

# A semisimple mod $p$ Langlands correspondence in families for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Cédric PEPIN and Tobias SCHMIDT

September 20, 2020

## Abstract

This is the sequel to [PS]. Let  $F$  be any local field with residue characteristic  $p > 0$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)}$  be the mod  $p$  pro- $p$ -Iwahori Hecke algebra of  $\mathbf{GL}_2(F)$ . In [PS] we have constructed a parametrization of the  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)}$ -modules by certain  $\widehat{\mathbf{GL}}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ -Satake parameters, together with an antispherical family of  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)}$ -modules. Here we let  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  (and  $p \geq 5$ ) and construct a morphism from  $\widehat{\mathbf{GL}}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ -Satake parameters to  $\widehat{\mathbf{GL}}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ -Langlands parameters. As a result, we get a version in families of Breuil's semisimple mod  $p$  Langlands correspondence for  $\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and of Paškūnas' parametrization of blocks of the category of mod  $p$  locally admissible smooth representations of  $\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  having a central character. The formulation of these results is possible thanks to the Emerton-Gee moduli space of semisimple  $\widehat{\mathbf{GL}}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ -representations of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

## Contents

<b>8</b>	<b>The theory at <math>\mathfrak{q} = q = 0</math>: Semisimple Langlands correspondence</b>	<b>3</b>
8.1	Mod $p$ Satake parameters with fixed central character	3
8.2	Mod $p$ Langlands parameters with fixed determinant for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$	6
8.3	A semisimple mod $p$ Langlands correspondence in families for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$	7
8.4	The morphism $L_\zeta$ in the basic even case	13
8.5	The morphism $L_\zeta$ in the basic odd case	17
8.6	A mod $p$ Langlands parametrization in families for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$	21

## Introduction

Let  $F$  be a local field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We let  $\mathbf{G}$  be the algebraic group  $\mathbf{GL}_2$  over  $F$  with diagonal torus  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$ . Set  $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$  be the pro- $p$ -Iwahori Hecke algebra of  $G$ , with coefficients in an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  be the Langlands dual group of  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ , with maximal torus  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}$ . In this sequel to [PS], we continue to work at  $\mathfrak{q} = q = 0$ . That is, we consider the special fibre at  $\mathfrak{q} = 0$  of the Vinberg fibration  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbb{A}^1$  associated to  $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}$  followed by base change to  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ . This yields the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -semigroup scheme

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0} := \text{SingDiag}_{2 \times 2} \times_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

where  $\text{SingDiag}_{2 \times 2}$  represents the semigroup of singular diagonal  $2 \times 2$ -matrices over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ , cf. [PS, 7.1]. Let  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$  be the finite abelian dual group of  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and consider the extended semigroup

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)} := \mathbb{T}^\vee \times V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}.$$

It has a natural  $W_0$ -action. In [PS, 7.2.2] we established the mod  $p$  pro- $p$ -Iwahori Satake isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)} : Z(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)$$

identifying the center  $Z(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$  with the ring of regular functions on the quotient  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$ . The resulting Satake equivalence  $S$  identifies the category of  $Z(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)})$ -modules with the category of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ -Satake parameters, i.e. the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$ , cf. [PS, 7.3.2].

We also constructed the mod  $p$  antispherical module  $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$ , cf. [PS, 7.4.1]. This is a distinguished  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$ -action on the maximal commutative subring  $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$ . The sheaf  $S(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)})$ , when specialized at closed points of  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$ , gives rise to a dual parametrization of *all* irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules in terms of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ -Satake parameters [PS, 7.4.9/7.4.15].

In this sequel to [PS] we construct, in the case  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $p \geq 5$ , a morphism  $L$  from the space of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ -Satake parameters to the space of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ -Langlands parameters, and prove that the push-forward  $L_*S(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{(1)})$  interpolates the semisimple mod  $p$  local Langlands correspondence  $\rho \mapsto \pi(\rho)$  for the group  $G$ .

To be more precise, let  $\zeta : Z(G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$  be a central character of  $G$ . There is a natural fibration  $\theta : V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0 \rightarrow Z(G)^\vee$  where  $Z(G)^\vee$  is the group scheme of characters of  $Z(G)$ , and we put

$$(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta := \theta^{-1}(\zeta).$$

We let from now on  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . As a space of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ -Langlands parameters, we may then consider the Emerton-Gee moduli curve  $X_\zeta$ , cf. [Em19], parametrizing (isomorphism classes of) two-dimensional semisimple continuous Galois representations over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with determinant  $\omega\zeta$ :

$$X_\zeta(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \cong \{ \text{semisimple continuous } \rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \text{ with } \det \rho = \omega\zeta \} / \sim.$$

Here  $\omega$  is the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character. The curve  $X_\zeta$  is expected to be the underlying scheme of a ringed moduli space for the stack of étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules  $\mathcal{X}_2^{\det=\omega\zeta}$  appearing in [EG19] (see also [CEGS19]). At the moment, it is unclear how to define a replacement for  $X_\zeta$  when  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a non trivial finite extension, and this is the reason why we have to restrict to the case  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  (and  $p \geq 5$ ) in our construction of the morphism  $L$ . Our main result is the following (cf. Theorem 8.3.9).

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . There exists a morphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -schemes*

$$L_\zeta : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \longrightarrow X_\zeta$$

such that the quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X_\zeta}$ -module

$$L_{\zeta*}S(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)})|_{(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta},$$

equal to the push-forward along  $L_\zeta$  of the restriction to  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  of the Satake parameter  $S(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)})$ , interpolates the  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants of the semisimple mod  $p$  Langlands correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\zeta(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[G]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)}) \\ x & \longmapsto & \pi(\rho_x) & \longmapsto & \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}, \end{array}$$

in the sense: for all  $x \in X_\zeta(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ , one has an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)}$ -modules

$$\left( (L_{\zeta*}S(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)})|_{(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\zeta}} k(x) \right)^{\text{ss}} = \left( \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)} \otimes_{Z(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)})} (\mathcal{S}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{(1)})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{L_\zeta^{-1}(x)}) \right)^{\text{ss}} \cong \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}.$$

Here,  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[G])$  denotes the category of locally admissible smooth  $G$ -representations over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  with central character  $\zeta$ . The group  $I^{(1)} \subset G$  is the standard pro- $p$  Iwahori subgroup and  $(\cdot)^{I^{(1)}}$  denotes the functor of  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants.

As a byproduct of our constructions, we also obtain a version in families of Paškūnas' parametrization of the blocks of the category  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[G])$ , cf. [Pas13]. See 8.6.3 for the precise statement.

## 8 The theory at $\mathfrak{q} = q = 0$ : Semisimple Langlands correspondence

We keep the notation from the introduction. In particular,  $F$  denotes a local field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (we switch to  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  starting from 8.2). We also let  $k := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ .

### 8.1 Mod $p$ Satake parameters with fixed central character

**8.1.1.** Let  $\omega : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  be induced by the inclusion  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset k$ . Then  $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee = \langle \omega \rangle$  is a cyclic group of order  $q - 1$ . An element  $\omega^r$  defines a non-regular character of  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\omega^r(t_1, t_2) := \omega^r(t_1)\omega^r(t_2)$$

for all  $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . Composing with multiplication in  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$ , we get an action of  $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee$  on  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$ , which factors on the quotient set  $\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ :

$$\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0 \times (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0, (\gamma, \omega^r) \mapsto \gamma\omega^r.$$

If  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$  is regular (non-regular), then  $\gamma\omega^r$  is regular (non-regular).

**8.1.2.** Restricting characters of  $\mathbb{T}$  to the subgroup  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \simeq \{\text{diag}(a, a) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}$  induces a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}^\vee \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee$  which factors into a restriction map

$$\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee, \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}.$$

The relation to the  $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee$ -action on the source  $\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$  is given by the formula

$$(\gamma\omega^r)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega^{2r}.$$

We describe the fibers of the restriction map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ .

Let  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}^{-1}(\omega^{2r})$  be the fibre at a square element  $\omega^{2r}$ . By the above formula, the action of  $\omega^{-r}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$  induces a bijection with the fibre  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}^{-1}(1)$ . The fibre

$$(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}^{-1}(1) = \{1 \otimes 1\} \coprod \{\omega \otimes \omega^{-1}, \omega^2 \otimes \omega^{-2}, \dots, \omega^{\frac{q-3}{2}} \otimes \omega^{-\frac{q-3}{2}}\} \coprod \{\omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \otimes \omega^{-\frac{q-1}{2}}\}$$

has cardinality  $\frac{q+1}{2}$  and, in the above list, we have chosen a representative in  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$  for each element in the fibre. The  $\frac{q-3}{2}$  elements in the middle of this list, i.e. the  $W_0$ -orbits represented by the characters  $\omega^r \otimes \omega^{-r}$  for  $r = 1, \dots, \frac{q-3}{2}$ , are all regular  $W_0$ -orbits. The two orbits at the two ends of the list are non-regular orbits (note that  $\frac{q-1}{2} \equiv -\frac{q-1}{2} \pmod{q-1}$ ). Since the action of  $\omega^{-r}$  preserves regular (non-regular) orbits, any fibre at a square element (there are  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  such fibres) has the same structure.

On the other hand, let  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}^{-1}(\omega^{2r-1})$  be the fibre at a non-square element  $\omega^{2r-1}$ . The action of  $\omega^{-r}$  induces a bijection with the fibre  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}^{-1}(\omega^{-1})$ . The fibre

$$(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}^{-1}(\omega^{-1}) = \{1 \otimes \omega^{-1}, \omega \otimes \omega^{-2}, \dots, \omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}-1} \otimes \omega^{-\frac{q-1}{2}}\}$$

has cardinality  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  and we have chosen a representative in  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$  for each element in the fibre. All elements of the fibre are regular  $W_0$ -orbits. Since the action of  $\omega^{-r}$  preserves regular (non-regular) orbits, any fibre at a non-square element (there are  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  such fibres) has the same structure.

Note that  $\frac{q-1}{2}(\frac{q+1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}) = \frac{q^2-q}{2}$  is the cardinality of the set  $\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ .

**8.1.3.** Recall the commutative  $k$ -semigroup scheme

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)} = \mathbb{T}^\vee \times V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0} = \mathbb{T}^\vee \times \text{SingDiag}_{2 \times 2} \times \mathbb{G}_m$$

together with its  $W_0$ -action, cf. [PS, 6.2.15]: the natural action of  $W_0$  on the factors  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$  and  $\text{SingDiag}_{2 \times 2}$  and the trivial one on  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . There is a commuting action of the  $k$ -group scheme

$$\mathcal{Z}^\vee := (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$$

on  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}$ : the (constant finite diagonalizable) group  $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee$  acts only on the factor  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$  and in the way described in 8.1.1; an element  $z_0 \in \mathbb{G}_m$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$ , by multiplication with the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(z_0, z_0)$  on  $\text{SingDiag}_{2 \times 2}$  and by multiplication with the square  $z_0^2$  on  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . Therefore the quotient  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  inherits a  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee$ -action. Now, according to [PS, 7.4.7], one has the decomposition

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0 = \coprod_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0)_{\text{reg}}} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0} \coprod_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0)_{\text{non-reg}}} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}/W_0.$$

Then the  $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee$ -action is by permutations on the index set  $\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ , i.e. on the set of connected components of  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$ ; as observed above, it preserves the subsets of regular and non-regular components. The  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  preserves each connected component.

**8.1.4.** Recall from [PS, 7.4.7] the antispherical map

$$\text{ASph} : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)(k) \longrightarrow \{\text{left } \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}\text{-modules}\} / \sim.$$

The modules in the image of this map are standard modules of length 1 or 2, cf. [PS, 7.4.9] and [PS, 7.4.15].

