J. Eur. Math. Soc. 22, 1893-1915

DOI 10.4171/JEMS/958



Sébastien Gouëzel · Anders Karlsson

# Subadditive and multiplicative ergodic theorems

Received March 21, 2017

**Abstract.** A result for subadditive ergodic cocycles is proved that provides more delicate information than Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem. As an application we deduce a multiplicative ergodic theorem generalizing an earlier result of Karlsson–Ledrappier, showing that the growth of a random product of semicontractions is always directed by some horofunction. We discuss applications of this result to ergodic cocycles of bounded linear operators, holomorphic maps and topical operators, as well as a random mean ergodic theorem.

**Keywords.** Subadditive cocycle, Kingman theorem, horofunction, semicontraction, metric functional

## 1. Introduction

Products of random operations arise naturally in a variety of contexts from pure mathematics to more applied sciences. Typically the operations, or the maps, do not commute, but one would nevertheless hope to have asymptotic regularity of various associated quantities. In the commutative case one has the standard ergodic theorem or what in probability is called the law of large numbers. A very important and genuinely noncommutative case is that of products of random matrices. These are governed by the multiplicative ergodic theorem of Oseledets [O68], which in particular is a fundamental theorem in differentiable dynamics. Another area of application is the subject of random walks on groups.

It is a remarkable fact that, in many such situations, one can introduce a metric which is invariant or nonexpanded by the transformations under consideration. This gives a way to quantify the behaviour of random products of maps, such as linear operators, holomorphic maps, symplectomorphisms, or homogeneous-monotone maps. Due to the nonexpansion of the metric and the triangle inequality, numerical quantities associated to the random products then satisfy a form of subadditivity.

Kingman proved in [Ki68] the subadditive ergodic theorem, which is a generalization of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem to subadditive cocycles. This extension is very useful,

S. Gouëzel: Laboratoire Jean Leray, CNRS UMR 6629, Université de Nantes,

<sup>2</sup> rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes, France; e-mail: sebastien.gouezel@univ-nantes.fr

A. Karlsson: Section de mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4 Rue du Lièvre, Case Postale 64, 1211 Genève 4, Suisse; e-mail: anders.karlsson@unige.ch; and Matematiska institutionen, Uppsala universitet, Box 256, 751 05 Uppsala, Sweden; e-mail: anders.karlsson@math.uu.se

with many applications. In the particular case of random products of group elements, Kingman's theorem asserts that there is a well-defined growth rate, or in a different terminology, a certain speed with which these products tend to infinity.

Our goal is to understand to what extent random products tend to infinity following a specific direction, using the notion of horofunction. Horofunctions made one of their first explicit appearances in the 1926 Wolff–Denjoy theorem which describes the dynamics of holomorphic self-maps of the unit disk. As was noted already in their papers, and extended and commented on by several people since then, the mechanism behind this result is the Schwarz lemma which implies that holomorphic maps do not increase the Poincaré distance between points, and the fact that the Poincaré metric coincides with the hyperbolic metric (see e.g. [K01, KeL07, AR14]).

Our strategy is to show first a substantial refinement of Kingman's theorem. Then, we apply it to prove a very general multiplicative ergodic theorem, extending one aspect of the Wolff–Denjoy theorem to a vastly more general setting: the asymptotic behaviour of random products of 1-Lipschitz maps of any metric space in terms of horofunctions. This generalizes and re-proves the main theorem in [KL06], which in turn extends several known results, such as the one of Oseledets mentioned above, and which has found unexpected applications. Our theorem has a weak-type formulation involving linear or metric functionals (a generalization of horofunctions to a nonproper setting). Hence, it can hold in a very general setting, as opposed to results yielding a stronger convergence, which are known to fail if the geometric properties of the space are not good enough (see [KN81]). Moreover, under suitable assumptions on the space, our a priori weaker statement can automatically be promoted to the stronger one.

As for further new applications, our theory leads to an ergodic theorem for cocycles of bounded linear operators. Ruelle [R82] proved the first such theorem in infinitely many dimensions assuming compactness of the operators. It was generalized by Mañé, Thieullen and others; see the recent monograph [LL10] for more details. The interest in such statements about semiflows on Hilbert spaces can be seen in works of Ruelle and more recently of Lian and Young in the study of certain stochastic differential equations, or partial differential equations with application to hydrodynamic turbulence, such as the Navier–Stokes equation [R82, R84, ER85, LY12]. There are also other potential contexts of application (for example see a remark in [F02, p. 10]), and in another direction Bolthausen pointed out to us that it could be of use in the study of random walks in random environments in one dimension with infinite support.

