow map.

Problem: Large time behavior of small data: we would like

$$|\zeta_0|_{H^4} + |\mathbf{u}_0|_{X^4} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

Formally, we obtain the *Great Lake equations* [Camassa&Holm&Levermore '96],

$$\nabla \cdot ((1 + b)\mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad + \quad \text{evolution equation on } \mathbf{u}, \text{ involving a "pressure"}$$

whose unique solution [Oliver et al. '97] is zero(!) \rightsquigarrow only acoustic component.

Saint-Venant system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \cdot ((1 + \epsilon \zeta - b) \mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \zeta + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{SV})$$

Large time well-posedness [Bresch&Métivier '10]

- Use *time derivatives*: $\zeta_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\epsilon \partial_t)^N \zeta$, $\mathbf{u}_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\epsilon \partial_t)^N \mathbf{u}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_N + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}_N) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \zeta_N = \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}_N + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \zeta_N + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_N = \mathcal{O}(1) \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

- Use the equation to deduce space-control from time-control:

$$|\zeta|_{H^N}^2 + |\mathbf{u}|_{H^N}^2 \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N |(\epsilon \partial_t)^n \zeta|_{L^2}^2 + |(\epsilon \partial_t)^n \mathbf{u}|_{L^2}^2.$$

Note: we could use directly a differential operator:

$$\zeta_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla \cdot (1 - b) \nabla)^N \zeta, \quad \mathbf{u}_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla (1 - b) \nabla \cdot)^N \mathbf{u}$$

Water-waves and Green-Naghdi systems

Water-waves system

The technique based on time derivatives works up to technical difficulties [Mésognon-Gireau '16].

One could “in principle” [Mélinand&Mésognon-Gireau] use the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $\frac{1}{\mu} G^\mu[0, b]$ as “space derivatives”

$$\frac{1}{\mu} G^\mu[0, 0] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} |D| \tanh(\sqrt{\mu} |D|)$$

is of order one.

Green-Naghdi system

No luck with the corresponding operator, $\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu[1 - b, b]^{-1} \nabla$, because

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu[1, 0]^{-1} \nabla = \nabla \cdot \left(1 - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla \nabla \cdot\right)^{-1} \nabla$$

is of order zero.

Water-waves and Green-Naghdi systems

Water-waves system

The technique based on time derivatives works up to technical difficulties [Mésognon-Gireau '16].

One could “in principle” [Mélinand&Mésognon-Gireau] use the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $\frac{1}{\mu} G^\mu[0, b]$ as “space derivatives”

$$\frac{1}{\mu} G^\mu[0, 0] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} |D| \tanh(\sqrt{\mu} |D|)$$

is of order one.

Green-Naghdi system

No luck with the corresponding operator, $\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu[1 - b, b]^{-1} \nabla$, because

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu[1, 0]^{-1} \nabla = \nabla \cdot \left(1 - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla \nabla \cdot\right)^{-1} \nabla$$

is of order zero.

Green-Naghdi system

No luck with the corresponding operator, $\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu[1 - b, b]^{-1} \nabla$, because

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{T}_\mu[1, 0]^{-1} \nabla = \nabla \cdot (1 - \mu \frac{1}{3} \nabla \nabla \cdot)^{-1} \nabla$$

is of order zero.

Possible ideas:

- Change the model by adding (small) higher order terms on ζ
[Mésognon-Gireau'16]
↪ but it breaks the structure
- Lower the order of the operators through Fourier multipliers
[D&Israwi&Talhouk'16]
↪ unsuitable for numerical simulations
- Work with well-prepared initial data
↪ inconsistent with non-dimensionalization

Thank you for your attention