Let  $(\omega^r, z_0) \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ . Then recall that the standard  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules and their simple constituents may be ‘twisted by the character  $(\omega^r, z_0)$ ’: in the regular case, the actions of  $X, Y, U^2$  get multiplied by  $z_0, z_0, z_0^2$  respectively and the component  $\gamma$  gets multiplied by  $\omega^r$ , cf. [V04, 2.4]; in the non-regular case, the action of  $U$  gets multiplied by  $z_0$ , the action of  $S$  remains unchanged and the component  $\gamma$  gets multiplied by  $\omega^r$ , cf. [V04, 1.6]. This gives an action of the group of  $k$ -points of  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee$  on the standard  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules and their simple constituents.

**8.1.5. Lemma.** *The map ASph is  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\omega^r, z_0) \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ . Let  $v \in (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)(k)$  and let its connected component be indexed by  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ . Suppose that  $\gamma$  is regular, choose an ordering  $\gamma = (\chi, \chi^s)$  on the set  $\gamma$  and standard coordinates. Then  $\text{ASph}(v) = \text{ASph}^\gamma(v)$  is a simple two-dimensional standard  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -module, cf. [PS, 7.4.9], i.e. of the form  $M(x, y, z_2, \chi)$  [V04, 3.2]. Then

$$\text{ASph}(v.(\omega^r, z_0)) \simeq M(z_0x, z_0y, z_0^2z_2, \chi.\omega^r) \simeq \text{ASph}(v).(\omega^r, z_0).$$

Suppose that  $\gamma = \{\chi\}$  is non-regular and choose Steinberg coordinates. (a) If  $v \in D(2)_\gamma(k)$ , then  $\text{ASph}(v) = \text{ASph}^\gamma(2)(v)$  is a simple two-dimensional  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -module, cf. [PS, 7.4.15], i.e. of the form  $M(z_1, z_2, \chi)$  [V04, 3.2]. Then

$$\text{ASph}(v.(\omega^r, z_0)) \simeq M(z_0z_1, z_0^2z_2, \chi.\omega^r) \simeq \text{ASph}(v).(\omega^r, z_0).$$

(b) If  $v \in D(1)_\gamma(k)$ , then the semisimplified module  $\text{ASph}(v)^{\text{ss}}$  is the direct sum of the two characters in the antispherical pair  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(1)(v) = \{(0, z_1), (-1, -z_1)\}$  where  $z_2 = z_1^2$ . Similarly  $\text{ASph}(v.(\omega^r, z_0))^{\text{ss}}$  is the direct sum of the characters  $\{(0, z_0z_1), (-1, -z_0z_1)\}$  in the component  $\gamma.\omega^r$ , and hence is isomorphic to  $\text{ASph}(v)^{\text{ss}}.(\omega^r, z_0)$ .  $\square$

**8.1.6.** The two canonical projections from  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}$  to  $\mathbb{T}^\vee$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m$  respectively induce two projection morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0 & \\ \text{pr}_{\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0} \swarrow & & \searrow \text{pr}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \\ \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0 & & \mathbb{G}_m. \end{array}$$

Then we may compose the map  $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0}$  with the restriction map  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} : \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee$ , set

$$\theta := \left( (\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \circ \text{pr}_{\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0} \right) \times \text{pr}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$$

and view  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  as fibered over the space  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0 \\ \downarrow \theta \\ \mathcal{Z}^\vee. \end{array}$$

The relation to the  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee$ -action on the source  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  is given by the formula

$$\theta(x.(\omega^r, z_0)) = \theta(x)(\omega^{2r}, z_0^2) = \theta(x)(\omega^r, z_0)^2$$

for  $x \in V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  and  $(\omega^r, z_0) \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee$ . This formula follows from the formula in 8.1.2 and the definition of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action in 8.1.3.

**8.1.7. Definition.** Let  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee$ . The space of mod  $p$  Satake parameters with central character  $\zeta$  is the  $k$ -scheme

$$(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta := \theta^{-1}(\zeta).$$

**8.1.8.** Let  $\zeta = (\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, z_2) \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k) = (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee \times k^\times$ . Denote by  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_{z_2}$  the fibre of  $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$  at  $z_2 \in k^\times$ . Then by [PS, 7.4.7] we have

$$(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta = \coprod_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0)_{\text{reg}}, \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0,z_2} \coprod_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0)_{\text{non-reg}}, \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0,z_2}/W_0.$$

Recall that the choice of standard coordinates  $x, y$  identifies

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0,z_2} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$$

with two affine lines over  $k$ , intersecting at the origin, cf. [PS, 7.4.8]. On the other hand, the choice of the Steinberg coordinate  $z_1$  identifies

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0,z_2}/W_0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$$

with a single affine line over  $k$ , cf. [PS, 7.4.10].

**8.1.9. Lemma.** Let  $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee$ . The action of  $\eta$  on  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  induces an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \simeq (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_{\zeta\eta^2}$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the last formula in 8.1.6. □

## 8.2 Mod $p$ Langlands parameters with fixed determinant for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$

**8.2.1. Notation.** In this section, we let  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . We fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  and let  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be the absolute Galois group. We normalize local class field theory  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)^{\text{ab}}$  by sending  $p$  to a geometric Frobenius. In this way, we identify the  $k$ -valued smooth characters of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Finally,  $\omega : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  denotes the extension of the character  $\omega : \mathbb{F}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  to  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  satisfying  $\omega(p) = 1$ , and  $\text{unr}(x) : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  denotes the character trivial on  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  and sending  $p$  to  $x$ .

**8.2.2.** Let  $\zeta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  be a character. Recall from [Em19] that the *Emerton-Gee moduli curve with character  $\zeta$*  is a certain projective curve  $X_\zeta$  over  $k$  whose points parametrize (isomorphism classes of) two-dimensional semisimple continuous Galois representations over  $k$  with determinant  $\omega\zeta$ :

$$X_\zeta(k) \cong \{ \text{semisimple continuous } \rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(k) \text{ with } \det \rho = \omega\zeta \} / \sim.$$

The curve  $X_\zeta$  is a chain of projective lines over  $k$  of length  $\frac{p \pm 1}{2}$ , whose irreducible components intersect at ordinary double points. The sign  $\pm 1$  is equal to  $-\zeta(-1)$ . We refer to  $\zeta$  in the case  $-\zeta(-1) = -1$  resp.  $-\zeta(-1) = +1$  as an *even character* resp. *odd character*. There is a finite set of closed points  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}} \subset X_\zeta$  which correspond to the classes of irreducible representations. Its open complement  $X_\zeta^{\text{red}} = X_\zeta \setminus X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  parametrizes the reducible representations (i.e. direct sums of characters). Let  $\eta : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow k^\times$  be a character. Since  $\det(\rho \otimes \eta) = (\det \rho)\eta^2$ , twisting representations with  $\eta$  induces an isomorphism

$$(\cdot) \otimes \eta : X_\zeta \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{\zeta\eta^2}.$$

Hence one is reduced to consider only two ‘basic’ cases: the even case where  $\zeta(p) = 1$  and  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = 1$  and the odd case where  $\zeta(p) = 1$  and  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^{-1}$ . Indeed, if  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^r$  for some even  $r$ , then choosing  $\eta$  with  $\eta(p)^2 = \zeta(p)^{-1}$  and  $\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^{-\frac{r}{2}}$ , one finds that  $(\zeta\eta^2)(p) = 1$  and  $(\zeta\eta^2)|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = 1$ ; if  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^r$  for some odd  $r$ , then choosing  $\eta$  with  $\eta(p)^2 = \zeta(p)^{-1}$  and  $\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^{-\frac{r+1}{2}}$ , one finds that  $(\zeta\eta^2)(p) = 1$  and  $(\zeta\eta^2)|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^{-1}$ .

**8.2.3.** We make explicit some structure elements of  $X_\zeta$  in the even case  $\zeta(p) = 1$  and  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = 1$ . Every irreducible component of  $X_\zeta$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and there are  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  components. They are labelled by pairs of Serre weights of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Sym}^0 & \text{Sym}^{p-3} \otimes \det \\ \text{Sym}^2 \otimes \det^{-1} & \text{Sym}^{p-5} \otimes \det^2 \\ \text{Sym}^4 \otimes \det^{-2} & \text{Sym}^{p-7} \otimes \det^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{Sym}^{p-3} \otimes \det^{\frac{p+1}{2}} & \text{Sym}^0 \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \end{array}$$

The component with label ” $\text{Sym}^0 \mid \text{Sym}^{p-3} \otimes \det$ ” intersects the next component at the point of  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  parametrizing the irreducible Galois representation whose associated Serre weights are  $\{\text{Sym}^2 \otimes \det^{-1}, \text{Sym}^{p-3} \otimes \det\}$ . The component with label ” $\text{Sym}^2 \otimes \det^{-1} \mid \text{Sym}^{p-5} \otimes \det^2$ ” intersects the next component at the point of  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  parametrizing the irreducible Galois representation whose associated Serre weights are  $\{\text{Sym}^4 \otimes \det^{-2}, \text{Sym}^{p-5} \otimes \det^2\}$ . Continuing in this way, one finds  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  points of  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$ , which correspond to the  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  double points of the chain  $X_\zeta$ . There are two more points in  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$ : they are smooth points, each one lies on one of the two ‘exterior’ components and corresponds there to the irreducible Galois representation whose associated Serre weights are  $\{\text{Sym}^0, \text{Sym}^{p-1}\}$  and  $\{\text{Sym}^0 \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \text{Sym}^{p-1} \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$  respectively. So  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  has cardinality  $\frac{p+1}{2}$ . Suppose we are on one of the two exterior components  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . There is a canonical affine coordinate  $z_1$  on the open complement of the double point, identifying this open complement with  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . We call the four points where  $z_1 = \pm 1$  *the four exceptional points* of  $X_\zeta$ .

**8.2.4.** We make explicit some structure elements of  $X_\zeta$  in the odd case  $\zeta(p) = 1$  and  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^{-1}$ . Every irreducible component of  $X_\zeta$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and there are  $\frac{p+1}{2}$  components. They are labelled by pairs of Serre weights of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Sym}^{p-2} & \text{” Sym}^{-1} \text{”} \\ \text{Sym}^{p-4} \otimes \det & \text{Sym}^1 \otimes \det^{-1} \\ \text{Sym}^{p-6} \otimes \det^2 & \text{Sym}^3 \otimes \det^{-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{Sym}^1 \otimes \det^{\frac{p-3}{2}} & \text{Sym}^{p-4} \otimes \det^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \\ \text{” Sym}^{-1} \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \text{”} & \text{Sym}^{p-2} \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}. \end{array}$$

The component with label ” Sym<sup>p-2</sup> | ” Sym<sup>-1</sup> ” intersects the next component at the point of  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  parametrizing the irreducible Galois representation whose associated Serre weights are  $\{\text{Sym}^1 \otimes \det^{-1}, \text{Sym}^{p-2}\}$ . The component with label ” Sym<sup>p-4</sup> ⊗ det | Sym<sup>1</sup> ⊗ det<sup>-1</sup> ” intersects the next component at the point of  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  parametrizing the irreducible Galois representation whose associated Serre weights are  $\{\text{Sym}^3 \otimes \det^{-2}, \text{Sym}^{p-4} \otimes \det\}$ . Continuing in this way, one finds  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  points of  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$ , which correspond to the  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  double points of the chain  $X_\zeta$ . There are no more points in  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  and  $X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}$  has cardinality  $\frac{p-1}{2}$ . Suppose we are on one of the two exterior components  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . There is a canonical affine coordinate  $t$  on the open complement of the double point, identifying this open complement with  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . We call the four points where  $t = \pm 2$  *the four exceptional points* of  $X_\zeta$ .<sup>1</sup>

**8.2.5. Definition.** *The category of quasi-coherent modules on the Emerton-Gee moduli curve  $X_\zeta$  will be called the category of mod  $p$  Langlands parameters with determinant  $\omega_\zeta$ , and denoted by  $\text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathcal{G}}, 0, \omega_\zeta}$ :*

$$\text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathcal{G}}, 0, \omega_\zeta} := \text{QCoh}(X_\zeta).$$

### 8.3 A semisimple mod $p$ Langlands correspondence in families for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$

**8.3.1.** Let us consider  $W$  to be a subgroup of  $G$ , by sending  $s$  to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and by identifying the group  $\Lambda$  with a subgroup of  $T$  via  $(1, 0) \mapsto \text{diag}(\varpi^{-1}, 1)$  and  $(0, 1) \mapsto \text{diag}(1, \varpi^{-1})$ . We obtain for example (recall that  $u = (1, 0)s \in W$ )

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad us = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad su = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover,  $u^2 = \text{diag}(\varpi^{-1}, \varpi^{-1})$ .<sup>2</sup> Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & \varpi^{-1}c \\ \varpi b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

the element  $u \in G$  normalizes the group  $I^{(1)}$ .