Our subadditive theorem is stated in §1.1 and proved in Section 2. The ergodic theorem for random products is then stated in §1.2 and proved in Section 3. Finally, §1.3 and the remaining sections of this article are devoted to a brief discussion of some applications.

# 1.1. Existence of good times for subadditive cocycles

Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a measure space with  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$  and let  $T : \Omega \to \Omega$  be an ergodic, measure preserving map. A measurable function  $a : \mathbb{N} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies

$$a(n+m,\omega) \leq a(n,\omega) + a(m,T^n\omega)$$

for all integers n, m > 0 and a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$  is called a *subadditive cocycle*. For convenience we also set  $a(0, \omega) \equiv 0$ . One says that a is *integrable* if  $a(1, \omega)$  is integrable, and one defines the *asymptotic average* 

$$A = \inf_{n} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} a(n, \omega) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega) \in [-\infty, +\infty).$$

Kingman's theorem asserts that, almost surely,

$$a(n, \omega)/n \to A$$
.

Moreover, if  $A > -\infty$ , the convergence also holds in  $L^1(\mu)$ .

In Section 2 we prove the following subadditive ergodic statement (cf. [K02, Problem 3.3]):

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $a(n, \omega)$  be an integrable and subadditive cocycle relative to the ergodic system  $(\Omega, \mu, T)$  as above, with finite asymptotic average A. Then for almost every  $\omega$  there are integers  $n_i := n_i(\omega) \to \infty$  and positive real numbers  $\delta_\ell := \delta_\ell(\omega) \to 0$  such that for every  $\ell \le n_i$ ,

$$-\ell \delta_{\ell}(\omega) \le a(n_i, \omega) - a(n_i - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega) - A\ell \le \ell \delta_{\ell}(\omega). \tag{1.1}$$

This statement significantly refines [KM99, Proposition 4.2]. It is not a consequence of Kingman's theorem: by subadditivity, we have

$$a(n_i, \omega) - a(n_i - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega) \le a(\ell, \omega) \sim A\ell$$

so the upper bound in (1.1) readily follows from Kingman's theorem. On the other hand, the lower bound, which asserts that the cocycle is close to being additive at *all* times  $\ell$  between 0 and  $n_i$ , is much more delicate.

This lower bound is reminiscent of Pliss' lemma, a combinatorial lemma which proved very useful in hyperbolic dynamics (see for instance [ABV00]). For any additive sequence tending linearly to infinity, this lemma entails the existence of "good" times  $n_i$  for which the behaviour of the sequence between  $n_i - \ell$  and  $n_i$  is well controlled for all  $\ell \le n_i$ . Our statement is both weaker (since there is an additional error  $\ell \delta_\ell$ ) and stronger since it applies in random subadditive situations and gets the right asymptotics  $A\ell$ .

We will apply Theorem 1.1 to the context of multiplicative ergodic theorems below, but it could also be of interest for different questions, for example the recent paper [GG17] used [KM99, Prop. 4.2] to re-prove and extend Livšic's theorem of [Ka11].

**Remark 1.2.** Define the *upper asymptotic density* of a subset U of the natural numbers as

$$\overline{\mathrm{Dens}}(U) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} |U \cap [0, N-1]|/N.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 gives in fact more information: on a set of large measure one can take  $\delta_{\ell}$  to be independent of  $\omega$  and one can have many good times  $n_i$ . More precisely, fix  $\rho > 0$ ; then there exist a sequence  $\delta_{\ell} \to 0$  and a subset  $O \subset \Omega$  of measure at least  $1 - \rho$  such that, for every  $\omega \in O$ , the subset  $A(\omega) \subset \mathbb{N}$  of good times (made up of those n for which (1.1) holds for all  $\ell \leq n$ ) has upper asymptotic density at least  $1 - \rho$ .

**Remark 1.3.** As a test case for the usability of proof assistants for current mathematical research, Theorem 1.1 and its proof given below have been completely formalized and checked in the proof assistant Isabelle/HOL (see [Go15, file Gouezel\_Karlsson.thy]). In particular, the correctness of this theorem is certified.