**8.3.2.** Let  $\text{Mod}^{\text{sm}}(k[G])$  be the category of smooth  $G$ -representations over  $k$ . Taking  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants yields a functor  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{I^{(1)}}$  from  $\text{Mod}^{\text{sm}}(k[G])$  to the category  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)})$ . If  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , it induces a bijection between the irreducible  $G$ -representations and the irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ -modules, under which supersingular representations correspond to supersingular Hecke modules [V04].

<sup>1</sup>The Galois representations living on the two exterior components in the odd case are *unramified* (up to twist), i.e. of type  $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \text{unr}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$  and  $t$  equals the ‘trace of Frobenius’  $x + x^{-1}$ . Hence  $t = \pm 2$  if and only if  $x = \pm 1$ .

<sup>2</sup>Note that our element  $u$  equals the element  $u^{-1}$  in [Be11],[Br07] and [V04].

For future reference, let us recall the  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants for some classes of representations. If  $\pi = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi)$  is a principal series representation with  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ , then  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  is a standard module in the component  $\gamma := \{\chi|_{\mathbb{T}}, \chi^s|_{\mathbb{T}}\}$ .

In the regular case, one chooses the ordering  $(\chi|_{\mathbb{T}}, \chi^s|_{\mathbb{T}})$  on the set  $\gamma$  and standard coordinates  $x, y$ . Then

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi)^{I^{(1)}} = M(0, \chi(su), \chi(u^2), \chi|_{\mathbb{T}}) = M(0, \chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi|_{\mathbb{T}})$$

In the non-regular case, one has

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi)^{I^{(1)}} = M(\chi(su), \chi(u^2), \chi|_{\mathbb{T}}) = M(\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi|_{\mathbb{T}}).$$

These standard modules are irreducible if and only if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  [V04, 4.2/4.3].<sup>3</sup>

Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If  $\pi = \pi(r, 0, \eta)$  is a standard supersingular representation with parameter  $r = 0, \dots, p-1$  and central character  $\eta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ , then  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  is a supersingular module in the component  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$  represented by the character  $\chi := (\omega^r \otimes 1) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})$ , cf. [Br07, 5.1/5.3]. If  $\pi$  is the trivial representation  $\mathbb{1}$  or the Steinberg representation  $\text{St}$ , then  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  is the character  $(0, 1)$  or  $(-1, -1)$  respectively.

**8.3.3.** Let  $\pi \in \text{Mod}^{\text{sm}}(k[G])$ . Since  $u \in G$  normalizes the group  $I^{(1)}$ , one has  $I^{(1)}uI^{(1)} = uI^{(1)}$ . It follows that the convolution action of the Hecke operator  $U$  (resp.  $U^2$ ) on  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  is therefore induced by the action of  $u$  (resp.  $u^2$  on  $\pi$ ). Similarly, the group  $I^{(1)}$  is normalized by the Iwahori subgroup  $I$  and  $I/I^{(1)} \simeq \mathbb{T}$ . It follows that the convolution action of the operators  $T_t, t \in \mathbb{T}$  on  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  is the factorization of the  $\mathbf{T}(o_F)$ -action on  $\pi$ .

**8.3.4.** We identify  $F^\times$  with the center  $Z(G)$  via  $a \mapsto \text{diag}(a, a)$ . A (smooth) character

$$\zeta : Z(G) = F^\times \longrightarrow k^\times$$

is determined by its value  $\zeta(\varpi^{-1}) \in k^\times$  and its restriction  $\zeta|_{o_F^\times}$ . Since the latter is trivial on the subgroup  $1 + \varpi o_F$ , we may view it as a character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ ; we will write  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$  for this restriction in the following. Thus the group of characters of  $Z(G)$  gets identified with the group of  $k$ -points of the group scheme  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee = (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ :

$$Z(G)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k), \quad \zeta \mapsto (\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \zeta(\varpi^{-1})).$$

**8.3.5. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $\pi \in \text{Mod}^{\text{sm}}(k[G])$  has a central character  $\zeta : Z(G) \rightarrow k^\times$ . Then the Satake parameter  $S(\pi^{I^{(1)}})$  of  $\pi^{I^{(1)}} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)})$  has central character  $\zeta$ , i.e. it is supported on the closed subscheme*

$$(V_{\mathbf{T}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_{(\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \zeta(\varpi^{-1}))} \subset V_{\mathbf{T}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0.$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  is any  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -module, then

$$M = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0} \varepsilon_\gamma M = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \gamma} \varepsilon_\lambda M,$$

and  $\mathbb{T} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[\mathbb{T}] \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$  acts on  $\varepsilon_\lambda M$  through the character  $\lambda : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . Now if  $M = \pi^{I^{(1)}}$ , then the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action on  $M$  is the factorization of the  $\mathbf{T}(o_F)$ -action on  $\pi$ , cf. 8.3.3. In particular, the restriction of the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action along the diagonal inclusion  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \subset \mathbb{T}$  is the factorization of the action of the central subgroup  $o_F^\times \subset Z(G)$  on  $\pi$ , which is given by  $\zeta|_{o_F^\times}$  by assumption. Hence

$$\varepsilon_\gamma M \neq 0 \implies \forall \lambda \in \gamma, \lambda|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \text{ i.e. } \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}.$$

<sup>3</sup>Our formulas differ from [V04, 4.2/4.3] by  $\chi(\cdot) \leftrightarrow \chi(\cdot)^{-1}$ , since we are working with left modules; also compare with the explicit calculation with right convolution given in [V04, Appendix A.5].

Moreover, the element  $u^2 = \text{diag}(\varpi^{-1}, \varpi^{-1}) \in Z(G)$  acts on  $\pi$  by multiplication by  $\zeta(\varpi^{-1})$  by assumption. Therefore, by 8.3.3, the Hecke operator  $z_2 := U^2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$  acts on  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  by multiplication by  $\zeta(\varpi^{-1})$ . Thus we have obtained that  $S(\pi^{I^{(1)}})$  is supported on

$$\coprod_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0)_{\text{reg}}, \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, \zeta(\varpi^{-1})} \quad \coprod_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0)_{\text{non-reg}}, \gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, \zeta(\varpi^{-1})}/W_0 = (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_{(\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \zeta(\varpi^{-1}))}.$$

□

Next, recall the twisting action of the group  $\mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$  on the standard  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules and their simple constituents 8.1.4.

**8.3.6. Proposition.** *Let  $\pi \in \text{Mod}^{\text{ladm}}(k[G])$  be irreducible or a reducible principal series representation. Let  $\eta : F^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  be a character. Then*

$$(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \pi^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi^{-1}))$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules.

*Proof.* An irreducible locally admissible representation, being a finitely generated  $k[G]$ -module, is admissible [Em10, 2.2.19]. A principal series representation (irreducible or not) is always admissible [Em10, 4.1.7]. The list of irreducible admissible smooth  $G$ -representations is given in [H11b, Thm. 1.1]. There are four families: principal series representations, supersingular representations, characters and twists of the Steinberg representation.

We first suppose that  $\pi$  is a principal series representation (irreducible or not), i.e. of the form  $\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi)$  with a character  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ . Then  $\pi \otimes \eta \simeq \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \eta \otimes \chi_2 \eta)$ . We use the results from 8.3.2. The modules  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  and  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}}$  are standard modules in the components  $\gamma := \{\chi|_{\mathbb{T}}, \chi^s|_{\mathbb{T}}\}$  and  $\gamma(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})$  respectively. Suppose that  $\gamma$  is regular. We choose the ordering  $(\chi|_{\mathbb{T}}, \chi^s|_{\mathbb{T}})$  and standard coordinates  $x, y$ . Then

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi)^{I^{(1)}} = M(0, \chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi|_{\mathbb{T}})$$

and

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \eta \otimes \chi_2 \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = M(0, \chi_2(\varpi^{-1})\eta(\varpi^{-1}), \chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1})\eta(\varpi^{-2}), (\chi|_{\mathbb{T}}) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})).$$

This shows  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \pi^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi^{-1}))$  in the regular case. Suppose that  $\gamma$  is non-regular. Then

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi)^{I^{(1)}} = M(\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}), \chi|_{\mathbb{T}})$$

and

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \eta \otimes \chi_2 \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = M(\chi_2(\varpi^{-1})\eta(\varpi^{-1}), \chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1})\eta(\varpi^{-2}), (\chi|_{\mathbb{T}}) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})).$$

This shows  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \pi^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi^{-1}))$  in the non-regular case.

We now treat the case where  $\pi$  is a character or a twist of the Steinberg representation. Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(1) \rightarrow \text{St} \rightarrow 1.$$

According to [V04, 4.4] the sequence of invariants

$$(S) : 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{1}^{I^{(1)}} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(1)^{I^{(1)}} \rightarrow \text{St}^{I^{(1)}} \rightarrow 1$$

is still exact and  $\mathbb{1}^{I^{(1)}}$  resp.  $\text{St}^{I^{(1)}}$  is the trivial character  $(0, 1)$  resp. sign character  $(-1, -1)$  in the Iwahori component  $\gamma = 1$ . Tensoring the first exact sequence with  $\eta$  produces the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G(1) \otimes \eta \rightarrow \text{St} \otimes \eta \rightarrow 1.$$

Since the restriction  $\eta|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}$  is trivial on  $1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}_F$ , one has  $(\eta \circ \det)|_{I^{(1)}} = 1$  and so, as a sequence of  $k$ -vector spaces with  $k$ -linear maps, the sequence of invariants

$$1 \rightarrow \eta^{I^{(1)}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(1) \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{St} \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} \rightarrow 1$$

coincides with the sequence  $(S)$ . It is therefore an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules, with outer terms being characters of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ . From the discussion above, we deduce

$$(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(1) \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(1)^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi)^{-1}) = M(\eta(\varpi^{-1}), \eta(\varpi^{-2}), 1, (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})).$$

It follows then from [V04, 1.1] that  $\eta^{I^{(1)}}$  must be the trivial character  $(0, \eta(\varpi^{-1}))$  in the component  $1, (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})$  and  $(\mathrm{St} \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}}$  must be the sign character  $(-1, -\eta(\varpi^{-1}))$  in the component  $1, (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})$ . This implies

$$\eta^{I^{(1)}} = \mathbb{1}^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi)^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{St} \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \mathrm{St}^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi)^{-1}).$$

This proves the claim in the cases  $\pi = \mathbb{1}$  or  $\pi = \mathrm{St}$ . If, more generally,  $\pi = \eta'$  is a general character of  $G$ , then

$$(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = (\eta' \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \mathbb{1}^{I^{(1)}} \cdot ((\eta' \eta)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, (\eta' \eta)(\varpi)^{-1}) = \pi^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi)^{-1}).$$