## 1.2. Random products and metric functionals

Horocycles, horodisks etc. are concepts originally coming from two-dimensional hyperbolic geometry and complex analysis. A general definition of the corresponding horofunctions (now also called *Busemann functions*) in terms of geodesic rays  $\gamma(t)$  was given by Busemann:

$$b_{\gamma}(\cdot) = \lim_{t \to \infty} (d(\cdot, \gamma(t)) - d(\gamma(0), \gamma(t))).$$

As emphasized by Gromov [Gr81], this definition leads to a natural bordification of metric spaces, by mapping the space into its set of continuous functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. We consider instead pointwise convergence, following for example [GV12]. Let (X, d) be a metric space, fix  $x_0 \in X$ , and define the continuous injection

$$\Phi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^X, \quad x \mapsto h_x(\cdot) := d(\cdot, x) - d(x_0, x).$$

The functions  $h_x$  are all 1-Lipschitz maps and vanish at  $x_0$ . As indicated, we endow the space  $\mathbb{R}^X$  of real valued functions on X with the product topology, i.e., the topology of pointwise convergence. The image  $\Phi(X)$  can be identified with a subset of a product of compact intervals, which is compact by Tikhonov's theorem. The closure of the image  $\overline{\Phi(X)}$  will therefore be compact. By definition we call the elements in this compact set *metric functionals*. Thus, to every point x there is a unique associated metric functional  $h_x$ , and then there may be further functionals obtained as limit points:

$$\widehat{X} := \overline{\Phi(X)} \setminus \Phi(X).$$

We now try to fix the terminology and relate our notions to standard ones. We call limits, as  $x \to \infty$ , of  $h_x$  in the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets *horofunctions*. If X is proper and geodesic, then  $\widehat{X}$  is precisely the set of horofunctions. If the metric space is particularly nice, for instance CAT(0), then horofunctions and Busemann functions coincide (see [BH99]). For nonproper metric spaces a Busemann function might not be a horofunction since the convergence might not be uniform on bounded sets, and conversely a horofunction might not be a Busemann function since it might be obtained as a limit which does not correspond to any geodesic ray. Our terminology is in part inspired by the simple fact that for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H, the set  $\widehat{H}$  contains the closed unit ball of continuous linear functionals. The definition of metric functionals is also somewhat parallel to the one of linear functionals: metric functionals are maps  $X \to \mathbb{R}$  that vanish at the origin  $x_0$  and respect the metric structure of the spaces.

A map  $f: X \to X$  is called *nonexpansive*, or *semicontractive*, if

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The set of all semicontractive maps on X is denoted by SC(X).

As in the previous subsection, let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a measure space with  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$  and let  $T: \Omega \to \Omega$  be an ergodic measure preserving map. Given a map  $\varphi: \Omega \to SC(X)$ , one forms the associated *ergodic cocycle* given by the composition of maps

$$u(n,\omega) = \varphi(\omega)\varphi(T\omega)\cdots\varphi(T^{n-1}\omega).$$
 (1.2)

Note the order in which the maps are composed. We require a weak measurability property: for all  $x \in X$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map  $\omega \mapsto u(n,\omega)x$  from  $\Omega$  to X should be measurable. For instance, this is the case if  $\varphi: \Omega \to SC(X)$  is measurable where SC(X) is endowed with the compact-open topology (i.e., the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of X) and X is locally compact (this last assumption ensures that the composition map  $SC(X) \times SC(X) \to SC(X)$  is continuous, so that  $u(n,\cdot): \Omega \to SC(X)$  is also measurable). This is also the case when X is a Banach space and  $\varphi$  is measurable from  $\Omega$  to the space of bounded linear operators on X with the topology of norm convergence.

We say that the above cocycle  $u(n, \omega)$  is *integrable* if

$$\int_{\Omega} d(x, \varphi(\omega)x) \, \mathrm{d}\mu < \infty,$$

a condition which is independent of  $x \in X$ . In this case, the subadditive cocycle  $a(n, \omega) = d(x, u(n, \omega)x)$  is also integrable. Hence, by Kingman's theorem,  $d(x, u(n, \omega)x)/n$  converges almost surely to a limit  $A \ge 0$  (which does not depend on the choice of the basepoint x).

In Section 3, the above subadditive ergodic statement is used to establish the following multiplicative ergodic theorem:

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $u(n, \omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle of semicontractions of a metric space (X, d). Then for a.e.  $\omega$  there exists a metric functional  $h^{\omega}$  of X such that for all x,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} h^{\omega}(u(n, \omega)x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} d(x, u(n, \omega)x).$$

Moreover, if X is separable and  $\Omega$  is a standard probability space, one can choose the map  $\omega \mapsto h^{\omega}$  to be Borel measurable.

The main theorem of [KL06] is the same statement, but with the additional assumption that the cocycle u takes its values in the group of isometries of X, instead of semicontractions (moreover it was formulated only for proper spaces). This additional assumption makes it possible to use the action of the cocycle on the space of metric functionals, and use an additive cocycle there. This proof cannot work for semicontractions. The present proof will instead use Theorem 1.1 and is thus quite different.

Theorem 1.4 was conjectured in [K04] for proper metric spaces. With the use of the Hahn–Banach theorem (see Section 3), this specializes to the following statement in the case of Banach spaces.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $u(n, \omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle of semicontractions of a subset D of a Banach space X. Then for a.e.  $\omega$  there is a linear functional  $f^{\omega}$  of norm 1 such that for any  $x \in D$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} f^{\omega}(u(n,\omega)x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \|u(n,\omega)x\|.$$

This generalizes the main theorem in Kohlberg–Neyman [KN81], dealing with  $u(n, \omega) = A^n$  and D convex (the latter condition was removed in [PR83]). For the random setting previous results can be found in [K04]. When X is strictly convex and reflexive, the conclusion implies weak convergence of  $u(n, \omega)x/n$ . When the norm of the dual of X is Fréchet differentiable, the conclusion implies norm convergence of  $u(n, \omega)x/n$ . In general however the above statement is optimal in view of a counterexample in [KN81].

# 1.3. Applications

In this subsection, we briefly describe different settings where our results apply. More involved applications are described in Sections 4–6.

Applied mathematics provides a wealth of examples of nonexpansive mappings of Banach spaces, especially  $\ell^\infty$ , for example in dynamical programming and topical matrix multiplication (homogeneous, order preserving), generalizing matrices in the max-plus or min-plus (tropical) semiring. In finite dimensions the existence of Lyapunov exponents has been studied, in particular what could then be called a tropical Oseledets multiplicative ergodic theorem has been established. We refer to [CT80, Co88, Ma97, Gu03] and references therein. Our Corollary 1.5 implies some known and some new statements in this setting.

More precisely, let S be a set and consider the Banach space B(S) of bounded, real-valued functions  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  with the sup-norm. Consider a map  $A: B(S) \to B(S)$  (not necessarily linear) with the following properties:

- (monotonicity) if f(x) < g(x) for all x, then (Af)(x) < (Ag)(x) for all x,
- (semihomogeneity) for any positive constant a,

$$A(f(\cdot) + a)(x) < Af(x) + a$$
.

Blackwell [Bl65] observed that such maps A are semicontractive. He had a constant  $0 < \beta < 1$  in the second condition in front of a on the right hand side. This constant corresponds to discounting in financial settings, mathematically giving a strict contraction, or a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz map. When  $S = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , these operators, which can now be viewed as functions  $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , and with equality in the second condition, are sometimes called *topical functions* (see [Gu03]).

A multiplicative variant of this type of maps are self-mappings  $A: C \to C$  of cones with A(ax) = aA(x) and with  $x \le y \Rightarrow Ax \le Ay$  in the cone partial order. Such maps are semicontractive in Hilbert's metric and its variants.

Our theorems apply to random products of such mappings, and information about metric functionals can be found in [Wa08].

Consider now the random ergodic set-up, first considered in particular by von Neumann–Ulam (see [Ka52]). Let  $L_{\omega}$  be a collection of measure preserving transformations of a probability space  $(Y, \nu)$ , indexed by  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let  $T: \Omega \to \Omega$  be an ergodic measure preserving map. Given  $v: Y \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in Y, \omega \in \Omega$ , we consider the average

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}v(L_{T^{k-1}\omega}L_{T^{k-2}\omega}\cdots L_{\omega}y).$$

Introduce an isometry  $\varphi(\omega)$  of  $X = L^2(Y, v)$  by  $(\varphi(\omega)w)(y) = v(y) + w(L_{\omega}y) = v + U_{\omega}w$ , where  $U_{\omega}w := w(L_{\omega}y)$ . Let  $u(n, \omega)$  denote the corresponding multiplicative cocycle. Then the above average equals  $(u(n, \omega)0)(y)/n$ . Hence, the following corollary (which follows readily from Corollary 1.5) is a generalization of the (random) mean ergodic theorem. In this statement, a map is strongly measurable if it is the pointwise strong limit of a sequence of finitely-valued maps (see [BS57]).