On the other hand, if  $\pi = \mathrm{St} \otimes \eta'$  is a twist of Steinberg, then

$$(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = (\mathrm{St} \otimes (\eta' \eta))^{I^{(1)}} = \mathrm{St}^{I^{(1)}} \cdot ((\eta' \eta)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, (\eta' \eta)(\varpi)^{-1}) = \pi^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi)^{-1}).$$

It remains to treat the case where  $\pi$  is a supersingular representation. In this case  $\pi \otimes \eta$  is also supersingular and the two modules  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  and  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}}$  are supersingular  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules [V04, 4.9]. Let  $\gamma$  be the component of the module  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$ . By 8.3.3, the component of  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}}$  equals  $\gamma(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times})$ . Moreover, if  $U^2$  acts on  $\pi^{I^{(1)}}$  via the scalar  $z_2 \in k^\times$ , then  $U^2$  acts on  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}}$  via  $z_2(\eta \circ \det)(u^2) = z_2 \eta(\varpi)^{-2}$ , cf. 8.3.3. Since the supersingular modules are uniquely characterized by their component and their  $U^2$ -action, we obtain  $(\pi \otimes \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = \pi^{I^{(1)}} \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \eta(\varpi)^{-1})$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**8.3.7.** Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . We let  $\mathrm{Mod}_\zeta^{\mathrm{ladm}}(k[G])$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}(k[G])$  consisting of locally admissible representations having central character  $\zeta$ . By work of Paškūnas [Pas13], the blocks  $b$  of the category  $\mathrm{Mod}_\zeta^{\mathrm{ladm}}(k[G])$ , defined as certain equivalence classes of simple objects, can be parametrized by the set of isomorphism classes  $[\rho]$  of semisimple continuous Galois representations  $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(k)$  having determinant  $\det \rho = \omega \zeta$ , i.e. by the  $k$ -points of  $X_\zeta$ . There are three types of blocks. Blocks of type 1 are supersingular blocks. Each such block contains only one irreducible  $G$ -representation, which is supersingular. Blocks of type 2 contain only two irreducible representations. These two representations are two generic principal series representations of the form  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \omega^{-1})$  and  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \omega^{-1})$  (where  $\chi_1 \chi_2 \neq 1, \omega^{\pm 1}$ ). There are four blocks of type 3 which correspond to the four exceptional points. In the even case, each such block contains only three irreducible representations. These representations are of the form  $\eta, \mathrm{St} \otimes \eta$  and  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\omega \otimes \omega^{-1}) \otimes \eta$ . In the odd case, each block of type 3 contains only one irreducible representation. It is of the form  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\chi \otimes \chi \omega^{-1})$ .

**8.3.8.** Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . Paškūnas' parametrization  $[\rho] \mapsto b_{[\rho]}$  is compatible with Breuil's semisimple mod  $p$  local Langlands correspondence

$$\rho \mapsto \pi(\rho)$$

for the group  $G$  [Br07, Be11], in the sense that if  $\rho$  has determinant  $\omega \zeta$ , then the simple constituents of the  $G$ -representation  $\pi(\rho)$  lie in the block  $b_{[\rho]}$  of  $\mathrm{Mod}_\zeta^{\mathrm{ladm}}(k[G])$ .

The correspondence and the parametrizations (for varying  $\zeta$ ) commute with twists: for a character  $\eta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ ,  $\pi(\rho \otimes \eta) = \pi(\rho) \otimes \eta$  and  $b_{[\rho]} \otimes \eta = b_{[\rho \otimes \eta]}$ .

**8.3.9. Theorem.** *Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . Fix a character  $\zeta : Z(G) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ , corresponding to a point  $(\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, \zeta(p^{-1})) \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$  under the identification  $\mathcal{Z}(G)^\vee \cong \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$  from 8.3.4. Let  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  be the space of mod  $p$  Satake parameters with central character  $\zeta$  and  $X_\zeta$  be the moduli space of mod  $p$  Langlands parameters with determinant  $\omega\zeta$ .*

*There exists a morphism of  $k$ -schemes*

$$L_\zeta : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \longrightarrow X_\zeta$$

*such that the quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X_\zeta}$ -module*

$$L_{\zeta*} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})|_{(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta}$$

*equal to the push-forward along  $L_\zeta$  of the restriction to  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0$  of the Satake parameter of the mod  $p$  antispherical module  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$  interpolates the  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants of the semisimple mod  $p$  Langlands correspondence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\zeta(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(k[G]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}) \\ x & \longmapsto & \pi(\rho_x) & \longmapsto & \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}, \end{array}$$

*in the sense that for all  $x \in X_\zeta(k)$ ,*

$$\left( (L_{\zeta*} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})|_{(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\zeta}} k(x) \right)^{\text{ss}} = \left( \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)} \otimes_{Z(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})} (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{L_\zeta^{-1}(x)}) \right)^{\text{ss}} \cong \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}$$

*in  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})$ .*

**8.3.10.** The connected components of  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  are either regular and then of type  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ , or non-regular and then of type  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . The morphism  $L_\zeta$  appearing in the theorem depends on the choice of an order of the two affine lines in each regular component. It is surjective and quasi-finite. Moreover, writing  $L_\zeta^\gamma$  for its restriction to the connected component  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^\gamma/W_0)_\zeta \subset (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$ , one has:

- (e) *Even case.* All connected components are of type  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ , except for the two ‘exterior’ components which are of type  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .  $L_\zeta^\gamma$  is an open immersion for any  $\gamma$ .
- (o) *Odd case.* All connected components are of type  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ .  $L_\zeta$  is an open immersion on all connected components, except for the two ‘exterior’ ones. On an ‘exterior’ component  $\gamma$ , the restriction of  $L_\zeta^\gamma$  to one irreducible component  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is an open immersion, and its restriction to the open complement  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is a degree 2 finite flat covering of its image, with branched locus equal to the intersection of this image with the exceptional locus of  $X_\zeta$ .

**8.3.11.** Note that the semisimple mod  $p$  Langlands correspondence associates with any semisimple  $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(k)$  a semisimple smooth  $G$ -representation  $\pi(\rho)$  of length 1, 2 or 3, hence whose semisimple  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ -module of  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants  $\pi(\rho)^{I^{(1)}}$  has length 1, 2 or 3. On the other hand, the antispherical map

$$\text{ASph} : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)(k) \longrightarrow \{\text{left } \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}\text{-modules}\}$$

has an image consisting of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{(1)}$ -modules of length 1 or 2, cf. [PS, 7.4.9] and [PS, 7.4.15]. Theorem 8.3.9 combined with the properties 8.3.10 of the morphism  $L_\zeta$  provide the following case-by-case elucidation of the  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ -modules  $\pi(\rho)^{I^{(1)}}$ .

**8.3.12. Corollary.** *Let  $x \in X_\zeta(k)$ , corresponding to  $\rho_x : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(k)$ . Then the  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ -module  $\pi(\rho)^{I^{(1)}}$  admits the following explicit description.*

(i) If  $x \in X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}(k)$ , then the fibre  $L_\zeta^{-1}(x) = \{v\}$  has cardinality 1 and

$$\pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}(v).$$

It is irreducible and supersingular.

(ii) If  $x \in X_\zeta^{\text{red}}(k) \setminus \{\text{the four exceptional points}\}$ , then  $L_\zeta^{-1}(x) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  has cardinality 2 and

$$\pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}(v_1) \oplus \text{ASph}(v_2).$$

It has length 2.

(iii) If  $x \in X_\zeta^{\text{red}}(k)$  is exceptional in the even case, then  $L_\zeta^{-1}(x) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  has cardinality 2 and

$$\pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}(v_1)^{\text{ss}} \oplus \text{ASph}(v_2).$$

It has length 3.

(iiio) If  $x \in X_\zeta^{\text{red}}(k)$  is exceptional in the odd case, then  $L_\zeta^{-1}(x) = \{v\}$  has cardinality 1 and

$$\pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}(v) \oplus \text{ASph}(v).$$

It has length 2.

**8.3.13.** Now we proceed to the proof of 8.3.9, 8.3.10 and 8.3.12.

We start by defining the morphism  $L_\zeta$  at the level of  $k$ -points. Let  $v \in (V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k)$  and let its connected component be indexed by  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ .

1. Suppose that  $\gamma$  is regular. Then  $\text{ASph}(v) = \text{ASph}^\gamma(v)$  is a simple two-dimensional  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -module, cf. [PS, 7.4.9]. Let  $\pi \in \text{Mod}^{\text{sm}}(k[G])$  be the simple module, unique up to isomorphism, such that  $\pi^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}^\gamma(v)$ , cf. 8.3.2. Then  $\pi \in \text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(k[G])$  with

$$\zeta = (\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, \zeta(p^{-1})) = (\gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, z_2)$$

by 8.3.5. Let  $b$  be the block of  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(k[G])$  which contains  $\pi$ . We define  $L_\zeta(v)$  to be the point of  $X_\zeta(k)$  which corresponds to  $b$ .

2. Suppose that  $\gamma$  is non-regular.

(a) If  $v \in D(2)_\gamma(k)$ , then  $\text{ASph}(v) = \text{ASph}^\gamma(2)(v)$  is a simple two-dimensional  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -module, cf. [PS, 7.4.15]. As in the regular case, there is a simple module  $\pi$ , unique up to isomorphism, such that  $\pi^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}^\gamma(2)(v)$ . It has central character  $\zeta = (\gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, z_2)$  and there is a block  $b$  of  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(k[G])$  which contains  $\pi$ . We define  $L_\zeta(v)$  to be the point of  $X_\zeta(k)$  which corresponds to  $b$ .

(b) If  $v \in D(1)_\gamma(k)$ , then  $\text{ASph}(v)^{\text{ss}}$  is the direct sum of the two characters forming the antispherical pair  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(1)(v) = \{(0, z_1), (-1, -z_1)\}$  where  $z_2 = z_1^2$ , cf. [PS, 7.4.15]. As in the regular case, there are two simple modules  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , unique up to isomorphism, such that  $\pi_1^{I^{(1)}} \simeq (0, z_1)$  and  $\pi_2^{I^{(1)}} \simeq (-1, -z_1)$  and  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  have central character  $\zeta = (\gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, z_2)$ . Moreover, we claim that there is a unique block  $b$  of  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(k[G])$  which contains both  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . Indeed, if  $\gamma = \{1 \otimes 1\}$  and  $z_1 = 1$ , then  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{1}$  and  $\pi_2 = \text{St}$ , cf. 8.3.2. Then by 8.3.6 it follows more generally that if  $\gamma = \{\omega^r \otimes \omega^r\}$ , then  $\pi_1 = \eta$  and  $\pi_2 = \text{St} \otimes \eta$  with  $\eta = (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, \eta(p^{-1})) := (\omega^r, z_1)$ . Consequently  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are contained in a unique block  $b$  of type 3, cf. 8.3.7. We define  $L_\zeta(v)$  to be the point of  $X_\zeta(k)$  which corresponds to  $b$ .

Thus we have a well-defined map of sets  $L_\zeta : (V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k) \longrightarrow X_\zeta(k)$ .

We show property (i) of 8.3.12. Let  $x \in X_\zeta^{\text{irred}}(k)$  and suppose  $L_\zeta(v) = x$ . Then  $b_x$  is a supersingular block, contains a unique irreducible representation  $\pi$ , which is supersingular, and  $\pi = \pi(\rho_x)$ , cf. 8.3.7-8.3.8. By definition of  $L_\zeta$ , one has  $\text{ASph}(v) \simeq \pi^{I^{(1)}}$ . Since the antispherical

map  $\text{ASph}$  is 1 : 1 over supersingular modules, cf. [PS, 7.4.9] and [PS, 7.4.15], such a preimage  $v$  of  $x$  exists and is uniquely determined by  $x$ . Summarizing, we have  $L_\zeta^{-1}(x) = \{v\}$  and  $\text{ASph}(v) \simeq \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}$ . This is property (i).

As a next step, we take a second character  $\eta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  and show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k) & \xrightarrow{L_\zeta} & X_\zeta(k) \\ \cdot\eta \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow (\cdot)\otimes\eta \\ (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_{\zeta\eta^2}(k) & \xrightarrow{L_{\zeta\eta^2}} & X_{\zeta\eta^2}(k) \end{array}$$

commutes. Here, the vertical arrows are the bijections coming from 8.1.9 and 8.2.2. To verify the commutativity, let  $v \in (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k)$  and let its connected component be indexed by  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ . Suppose that  $\gamma$  is regular or that  $\gamma$  is non-regular with  $v \in D(2)_\gamma(k)$ . Let  $\pi$  be the simple  $G$ -module with  $\pi^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}(v)$  and let  $b_{[\rho]}$  be the block corresponding to the point  $L_\zeta(v)$ . By the equivariance property 8.1.5, one has  $\text{ASph}(v.\eta) \simeq \text{ASph}(v).\eta$ . Taking  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants is compatible with twist, cf. 8.3.6, and so  $L_{\zeta\eta^2}(v.\eta)$  corresponds to the block which contains the representation  $\pi \otimes \eta$ , i.e. to  $b_{[\rho]} \otimes \eta = b_{[\rho \otimes \eta]}$ , cf. 8.3.8, and so  $L_{\zeta\eta^2}(v.\eta) = [\rho \otimes \eta] = L_\zeta(v).\eta$ .

If  $v \in D(1)_\gamma(k)$ , let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be the simple modules such that  $(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2)^{I^{(1)}} \simeq \text{ASph}^\gamma(v)^{\text{ss}}$ . As before, we conclude from  $\text{ASph}(v.\eta)^{\text{ss}} \simeq \text{ASph}(v)^{\text{ss}} \otimes \eta$  that  $L_{\zeta\eta^2}(v.\eta)$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1 \otimes \eta$  and  $\pi_2 \otimes \eta$  and that  $L_{\zeta\eta^2}(v.\eta) = L_\zeta(v).\eta$ . The commutativity of the diagram is proved.

Thus, we are reduced to prove that the map  $L_\zeta$  comes from a morphism of  $k$ -schemes satisfying 8.3.9 and the remaining parts of 8.3.12 in the two basic cases of a character  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta(p^{-1}) = 1$  and  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} \in \{1, \omega^{-1}\}$ . This is established in the next two subsections.

## 8.4 The morphism $L_\zeta$ in the basic even case

Let  $\zeta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  be the trivial character. Here we show that the map of sets  $L_\zeta : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k) \rightarrow X_\zeta(k)$  that we have defined in 8.3.13 satisfies properties (ii) and (iii) of 8.3.12, and we define a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $L_\zeta : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \rightarrow X_\zeta$  which coincides with the previous map of sets at the level of  $k$ -points. By construction, it will have the properties 8.3.10. This will complete the proof of 8.3.12, 8.3.10 and 8.3.9 in the case of an even character.

**8.4.1.** We verify the properties (ii) and (iii). We work over an irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with label "  $\text{Sym}^r \otimes \det^a \mid \text{Sym}^{p-3-r} \otimes \det^{r+1+a}$  " where  $0 \leq r \leq p-3$  and  $0 \leq a \leq p-2$ , cf. 8.2.3. On this component, we choose an affine coordinate  $x$  around the double point having  $\text{Sym}^r \otimes \det^a$  as one of its Serre weights. Away from this point, we have  $x \neq 0$  and the corresponding Galois representation has the form

$$\rho_x = \begin{pmatrix} \text{unr}(x)\omega^{r+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta = \omega^a$ . By [Be11, 1.3] or [Br07, 4.11], we have

$$\pi(\rho_x) = \pi(r, x, \eta)^{\text{ss}} \oplus \pi([p-3-r], x^{-1}, \omega^{r+1}\eta)^{\text{ss}} =: \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$$

where  $[p-3-r]$  denotes the unique integer in  $\{0, \dots, p-2\}$  which is congruent to  $p-3-r$  modulo  $p-1$ . Now suppose that  $L_\zeta(v) = x$ . We distinguish two cases.

1. *The generic case*  $0 < r < p-3$ . In this case, the point  $x$  lies on one of the ‘interior’ components of the chain  $X_\zeta$ , which has no exceptional points. The length of  $\pi(\rho_x)$  is 2. Indeed,  $\pi_1 = \pi(r, x, \eta)$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi(p-3-r, x^{-1}, \omega^{r+1}\eta)$  are two irreducible principal series representations [Br07, Thm. 4.4]. The block  $b_x$  is of type 2 and contains only these two irreducible representations, cf. 8.3.7-8.3.8. We may write

$$\pi_1 = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\chi = \text{unr}(x) \otimes \omega^r \text{unr}(x^{-1})$ , according to [Br07, Rem. 4.4(ii)]. By our assumptions on  $r$ , the character  $\chi|_{\mathbb{T}} = 1 \otimes \omega^r$  is regular (i.e. different from its  $s$ -conjugate). We conclude from 8.3.6 and 8.3.2 that  $\pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$  is a simple 2-dimensional standard module in the regular component represented by the character  $(1 \otimes \omega^r) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^r \in \mathbb{T}^\vee$ . Similarly, we may write

$$\pi_2 = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \omega^{r+1} \eta$$

where now  $\chi = \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \otimes \omega^{p-3-r} \text{unr}(x)$ . By our assumptions on  $r$ , the character  $\chi|_{\mathbb{T}} = 1 \otimes \omega^{p-3-r}$  is regular and we conclude, as above, that the  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants  $\pi_2^{I^{(1)}}$  form a simple 2-dimensional standard module in the regular component represented by the character  $(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{r+1} \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{r+1} \omega^{p-3-r} \in \mathbb{T}^\vee$ . Note that the component of  $\pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$  is different from the component of  $\pi_2^{I^{(1)}}$ , by our assumptions on  $r$ .

We conclude from  $L_\zeta(v) = x$  that either  $\text{ASph}(v) = \pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$  or  $\text{ASph}(v) = \pi_2^{I^{(1)}}$ . Since for  $\gamma$  regular, the map  $\text{ASph}^\gamma$  is a bijection onto all simple  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -modules, cf. [PS, 7.4.9], one finds that  $L_\zeta^{-1}(x) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  has cardinality 2 and

$$\text{ASph}(v_1) \oplus \text{ASph}(v_2) \simeq \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}.$$

This settles property (ii) of 8.3.12 in the generic case.

2. *The boundary cases*  $r \in \{0, p-3\}$ . In this case, the point  $x$  lies on one of the two ‘exterior’ components of  $X_\zeta$ . On such a component, we will denote the variable  $x$  rather by  $z_1$ , which is the notation<sup>4</sup> which we used already in 8.2.3.

(a) Suppose that  $z_1 \neq \pm 1$ . The length of  $\pi(\rho_{z_1})$  is 2. Indeed, as in the generic case,  $\pi_1 = \pi(r, z_1, \eta)$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi(p-3-r, z_1^{-1}, \omega^{r+1} \eta)$  are two irreducible principal series representations. The block  $b_{z_1}$  is of type 2 and contains only these two irreducible representations. It follows, as above, that their invariants  $\pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$  and  $\pi_2^{I^{(1)}}$  are simple 2-dimensional standard modules, in the components represented by  $(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^r \in \mathbb{T}^\vee$  and  $(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{r+1} \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{r+1} \omega^{p-3-r} \in \mathbb{T}^\vee$  respectively. Since  $r \in \{0, p-3\}$ , one of these components is regular, the other non-regular. In particular, the two components are different. We conclude from  $L_\zeta(v) = z_1$  that either  $\text{ASph}(v) = \pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$  or  $\text{ASph}(v) = \pi_2^{I^{(1)}}$ . Since for non-regular  $\gamma$ , the map  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(2)$  is a bijection from  $D(2)_\gamma(k)$  onto all simple standard  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -modules, cf. [PS, 7.4.15], we may conclude as in the generic case:  $L_\zeta^{-1}(z_1) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  has cardinality 2 and

$$\text{ASph}(v_1) \oplus \text{ASph}(v_2) \simeq \pi(\rho_{z_1})^{I^{(1)}}.$$

This settles property 8.3.12 (ii) in the remaining case  $z_1 \neq \pm 1$ .

(b) Suppose now that  $z_1 = \pm 1$ , i.e. we are at one of the four exceptional points. We will verify property (iii). The length of  $\pi(\rho_{z_1})$  is 3. Indeed, the representation  $\pi(0, \pm 1, \eta)$  is a twist of the representation  $\pi(0, 1, 1)$  (note that  $\pi(r, z_1, \eta) \simeq \pi(r, -z_1, \text{unr}(-1)\eta)$  according to [Br07, Rem. 4.4(v)]), which itself is an extension of  $\mathbb{1}$  by  $\text{St}$ , cf. [Br07, Thm. 4.4(iii)]. As in the case (a), the representation  $\pi_2 = \pi(p-3, \pm 1, \omega\eta)$  is an irreducible principal series representation. The block  $b_{z_1}$  is of type 3 and contains only these three irreducible representations. The invariants  $\pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$  form a direct sum of two antispherical characters in a non-regular component  $\gamma$ , whereas the invariants  $\pi_2^{I^{(1)}}$  form a simple standard module in a regular component, as before. Since for non-regular  $\gamma$ , the map  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(1)$  is a bijection from  $D(1)_\gamma(k)$  onto all antispherical pairs of characters of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ , cf. [PS, 7.4.15], we may conclude that  $L_\zeta^{-1}(z_1) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  has cardinality 2 with  $v_1 \in D(1)_\gamma(k)$  and  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(1)(v_1)^{\text{ss}} = \pi_1^{I^{(1)}}$ . In particular,

$$\text{ASph}(v_1)^{\text{ss}} \oplus \text{ASph}(v_2) \simeq \pi(\rho_x)^{I^{(1)}}.$$

This settles property 8.3.12 (iii).

<sup>4</sup>The reason for this notation will become clear in the discussion of the non-regular case in 8.4.2.

**8.4.2.** We define a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $L_\zeta : (V_{\hat{\mathbb{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \rightarrow X_\zeta$  which coincides on  $k$ -points with the map of sets  $L_\zeta : (V_{\hat{\mathbb{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k) \rightarrow X_\zeta(k)$ . We work over a connected component of  $(V_{\hat{\mathbb{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$ , indexed by some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ . Let  $v$  be a  $k$ -point of this component.

Since  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = 1$ , the connected components of  $(V_{\hat{\mathbb{T}},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  are indexed by the fibre  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}^{-1}(1)$ . This fibre consists of the  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  regular components, represented by the characters of  $\mathbb{T}$

$$\chi_k = \omega^k \otimes \omega^{-k}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}$ , and of the two non-regular components, given by  $\chi_0$  and  $\chi_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ , cf. 8.1.2. We distinguish two cases. Note that  $z_2 = \zeta(p^{-1}) = 1$ .

1. *The regular case*  $0 < k < \frac{p-1}{2}$ . We fix the order  $\gamma = (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)$  on the set  $\gamma$  and choose the standard coordinates  $x, y$ . According to [PS, 7.4.8], our regular connected component identifies with two affine lines intersecting at the origin:

$$V_{\hat{\mathbb{T}},0,1} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Suppose that  $v = (0, 0)$  is the origin, so that  $\text{ASph}(v)$  is a supersingular module. Let  $\pi(r, 0, \eta)$  be the corresponding supersingular representation. It corresponds to the irreducible Galois representation  $\rho(r, \eta) = \text{ind}(\omega_2^{r+1}) \otimes \eta$ , in the notation of [Be11, 1.3], whence  $L_\zeta(v) = [\rho(r, \eta)]$ . According to 8.3.2, the component of the Hecke module  $\pi(r, 0, \eta)^{I^{(1)}}$  is given by  $(\omega^r \otimes 1) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times})$ . Setting  $\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^a$ , this implies  $(\omega^r \otimes 1) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^{r+a} \otimes \omega^a = \chi_k$  and hence  $a = -k$  and  $r = 2k$ . Therefore the Serre weights of the irreducible representation  $\rho(r, \eta)$  are  $\{\text{Sym}^{2k} \otimes \det^{-k}, \text{Sym}^{p-1-2k} \otimes \det^k\}$ , cf. [Br07, 1.9].