**Corollary 1.6.** Let X be a Banach space and let U be a strongly measurable map from  $\Omega$  to linear operators on X. Suppose that  $\|U_{\omega}\| \leq 1$  for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then for every  $v \in X$  and a.e.  $\omega$  there is a linear functional  $F_{\omega}$  on X with  $\|F_{\omega}\| = 1$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}F_{\omega}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U_{\omega}U_{T\omega}\cdots U_{T^{k-1}\omega}v\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}U_{\omega}U_{T\omega}\cdots U_{T^{k-1}\omega}v\right\|.$$

Again we remark that when *X* is strictly convex and reflexive, the conclusion implies weak convergence of the ergodic average in question and when the norm of the dual of *X* is Fréchet differentiable, the conclusion implies norm convergence. It is however known, and due to Yosida [Ka52], that in this situation, if there is a weakly convergent subsequence then strong convergence of the whole sequence follows. So *X* being strictly convex and reflexive would suffice. One of the most general results of this type, with norm convergence, was obtained by Beck–Schwartz [BS57] for reflexive spaces. (There are many papers considering norm convergence of similar or more general averages.) Note here that it is well-known that the Carleman–von Neumann mean ergodic theorem (i.e. with norm convergence) does not hold in general for Banach spaces. Our statement does hold, and implies as said norm convergence under the conditions mentioned.

As another application, in Section 4 we show:

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $v(n, \omega) = A(T^{n-1}\omega)A(T^{n-2}\omega)\cdots A(\omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle of bounded invertible linear operators of a Hilbert space. Consider the positive part  $[v(n, \omega)] := (v(n, \omega)^*v(n, \omega))^{1/2}$ . Then for a.e.  $\omega$  there is a norm 1 linear functional  $F_\omega$  on the space of bounded linear operators such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} F_{\omega}(\log [v(n, \omega)]) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} ||\log [v(n, \omega)]||.$$

(The logarithm is as usual the inverse of the exponential map and is well-defined on positive elements.) This general statement can under further assumptions be shown to yield some known theorems. The same remarks as after Corollary 1.5 apply. For example

if the dimension of the Hilbert space is finite, then Sym, the vector spaces of bounded operators B such that  $B^* = B$ , can be given a Hilbert space structure and it follows that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\left[v(n,\omega)\right]$$

converges. This is known to be equivalent to Oseledets' multiplicative ergodic theorem, as for example explained in [R82]. In the infinite-dimensional case and restricting to identity plus Hilbert–Schmidt operators, one gets uniform convergence, as observed in [KM99], stronger than that in [R82] for compact operators. Note that in general the conclusion of the standard multiplicative ergodic theorem is too strong: if A is a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space and v is a nonzero vector, it is not true in general that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log \|A^n v\|$$

converges as  $n \to \infty$ . This is well-known and simple: see for example the introduction to [Sc06] where an example appears. In this context we also refer to [LL10, GQ15].

In Section 5 we exemplify a consequence of Theorem 1.4 in the complex-analytic setting, and yet another application in Section 6. A further possibility would be to consider random products of diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold, and exploit the induced isometric action on the space of Riemannian metrics [Eb68]. This space has been studied somewhat, it is known to be CAT(0) but not complete (compare this with the discussion on the Weil–Petersson metric in [KL06]). For two or more generic diffeomorphisms there is no joint invariant measure on the manifold, but our Theorem 1.4 applies (compare with [LQ95]).

## 2. Subadditive ergodic cocycles

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. First note that we can assume that the space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a standard Lebesgue space. Indeed, since the statement of Theorem 1.1 only deals with the distribution of the countable family of real-valued functions  $(a(n, T^k \omega))_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ , it suffices to work on the space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^2}$  with the Borel probability measure encoding the joint distribution of all these functions and the shift map. This space is a standard Lebesgue space. Then, passing to the natural extension if necessary, one can assume without loss of generality that T is invertible. (Natural extensions exist in general only for transformations of a standard Lebesgue space, which is why the first reduction was needed.)