Comparing these pairs of Serre weights with the list 8.2.3 shows that the  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  points

$$\{\text{origin } (0, 0) \text{ on the component } (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)\}$$

for  $0 < k < \frac{p-1}{2}$  are mapped successively to the  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  double points of the chain  $X_\zeta$ .

Fix  $0 < k < \frac{p-1}{2}$  and consider the double point

$$Q = L_\zeta(\text{origin } (0, 0) \text{ on the component } \gamma = (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)).$$

As we have just seen,  $Q$  lies on the irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose label includes the weight  $\text{Sym}^{2k} \otimes \det^{-k}$  (i.e. on the component "  $\text{Sym}^{2k} \otimes \det^{-k} \mid \text{Sym}^{p-3-2k} \otimes \det^{k+1}$  "). We fix an affine coordinate on this  $\mathbb{P}^1$  around  $Q$ , which we will also call  $x$  (there will be no risk of confusion with the standard coordinate above!). Away from  $Q$ , the affine coordinate  $x \neq 0$  parametrizes Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_x = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(x)\omega^{2k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta := \omega^{-k}$ . As we have seen above,  $\pi(\rho_x) = \pi(2k, x, \eta) \oplus \pi(p-3-2k, x^{-1}, \omega^{r+1}\eta) =: \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ . Moreover,  $\pi_1 = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$  with  $\chi = \text{unr}(x) \otimes \omega^{2k} \text{unr}(x^{-1})$ . Since

$$(1 \otimes \omega^{2k}) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^{-k} \otimes \omega^k = \chi_k^s \in \mathbb{T}^\vee,$$

we deduce from the regular case of 8.3.2 that

$$\pi_1^{I^{(1)}} = M(0, x, 1, \chi_k^s)$$

is a simple 2-dimensional standard module. Note that  $M(0, x, 1, \chi_k^s) = M(x, 0, 1, \chi_k)$  according to [V04, Prop. 3.2].

Now suppose that  $v = (x, 0)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , denotes a point on the  $x$ -line of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}_k^1$ . In particular,  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = M(x, 0, 1, \chi_k)$ . By our discussion, the point  $L_\zeta((x, 0))$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1$ . Since  $\pi_1$  lies in the block parametrized by  $[\rho_x]$ , cf. 8.3.8, it follows that

$$L_\zeta((x, 0)) = [\rho_x] = x \in \mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta.$$

Since  $(0, 0)$  maps to  $Q$ , i.e. to the point at  $x = 0$ , the map  $L_\zeta$  identifies the whole affine  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(x, 0) : x \in k\} \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}, 0, 1}$  with the affine  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

On the other hand, the double point  $Q$  lies also on the irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose labelling includes the other weight of  $Q$ , i.e. the weight  $\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1-2k} \otimes \det^k$ . We fix an affine coordinate  $y$  on this  $\mathbb{P}^1$  around  $Q$ . Away from  $Q$ , the coordinate  $y \neq 0$  parametrizes Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_x = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{unr}(y)\omega^{p-2k} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{unr}(y^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta := \omega^k$ . As in the first case,  $\pi(\rho_y)$  contains  $\pi_1 := \pi(p-1-2k, y, \eta) = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$  as a direct summand, where now  $\chi = \mathrm{unr}(y) \otimes \omega^{p-1-2k} \mathrm{unr}(y^{-1})$ . Since

$$(1 \otimes \omega^{p-1-2k}) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^k \otimes \omega^{-k} = \chi_k \in \mathbb{T}^\vee,$$

we deduce, as above, that  $\pi_1^{(1)} = M(0, y, 1, \chi_k)$  is a simple 2-dimensional standard module.

Now suppose that  $v = (0, y)$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , denotes a point on the  $y$ -line of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}_k^1$ . In particular,  $\mathrm{ASph}^\gamma(v) = M(0, y, 1, \chi_k)$ . By our discussion, the point  $L_\zeta((0, y))$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1$ . Since  $\pi_1$  lies in the block parametrized by  $[\rho_y]$ , cf. 8.3.8, it follows that

$$L_\zeta((0, y)) = [\rho_y] = y \in \mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta.$$

Since  $(0, 0)$  maps to  $Q$ , i.e. to the point at  $y = 0$ , the map  $L_\zeta$  identifies the whole affine  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(0, y) : y \in k\} \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}, 0, 1}$  with the affine  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

In this way, we get an open immersion of each regular connected component of  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  in the scheme  $X_\zeta$ , which coincides on  $k$ -points with the restriction of the map of sets  $L_\zeta$ .

2. *The non-regular case  $k \in \{0, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ .* We choose the Steinberg coordinate  $z_1$ . According to [PS, 7.4.10], our non-regular connected component identifies with an affine line :

$$V_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}, 0, z_2}/W_0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Suppose that  $v = (0)$  is the origin, so that  $\mathrm{ASph}(v)$  is a supersingular module. Let  $\pi(r, 0, \eta)$  be the corresponding supersingular representation so that  $L_\zeta(v) = [\rho(r, \eta)]$ . Exactly as in the regular case, we may conclude that the Serre weights of the irreducible representation  $\rho(r, \eta)$  are  $\{\mathrm{Sym}^{2k} \otimes \det^{-k}, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1-2k} \otimes \det^k\}$ . For the two values of  $k = 0$  and  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$  we find  $\{\mathrm{Sym}^0, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}\}$  and  $\{\mathrm{Sym}^0 \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1} \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$  respectively. Comparing with the list 8.2.3 shows that the 2 points

$$\{\text{origin } (0) \text{ on the component } (\chi_k = \chi_k^s)\}$$

for  $k \in \{0, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$  are mapped to the 2 smooth points in  $X_\zeta^{\mathrm{irred}}$ , which lie on the two ‘exterior’ components of  $X_\zeta$ , cf. 8.2.3.

Fix  $k \in \{0, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$  and consider the point

$$Q = L_\zeta(\text{origin } (0) \text{ on the component } \gamma = (\chi_k = \chi_k^s)).$$

As we have just seen,  $Q$  lies on an ‘exterior’ irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose label includes the weight  $\mathrm{Sym}^0 \otimes \det^k$ . We fix an affine coordinate on this  $\mathbb{P}^1$  around  $Q$ , which we call  $z_1$  (there will be no risk of confusion with the Steinberg coordinate above!). Away from  $Q$ , the affine coordinate  $z_1 \neq 0$  parametrizes Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_{z_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{unr}(z_1)\omega & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{unr}(z_1^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta := \omega^k$ . As in the regular case,  $\pi(\rho_{z_1}) = \pi(0, z_1, \eta)^{\mathrm{ss}} \oplus \pi(p-3, z_1^{-1}, \omega\eta)^{\mathrm{ss}}$ . Moreover,  $\pi(0, z_1, \eta) = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$  with  $\chi = \mathrm{unr}(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{unr}(z_1^{-1})$ <sup>5</sup>. Since

$$(1 \otimes 1) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^k \otimes \omega^k = \chi_k = \chi_k^s \in \mathbb{T}^\vee,$$

<sup>5</sup>The representations  $\pi(0, z_1, \eta)$  constitute the *unramified* principal series of  $G$ .

we deduce from the non-regular case of 8.3.2 that  $\pi(0, z_1, \eta)^{I^{(1)}} = M(z_1, 1, \chi_k)$  is a 2-dimensional standard module. Moreover, the standard module is simple if and only if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , i.e. if and only if  $z_1 \neq \pm 1$ .

Now let  $v = z_1 \neq 0$  denote a nonzero point on our connected component  $\mathbb{A}^1 = V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1}/W_0$ . Suppose that  $z_1 \neq \pm 1$ , i.e.  $v \in D(2)_\gamma$ . In particular,  $\text{ASph}(v) = M(z_1, 1, \gamma)$  is irreducible. By our discussion, the point  $L_\zeta(z_1)$  corresponds to the block (a block of type 2) which contains  $\pi(0, z_1, \eta)$ . Suppose that  $z_1 = \pm 1$ , i.e.  $v \in D(1)_\gamma$ . In particular,  $\text{ASph}^{\text{ss}}(v) = M(z_1, 1, \chi_k)^{\text{ss}}$  and again,  $L_\zeta(z_1)$  corresponds to the block (now a block of type 3) which contains the simple constituents of  $\pi(0, z_1, \eta)^{\text{ss}}$ . In both cases, we conclude

$$L_\zeta(z_1) = [\rho_{z_1}] = z_1 \in \mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta.$$

Since (0) maps to  $Q$ , i.e. to the point at  $z_1 = 0$ , the map  $L_\zeta$  identifies the whole  $z_1$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1}/W_0$  with the  $z_1$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

In this way, we get an open immersion of each non-regular connected component of  $(V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  in the scheme  $X_\zeta$ , which coincides on  $k$ -points with the restriction of the map of sets  $L_\zeta$ .

## 8.5 The morphism $L_\zeta$ in the basic odd case

Let  $\zeta := \omega^{-1} : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ . Here we show that the map of sets  $L_\zeta : (V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k) \rightarrow X_\zeta(k)$  that we have defined in 8.3.13 satisfies properties (ii) and (iii) of 8.3.12, and we define a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $L_\zeta : (V_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \rightarrow X_\zeta$  which coincides with the previous map of sets at the level of  $k$ -points. By construction, it will have the properties 8.3.10. This will complete the proof of 8.3.12, 8.3.10 and 8.3.9 in the case of an odd character.

**8.5.1.** We verify properties (ii) and (iii). We work over an irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with label "  $\text{Sym}^r \otimes \det^a \mid \text{Sym}^{p-3-r} \otimes \det^{r+1+a}$  " where  $1 \leq r \leq p-2$  and  $0 \leq a \leq p-2$ , cf. 8.2.4. We distinguish two cases.

1. *The generic case  $r \neq p-2$ .* In this case, the irreducible component of  $X_\zeta$  we consider is an 'interior' component and has no exceptional points. On this component, we choose an affine coordinate  $x$  around the double point having  $\text{Sym}^r \otimes \det^a$  as one of its Serre weights. Away from this point, we have  $x \neq 0$  and the corresponding Galois representation has the form

$$\rho_x = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(x)\omega^{r+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta = \omega^a$ . As before, we have

$$\pi(\rho_x) = \pi(r, x, \eta)^{\text{ss}} \oplus \pi([p-3-r], x^{-1}, \omega^{r+1}\eta)^{\text{ss}}.$$

The length of  $\pi(\rho_x)$  is 2. Indeed, by our assumptions on  $r$ , the principal series representations  $\pi(r, x, \eta)$  and  $\pi(p-3-r, x^{-1}, \omega^{r+1}\eta)$  are irreducible and the block  $b_x$  contains only these two irreducible representations. We may follow the argument of the generic case of 8.4.1 word for word and deduce property 8.3.12 (ii).

2. *The two boundary cases  $r = p-2$ .* In this case, the irreducible component is one of the two 'exterior' components with labels "  $\text{Sym}^{p-2} \mid \text{Sym}^{-1}$  " or "  $\text{Sym}^{-1} \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \mid \text{Sym}^{p-2} \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  ". Points of the open locus  $X_\zeta^{\text{red}}$  lying on such a component correspond to twists of unramified Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_{x+x^{-1}} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta = 1$  or  $\eta = \omega^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . Let us concentrate on one of the two components, i.e. let us fix  $\eta$ .