One of the inequalities in (1.1) is known. Indeed, by definition  $a(n,\omega) \le a(n-\ell,T^\ell\omega) + a(\ell,\omega)$  and by Kingman's theorem  $a(\ell,\omega) = A\ell + o(\ell)$ . This means that

$$a(n, \omega) \le a(n - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega) + A\ell + \ell\delta_{\ell}$$

where  $\delta_{\ell} \to 0$ .

The other inequality is much more subtle. It says intuitively that at certain times the cocycle is nearly additive. Note that Theorem 1.1 for additive cocycles is equivalent to Birkhoff's ergodic theorem.

We begin by defining

$$b(n, \omega) = a(n, T^{-n}\omega).$$

This is again a subadditive cocycle but for the transformation  $\tau := T^{-1}$  and with the same asymptotic average as a. The interest in b comes from the fact that

$$a(n,\omega) - a(n-\ell, T^{\ell}\omega) = b(n,\omega') - b(n-\ell,\omega'),$$

where  $\omega' = T^n \omega$ . Note that, on the right-hand side, the point  $\omega'$  is the same in both terms. We start by showing that  $b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega)$  behaves well for the majority of times n and for  $\ell \le n$  large enough, by a combinatorial argument related to several proofs of Kingman's theorem (for example as in Steele [S89]). This is the key point of the proof, given in Lemma 2.2. In contrast to [KM99], we will control the majority of times and not just a small set of times. This is central to keep good control once one changes variables back from b to a.

We begin by proving the following lemma as a warm-up. These arguments will be used again in a more elaborate form in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let b be an integrable ergodic subadditive cocycle with finite asymptotic average A. Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists c > 0 such that for almost every  $\omega$ ,

$$\overline{\text{Dens}} \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ell \in [1, n], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) < (A - c)\ell \} < \delta.$$

*Proof.* By replacing if necessary  $b(n, \omega)$  by  $b(n, \omega) - An$ , one may without loss of generality assume that A = 0. We denote by  $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$  the underlying ergodic transformation. We fix  $\omega \in \Omega$  and let  $V = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ell \in [1, n], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) \le -c\ell\}$ . When c is large enough we would like to conclude that the density of V is small.

Fix N > 0. We will partition [0, N] using the following algorithm. First let  $n_0 = N$ . Assuming  $n_i$  is defined, we proceed as follows. If  $n_i \notin V$ , then take  $n_{i+1} = n_i - 1$ . If  $n_i \in V$ , then let  $\ell_i \in [1, n_i]$  be as in the definition of V, and let  $n_{i+1} = n_i - \ell_i$ . We stop when  $n_i = 0$ .

We have decomposed the interval [0, N) into a union of intervals  $[n_i - 1, n_i)$  (with  $n_i \notin V$ ), and  $[n_i - \ell_i, n_i)$  (with  $n_i \in V$ ). Using the subadditivity along the intervals of the first type one gets

$$b(N,\omega) = \sum_{n_i} (b(n_i,\omega) - b(n_{i+1},\omega)) \leq \sum_{n_i \notin V} b(1,\tau^{n_{i+1}}\omega) + \sum_{n_i \in V} (b(n_i,\omega) - b(n_{i+1},\omega)).$$

Almost surely,  $b(N,\cdot) = o(N)$  as  $N \to \infty$ . In particular, from a certain point onward, one has  $b(N,\omega) \ge -N$  assuming  $\omega$  belongs to this set of full measure. In the expression on the right, we majorize the sum over  $n_i \notin V$  by  $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} b^+(1,\tau^j\omega)$  (where  $b^+ = \max(0,b)$ ), which in view of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem itself is bounded by MN if N is sufficiently large, where we have set  $M = 1 + \int b^+(1,\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega)$ . Using the definition of V, we obtain

$$-N \le MN - c \sum_{n_i \in V} (n_i - n_{i+1}).$$

Since  $V \cap [0, N-1]$  is included in the union of the intervals  $(n_{i+1}, n_i]$  for  $n_i \in V$ , its cardinality  $|V \cap [0, N-1]|$  is bounded by  $\sum (n_i - n_{i+1})$ . Therefore, the previous inequality gives

$$|V \cap [0, N-1]| \le (M+1)N/c$$
.

This finishes the proof, by taking c sufficiently large so that  $(M+1)/c \le \delta$ .