Mapping an unramified Galois representation  $\rho_{x+x^{-1}}$  to  $t := x + x^{-1} \in k$  identifies this open locus with the  $t$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ . We have

$$\pi(\rho_t) = \pi(p-2, x, \eta)^{\text{ss}} \oplus \pi(p-2, x^{-1}, \eta)^{\text{ss}} =: \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$$

since  $[p - 3 - (p - 2)] = p - 2$  (indeed,  $p - 3 - (p - 2) = -1 \equiv p - 2 \pmod{p - 1}$ ). The length of  $\pi(\rho_t)$  is 2. Indeed,  $\pi_1 = \pi(p - 2, x, \eta)$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi(p - 2, x^{-1}, \eta)$  are two irreducible principal series representations and the block  $b_t$  contains only these two irreducible representations. They are isomorphic if and only if  $x = \pm 1$ , i.e. if and only if  $t = \pm 2$  is an exceptional point. In this case,  $b_t$  contains only one irreducible representation and is of type 3, otherwise it is of type 2.

We may write

$$\pi_1 = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\chi = \text{unr}(x) \otimes \omega^{p-2} \text{unr}(x^{-1})$ . Similarly for  $\pi_2$ . The character  $\chi|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = 1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}$  is regular (i.e. different from its  $s$ -conjugate) and we are in the regular case of 8.3.2. We conclude that  $\pi_1^{I(1)} = M(0, x, 1, (1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}) \cdot \eta)$  and  $\pi_2^{I(1)} = M(0, x^{-1}, 1, (1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}) \cdot \eta)$  are both simple 2-dimensional standard modules in the regular component  $\gamma$  represented by the character  $(1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{p-2} \in \mathbb{T}^\vee$ . They are isomorphic if and only if  $t = \pm 2$ . We choose an order  $\gamma = ((\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{p-2}, (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{p-2} \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}))$  on the set  $\gamma$ . Then from  $L_\zeta(v) = t$  we get that either  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = \pi_1^{I(1)}$  or  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = \pi_2^{I(1)}$ . Since for regular  $\gamma$ , the map  $\text{ASph}^\gamma$  is a bijection onto all simple  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$ -modules, cf. [PS, 7.4.9], one finds that  $L_\zeta^{-1}(t) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  has cardinality 2 if  $t \neq \pm 2$  and then

$$\text{ASph}(v_1) \oplus \text{ASph}(v_2) \simeq \pi(\rho_t)^{I(1)}.$$

This settles property 8.3.12 (ii). In turn, if  $t = \pm 2$  is an exceptional point, then  $L_\zeta^{-1}(t) = \{v\}$  has cardinality 1 and

$$\text{ASph}(v) \oplus \text{ASph}(v) \simeq \pi(\rho_t)^{I(1)}.$$

This settles property 8.3.12 (iii).

**8.5.2.** We define a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $L_\zeta : (V_{\mathbb{T},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta \rightarrow X_\zeta$  which coincides on  $k$ -points with the map of sets  $L_\zeta : (V_{\mathbb{T},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta(k) \rightarrow X_\zeta(k)$ . We work over a connected component of  $(V_{\mathbb{T},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$ , indexed by some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^\vee/W_0$ . Let  $v$  be a  $k$ -point of this component.

Since  $\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^{-1}$ , the connected components of  $(V_{\mathbb{T},0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  are indexed by the fibre  $(\cdot)|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}^{-1}(\omega^{-1})$ . This fibre consists of the  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  regular components, represented by the characters

$$\chi_k = \omega^{k-1} \otimes \omega^{-k}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ , cf. 8.1.2. Recall that  $z_2 = \zeta(p) = 1$ .

Fix an order  $\gamma = (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)$  on the set  $\gamma$  and choose standard coordinates  $x, y$ . According to [PS, 7.4.8], our regular connected component identifies with two affine lines intersecting at the origin:

$$V_{\mathbb{T},0,1} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Suppose that  $v = (0, 0)$  is the origin, so that  $\text{ASph}(v)$  is a supersingular module. Let  $\pi(r, 0, \eta)$  be the corresponding supersingular representation. It corresponds to the irreducible Galois representation  $\rho(r, \eta)$ , in the notation of [Be11, 1.3], whence  $L_\zeta(v) = [\rho(r, \eta)]$ . According to 8.3.2, the component of  $\pi(r, 0, \eta)^{I(1)}$  is given by  $(\omega^r \otimes 1) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times})$ . Setting  $\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \omega^a$ , this implies  $(\omega^r \otimes 1) \cdot (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^{r+a} \otimes \omega^a = \chi_k$  and hence  $a = -k$  and  $r = 2k - 1$ . The Serre weights of the irreducible representation  $\rho(r, \eta)$  are therefore  $\{\text{Sym}^{2k-1} \otimes \det^{-k}, \text{Sym}^{p-2k} \otimes \det^{k-1}\}$ , cf. [Br07, 1.9].

Comparing these pairs of Serre weights with the list 8.2.4 shows that the  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  points

$$\{\text{origin } (0, 0) \text{ on the component } (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)\}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  are mapped successively to the  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  double points of the chain  $X_\zeta$ . We distinguish two cases.

1. *The generic case*  $1 < k < \frac{p-1}{2}$ . In this case, the argument proceeds as in the regular case of 8.4.2. Consider the double point

$$Q = L_\zeta(\text{origin } (0, 0) \text{ on the component } \gamma = (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)).$$

As we have just seen,  $Q$  lies on an ‘interior’ irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose label includes the weight  $\text{Sym}^{2k-1} \otimes \det^{-k}$ . We fix an affine coordinate on this  $\mathbb{P}^1$  around  $Q$ , which we will also call  $x$ . Away from  $Q$ , the affine coordinate  $x \neq 0$  parametrizes Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_x = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(x)\omega^{2k} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(x^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta := \omega^{-k}$ . As we have seen above,  $\pi(\rho_x) = \pi(2k-1, x, \eta) \oplus \pi(p-3-2k+1, x^{-1}, \omega^{2k}\eta) =: \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ . Moreover,  $\pi_1 = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$  with  $\chi = \text{unr}(x) \otimes \omega^{2k-1} \text{unr}(x^{-1})$ . Since

$$(1 \otimes \omega^{2k-1}).(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^{-k} \otimes \omega^{k-1} = \chi_k^s \in \mathbb{T}^\vee,$$

we deduce from the regular case of 8.3.2 that  $\pi_1^{I(1)} = M(0, x, 1, \chi_k^s)$  is a simple 2-dimensional standard module. Note that  $M(0, x, 1, \chi_k^s) = M(x, 0, 1, \chi_k)$  according to [V04, Prop. 3.2].

Now suppose that  $v = (x, 0)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , denotes a nonzero point on the  $x$ -line of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ . In particular,  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = M(x, 0, 1, \chi_k)$ . Our discussion shows that the point  $L_\zeta((x, 0))$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1$ . Since  $\pi_1$  lies in the block parametrized by  $[\rho_x]$ , cf. 8.3.8, it follows that

$$L_\zeta((x, 0)) = [\rho_x] = x \in \mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Since  $(0, 0)$  maps to  $Q$ , i.e. to the point at  $x = 0$ , the map  $L_\zeta$  identifies the whole affine  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(x, 0) : x \in k\} \subset V_{\mathbb{T}, 0, 1}$  with the affine  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

On the other hand, the double point  $Q$  also lies on the irreducible component whose labelling includes the other weight of  $Q$ , i.e. the weight  $\text{Sym}^{p-2k} \otimes \det^{k-1}$ . We fix an affine coordinate  $y$  on this  $\mathbb{P}^1$  around  $Q$ . Away from  $Q$ , the coordinate  $y \neq 0$  parametrizes Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_y = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(y)\omega^{p-2k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(y^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta := \omega^{k-1}$ . As in the first case,  $\pi(\rho_y)$  contains  $\pi_1 := \pi(p-2k, y, \eta) = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$  as a direct summand, where now  $\chi = \text{unr}(y) \otimes \omega^{p-2k} \text{unr}(y^{-1})$ . Since

$$(1 \otimes \omega^{p-2k}).(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = \omega^{k-1} \otimes \omega^{-k} = \chi_k \in \mathbb{T}^\vee,$$

we deduce from the regular case of 8.3.2 that  $\pi_1^{I(1)} = M(0, y, 1, \chi_k)$  is a simple 2-dimensional standard module.

Now suppose that  $v = (0, y)$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , denotes a nonzero point on the  $y$ -line of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ . In particular,  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = M(0, y, 1, \chi_k)$ . Our discussion shows that the point  $L_\zeta((0, y))$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1$ , parametrized by  $[\rho_y]$ . Hence

$$L_\zeta((0, y)) = [\rho_y] = y \in \mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Since  $(0, 0)$  maps to  $Q$ , i.e. to the point at  $y = 0$ , the map  $L_\zeta$  identifies the whole  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(0, y) : y \in k\} \subset V_{\mathbb{T}, 0, 1}$  with the affine  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

In this way, we get an open immersion of each connected component  $(V_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^\gamma/W_0)_\zeta$  of  $(V_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  such that  $\gamma = (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)$  with  $1 < k < \frac{p-1}{2}$ , in the scheme  $X_\zeta$ , which coincides on  $k$ -points with the restriction of the map of sets  $L_\zeta$ .

2. *The two boundary cases  $k \in \{1, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ .* Consider the double point

$$Q = L_\zeta(\text{origin } (0, 0) \text{ on the component } \gamma = (\chi_k, \chi_k^s)).$$

As we have just seen,  $Q$  lies on an ‘interior’ irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose label includes the weight  $\text{Sym}^1 \otimes \det^{-1}$  (for  $k = 1$ ) or the weight  $\text{Sym}^1 \otimes \det^{\frac{p-3}{2}}$  (for  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ ). We fix an affine coordinate on this  $\mathbb{P}^1$  around  $Q$ , which we will call  $z$ . Away from  $Q$ , the coordinate  $z \neq 0$  parametrizes Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_z = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(z)\omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(z^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

with  $\eta = \omega^{-1}$  or  $\eta = \omega^{\frac{p-3}{2}}$ .

Let  $k = 1$ , i.e.  $\eta = \omega^{-1}$ . Following the argument in the generic case word for word, we may conclude that  $L_\zeta$  identifies the  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(x, 0) : x \in k\} \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1}$  with the  $z$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

Let  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , i.e.  $\eta = \omega^{\frac{p-3}{2}}$ . As in the generic case, we may conclude that  $L_\zeta$  identifies the  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(0, y) : y \in k\} \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1}$  with the  $z$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$ .

On the other hand, the double point  $Q$  lies also on the irreducible component  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose labelling includes the other weight of  $Q$ , i.e. the weight  $\text{Sym}^{p-2}$  (for  $k = 1$ ) or the weight  $\text{Sym}^{p-2} \otimes \det^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  (for  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ ). These are the two ‘exterior’ components. Points of the open locus  $X_\zeta^{\text{red}}$  lying on such a component correspond to unramified (up to twist) Galois representations of the form

$$\rho_t = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{unr}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{unr}(z^{-1}) \end{array} \right) \otimes \eta$$

where  $\eta = 1$  (for  $k = 1$ ) or  $\eta = \omega^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  (for  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ ) and with  $t = z + z^{-1} \in \mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ . As in the boundary case of 8.5.1, we have  $\pi(\rho_t) = \pi(p-2, z, \eta) \oplus \pi(p-2, z^{-1}, \eta) =: \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$  and these are irreducible principal series representations. We may write  $\pi_1 = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi) \otimes \eta$  with  $\chi = \text{unr}(z) \otimes \omega^{p-2} \text{unr}(z^{-1})$ . The character  $\chi|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = 1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}$  is regular (i.e. different from its  $s$ -conjugate) and we are in the regular case of 8.3.2. We conclude that

$$\pi_1^{J(1)} = M(0, z, 1, (1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}).\eta)$$

is a simple 2-dimensional standard module in the regular component represented by the character

$$(1 \otimes \omega^{p-2}).(\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) = (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{p-2} = (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \otimes (\eta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}) \omega^{-1} \in \mathbb{T}^\vee.$$

This latter character equals  $\chi_1$  for  $\eta = 1$  and  $(\chi_{\frac{p-1}{2}})^s$  for  $\eta = \omega^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  (indeed, note that  $\frac{p-1}{2} \equiv -\frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p-1}$ ).