In the following lemma, we replace c (large) of Lemma 2.1 by a parameter  $\epsilon$  which is arbitrarily small. The price to pay in order to preserve a valid statement is to restrict it to sufficiently large  $\ell$ . This lemma plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let b be an integrable ergodic subadditive cocycle with finite asymptotic average A. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists  $k \geq 1$  such that for almost every  $\omega$ ,

$$\overline{\mathrm{Dens}}\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ell \in [k, n], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) \le (A - \epsilon)\ell\} \le \delta.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that A=0. We denote by  $\tau$  the underlying ergodic transformation. Going to the natural extension if necessary, we can assume that  $\tau$  is invertible.

The idea of the proof is that the argument we used to prove Lemma 2.1 should work in our situation if  $\int b^+(1,\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega)$  is small enough. This is not the case in general, but this is asymptotically true for the iterates of the cocycle, by Kingman's theorem: if s is large enough, then  $\int b^+(s,\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega)/s$  is very small. We will fix such an s, discretize time to work in  $s\mathbb{N}$ , and follow the proof of Lemma 2.1 in this set. Additional errors show up in the approximation process, but they are negligible if k in the statement of the lemma is large enough. If one is to do this precisely, there is a problem that  $\tau^s$  is in general not necessarily ergodic. This issue is resolved by working with times in the set  $s\mathbb{N}+t$  for fixed  $t\in[0,s-1]$ .

Let us start the rigorous argument. Fix  $\rho > 0$ , which corresponds to the precision we want to achieve (this value will be chosen at the end of the proof). Since  $b(s,\omega)/s$  tends to 0 almost everywhere and in  $L^1$  when s tends to infinity by Kingman's theorem, the same holds for  $b^+$ . One can thus take  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\int b^+(s,\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega) < \rho s$ . We also fix  $t \in [0,s-1]$ . Let  $K=s\mathbb{N}+t$  be the set of reference times we will use in the following. Once all these data are fixed, we take a large enough k.

We fix  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The set of bad times, whose density we want to majorize, can be decomposed as  $U \cup V$ , where

$$U = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \cap (s, \infty) : \exists \ell \in (n - s, n], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) \le -\epsilon \ell \},$$
  
$$V = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ell \in [k, n - s], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) < -\epsilon \ell \}.$$

If  $n \in U$ , then there exists  $i \in [0, s)$  such that  $b(n, \omega) \le b(i, \omega) - \epsilon(n-i)$ . We deduce that U is almost surely finite, since whenever U is infinite, we have  $\liminf b(n, \omega)/n \le -\epsilon$  in view of the previous inequality, but we know that  $b(n, \cdot)/n \to 0$  almost everywhere. It suffices therefore to estimate the density of V.

Consider  $n \in V$  and  $\ell \in [k, n-s]$  such that  $b(n, \omega) - b(n-\ell, \omega) \le -\epsilon \ell$ . We will approximate such an n by a time in K. Let  $\tilde{n}$  be the successor of n in K, that is, the smallest time in K with  $\tilde{n} \ge n$ . We write  $\tilde{n} = n + i$  with i < s. Thus,

$$b(\tilde{n}, \omega) = b(n+i, \omega) \le b(n, \omega) + b(i, \tau^n \omega) \le b(n, \omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}} \omega),$$

where we set  $F(\eta) = \sum_{j=-s}^{s} b^{+}(1, \tau^{j} \eta)$ , which is integrable and positive. Similarly, as  $n - \ell \ge s$  by assumption,  $n - \ell$  admits a predecessor  $\tilde{n} - \tilde{\ell}$  in K. One has  $n - \ell = \tilde{n} - \tilde{\ell} + j$  for some j < s, and

$$b(n-\ell,\omega) = b(\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell}+j,\omega) \le b(\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell},\omega) + b(j,\tau^{\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell}}\omega) \le b(\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell},\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell}}\omega).$$

We finally obtain

$$\begin{split} b(\tilde{n},\omega) - b(\tilde{n} - \tilde{\ell},\omega) &\leq b(n,\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}}\omega) - b(n-\ell,\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell}}\omega) \\ &\leq -\epsilon\ell + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}}\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell}}\omega) \leq -\epsilon\tilde{\ell}/2 + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}}\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}-\tilde{\ell}}\omega), \end{split}$$

where the last inequality comes from the fact that  $\tilde{\ell} \leq \ell + 2s$  is bounded by  $2\ell$  whenever k is sufficiently large. Note also that  $\tilde{\ell} \geq \ell \geq k$ .