Now suppose that  $k = 1$ , i.e.  $\eta = 1$ . Let  $v = (0, y)$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , be a nonzero point on the  $y$ -line of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ . In particular,  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = M(0, y, 1, \chi_1)$ . Our discussion shows that the point  $L_\zeta((0, y))$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1$ , i.e. which is parametrized by  $[\rho_t]$ . It follows that

$$L_\zeta((0, y)) = [\rho_t] = t = y + y^{-1} \in \mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Since  $(0, 0)$  maps to  $Q$ , i.e. to the point at  $t = \infty$ , the map of sets  $L_\zeta$  maps the  $k$ -points of the whole affine  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(0, y) : y \in k\} \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1}$  to the  $k$ -points of the whole ‘left exterior’ component  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$  via the formula

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ y & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} y + y^{-1} & \text{if } y \neq 0 \\ \infty = Q & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

This formula is algebraic: indeed, for  $y \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{\pm i\}$  (where  $\pm i$  are the roots of the polynomial  $f(y) = y^2 + 1$ ), we have  $y + y^{-1} \neq 0$  and  $(y + y^{-1})^{-1} = y/(y^2 + 1)$ , which is equal to 0 at  $y = 0$ . Moreover, it glues at the origin  $(0, 0)$  with the open immersion of the  $x$ -line of  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1} = \mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$  in  $X_\zeta$  defined above, since both map  $(0, 0)$  to  $Q$ . We take the resulting morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X_\zeta$  as the definition of  $L_\zeta$  on the connected component  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(\chi_1, \chi_1^i)}/W_0)_\zeta$  of  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$ . Note that its restriction to the open subset  $\{y \neq 0\}$  in the  $y$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is the morphism  $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  corresponding to the ring extension

$$k[t] \longrightarrow k[y, y^{-1}] = k[t][y]/(y^2 - ty + 1),$$

and that the discriminant  $t^2 - 4$  of  $y^2 - ty + 1 \in k[t][y]$  vanishes precisely at the two exceptional points  $t = \pm 2$ .

Suppose  $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , i.e.  $\eta = \omega^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . Let  $v = (x, 0)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , denote a nonzero point on the  $x$ -line of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$ . In particular,

$$\text{ASph}^\gamma(v) = M(0, x, 1, (\chi_{\frac{p-1}{2}})^s) = M(x, 0, 1, \chi_{\frac{p-1}{2}}).$$

Our discussion shows that the point  $L_\zeta((x, 0))$  corresponds to the block which contains  $\pi_1$ , i.e. which is parametrized by  $[\rho_t]$ . It follows that  $L_\zeta((x, 0)) = [\rho_t] = t = x + x^{-1} \in \mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ . Since  $(0, 0)$  maps to the point  $Q$  at  $t = \infty$ , the map of sets  $L_\zeta$  maps the  $k$ -points of the whole affine  $x$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{(x, 0) : y \in k\} \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1}$  to the  $k$ -points of the whole ‘right exterior’ component  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_\zeta$  via the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}^1 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ x &\longmapsto \begin{cases} x + x^{-1} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \infty = Q & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This formula is algebraic. Moreover, it glues at the origin  $(0, 0)$  with the open immersion of the  $y$ -line of  $V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0, 1} = \mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1$  in  $X_\zeta$  defined above, since both map  $(0, 0)$  to  $Q$ . We take the resulting morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup_0 \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X_\zeta$  as the definition of  $L_\zeta$  on the connected component  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(x_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, (x_{\frac{p-1}{2}})^s)} / W_0)_\zeta$  of  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)} / W_0)_\zeta$ .

## 8.6 A mod $p$ Langlands parametrization in families for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$

In this subsection we continue to assume that  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ .

**8.6.1.** Recall the mod  $p$  parametrization functor  $P : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}) \rightarrow \text{SP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0}$  from [PS, 7.3.6]. For  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ , let  $\text{Mod}_\zeta(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})$  whose objects are the  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ -modules whose Satake parameter is supported on the closed subscheme  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)} / W_0)_\zeta \subset V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)} / W_0$ . A  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ -module  $M$  lies in the category  $\text{Mod}_\zeta(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})$  if and only if:  $M$  is only supported in  $\gamma$ -components where  $\gamma|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} = \zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}$  and the operator  $U^2$  acts on  $M$  via the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -part of  $\zeta$ . Set  $\text{SP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta} := \text{QCoh}((V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)} / W_0)_\zeta)$ , the category of quasi-coherent modules on the  $k$ -scheme  $(V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)} / W_0)_\zeta$ . Then  $P$  induces a *mod  $p$   $\zeta$ -parametrization functor*

$$P_\zeta : \text{Mod}_\zeta(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \text{SP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta}.$$

For  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ , also recall the category  $\text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta} := \text{QCoh}(X_\zeta)$  of mod  $p$  Langlands parameters with determinant  $\omega_\zeta$  from 8.2.5; it induces the functor

$$L_{\zeta*} : \text{SP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta} \longrightarrow \text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta}$$

*push-forward along the  $k$ -morphism  $L_\zeta : (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)} / W_0)_\zeta \rightarrow X_\zeta$  from 8.3.9.*

Finally recall that for  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ , the functor of  $I^{(1)}$ -invariants  $(\cdot)^{I^{(1)}} : \text{Mod}^{\text{sm}}(k[G]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})$  induces a functor

$$(\cdot)_\zeta^{I^{(1)}} : \text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{sm}}(k[G]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\zeta(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}),$$

by 8.3.5.

**8.6.2. Definition.** *Let  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$ . The mod  $p$   $\zeta$ -Langlands parametrization functor is the functor*

$$L_\zeta P_\zeta := L_{\zeta*} \circ P_\zeta :$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mod}_\zeta(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}) \\ \downarrow \\ \text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta}. \end{array}$$

Identifying  $\zeta$  with a central character of  $G$ , the functor  $L_\zeta P_\zeta$  extends to the category  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{sm}}(k[G])$  by precomposing with the functor  $(\cdot)_\zeta^{I(1)} : \text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{sm}}(k[G]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\zeta(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)})$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} L_\zeta P_\zeta \circ (\cdot)_\zeta^{I(1)} : \\ \text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{sm}}(k[G]) \\ \downarrow \\ \text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta} . \end{array}$$

**8.6.3. Theorem.** *Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \geq 5$ . Fix a character  $\zeta : Z(G) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ , corresponding to a point  $(\zeta|_{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}, \zeta(p^{-1})) \in \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$  under the identification  $\mathcal{Z}(G)^\vee \cong \mathcal{Z}^\vee(k)$  from 8.3.4.*

*The mod  $p$   $\zeta$ -Langlands parametrization functor  $L_\zeta P_\zeta$  interpolates the Langlands parametrization of the blocks of the category  $\text{Mod}_\zeta^{\text{ladm}}(k[G])$ , cf. 8.3.7 : for all  $x \in X_\zeta(k)$  and for all  $\pi \in b_{[\rho_x]}$ ,*

$$L_\zeta P_\zeta(\pi^{I(1)}) = \begin{cases} i_{x*}(\pi^{I(1)}) & \text{if } x \text{ is not an exceptional point in the odd case} \\ i_{x*}(\pi^{I(1)})^{\oplus 2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \in \text{LP}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, 0, \zeta}$$

where  $i_x : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow X_\zeta$  is the  $k$ -point  $x$ .

*Proof.* By definition of a block of a category as a certain equivalence class of simple objects [Pas13], if  $\pi \in b_{[\rho_x]}$  then in particular  $\pi$  is simple. Then  $\pi^{I(1)}$  is simple too, and hence has a central character. Therefore  $P_\zeta(\pi^{I(1)})$  is the underlying  $k$ -vector space of  $\pi^{I(1)}$  supported at the  $k$ -point  $v \in (V_{\widehat{\mathbf{T}}, 0}^{(1)}/W_0)_\zeta$  corresponding to its central character under the isomorphism  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)}$ , which lies on some connected component  $\gamma$ . Suppose  $\dim_k(\pi^{I(1)}) = 2$ . Then  $\pi^{I(1)}$  is isomorphic to the simple standard module of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$  with central character  $v$ , i.e. to  $\text{ASph}^\gamma(v)$ , and hence  $L_\zeta(v) = x$  by definition of the map of sets  $L_\zeta(k)$ . Suppose  $\dim_k(\pi^{I(1)}) = 1$ . Then  $\pi^{I(1)}$  is one of the two antispherical characters of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma$  whose restriction to the center  $Z(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\gamma)$  is equal to  $v$ , i.e. it is one of the simple constituents of  $(\text{ASph}^\gamma(v))^{\text{ss}}$ , and hence again  $L_\zeta(v) = x$  by definition of the map of sets  $L_\zeta(k)$ . Now if  $x$  is not an exceptional point in an odd case, then  $L_\zeta$  is an open immersion at  $v$ , and otherwise it has ramification index 2 at  $v$ . The theorem follows.  $\square$

## References

- [Be11] L. BERGER, *La correspondance de Langlands locale  $p$ -adique pour  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , Astérisque **339** (2011), 157-180.
- [Br07] C. BREUIL, *Representations of Galois and of  $\text{GL}_2$  in characteristic  $p$* , Course at Columbia University (2007), <https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~breuil/PUBLICATIONS/New-York.pdf>.
- [CEGS19] A. CARAIANI, M. EMERTON, T. GEE, D. SAVITT, *Moduli stacks of two-dimensional Galois representations*, Preprint (2019), arXiv:1908.07019.
- [Em10] M. EMERTON, *Ordinary parts of admissible representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups I. Definition and first properties*, Astérisque **331** (2010), 335–381.
- [Em19] M. EMERTON, *Localizing  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations*, Talk at Padova School on Serre conjectures and the  $p$ -adic Langlands program 2019, <https://mediaspace.unipd.it/channel/School+on+Serre+conjectures+and+the+p-adic+Langlands+program/119214951>.
- [EG19] M. EMERTON, T. GEE, *Moduli stacks of étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules and the existence of crystalline lifts*, Preprint (2019) arXiv:1908.07185.

- [H11b] F. HERZIG, *The classification of irreducible admissible mod  $p$  representations of a  $p$ -adic  $GL_n$* , *Inventiones Math.* 186(2), pages 373-434.
- [Pas13] V. PAŠKŪNAS, *The image of Colmez's Montreal functor*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 118 (2013), 1-191.
- [PS] C. PÉPIN, T. SCHMIDT, *Generic and Mod  $p$  Kazhdan-Lusztig Theory for  $GL_2$* , Preprint (2020) arXiv:2007.01364v1.
- [V04] M.-F. VIGNERAS *Representations modulo  $p$  of the  $p$ -adic group  $GL(2, F)$* , *Compositio Math.* 140 (2004) 333-358.

Cédric Pépin, LAGA, Université Paris 13, 99 avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93 430 Villetaneuse, France  
*E-mail address:* [cpepin@math.univ-paris13.fr](mailto:cpepin@math.univ-paris13.fr)

Tobias Schmidt, IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France  
*E-mail address:* [tobias.schmidt@univ-rennes1.fr](mailto:tobias.schmidt@univ-rennes1.fr)