Denote

$$W = \{ \tilde{n} \in K : \exists \tilde{\ell} \in s \mathbb{N} \cap [k, \tilde{n}], \ b(\tilde{n}, \omega) - b(\tilde{n} - \tilde{\ell}, \omega) \le -\epsilon \tilde{\ell}/2 + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}}\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n} - \tilde{\ell}}\omega) \}.$$

We have shown that

$$V \subset W + [-s+1, 0].$$
 (2.1)

Therefore, to estimate the density of V, it suffices to estimate the density of W. Let N be an integer, let  $\tilde{N} = ps + t$  be its successor in K (it satisfies  $\tilde{N} \leq 2N$  if N is sufficiently large). We decompose  $K \cap [0, \tilde{N}]$  as in Lemma 2.1. We start with  $\tilde{n}_0 = \tilde{N}$ . If we have defined  $\tilde{n}_i$ , we define its predecessor as follows. If  $\tilde{n}_i \notin W$ , we take  $\tilde{n}_{i+1} = \tilde{n}_i - s$ . If  $\tilde{n}_i \in W$ , then let  $\tilde{\ell}_i \in s\mathbb{N} \cap [k, \tilde{n}_i]$  as in the definition of W, and set  $\tilde{n}_{i+1} = \tilde{n}_i - \tilde{\ell}_i$ . We stop when  $\tilde{n}_i = t$ .

We have thus decomposed  $[0, \tilde{N})$  as a union of intervals of the form  $[\tilde{n}_i - s, \tilde{n}_i)$  (with  $\tilde{n}_i \notin W$ ), and  $[\tilde{n}_i - \tilde{\ell}_i, \tilde{n}_i)$  (with  $\tilde{n}_i \in W$ ) and [0, t). All the times  $\tilde{n}_i$  belong to  $K = s\mathbb{N} + t$  by construction.

Using the subadditivity along the intervals of the first and the third types, we get

$$b(\tilde{N},\omega) \leq b(t,\omega) + \sum_{\tilde{n}_i \notin W} b(s,\tau^{\tilde{n}_{i+1}}\omega) + \sum_{\tilde{n}_i \in W} (b(\tilde{n}_i,\omega) - b(\tilde{n}_{i+1},\omega)).$$

Almost surely,  $b(\tilde{N}, \cdot) = o(\tilde{N})$  when  $\tilde{N}$  tends to infinity. Hence, after a certain stage, we have  $b(\tilde{N}, \omega) \ge -\rho \tilde{N}$ . In the terms on the right hand side above, we majorize the sum over  $\tilde{n}_i \notin W$  by  $\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b^+(s, \tau^{js+t}\omega)$ . The trivial term  $b(t, \omega)$  is estimated by  $F(\omega)$ . Using the definition of W, we obtain

$$-\rho \tilde{N} \leq F(\omega) + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b^+(s, \tau^{js+t}\omega) + \sum_{\tilde{n}_i \in W} (-\epsilon (\tilde{n}_i - \tilde{n}_{i+1})/2 + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}_i}\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}_{i+1}}\omega)).$$

The set W is included in the union of the intervals  $(\tilde{n}_{i+1}, \tilde{n}_i]$  with  $\tilde{n}_i \in W$ , by construction. We claim that the same holds for V. Indeed, in view of (2.1), an integer  $n \in V$  can be written as  $\tilde{n} - j$  with j < s and  $\tilde{n} \in W$ . Consider the interval  $(\tilde{n}_{i+1}, \tilde{n}_i]$  containing  $\tilde{n}$ .

As  $\tilde{n}_{i+1}$  and  $\tilde{n}$  are both  $\equiv t[s]$ , one has  $\tilde{n} \geq \tilde{n}_{i+1} + s$ , and therefore  $n > \tilde{n}_{i+1}$  so that  $n \in (\tilde{n}_{i+1}, \tilde{n}_i]$ , proving the claim. We obtain  $|V \cap [0, N-1]| \leq \sum (\tilde{n}_i - \tilde{n}_{i+1})$ . Hence, the previous displayed inequality gives

$$\epsilon |V \cap [0, N-1]|/2 \le \rho \tilde{N} + F(\omega) + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b^{+}(s, \tau^{js+t}\omega) + \sum_{\tilde{n}_{i} \in W} (F(\tau^{\tilde{n}_{i}}\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}_{i+1}}\omega)).$$

The function F is integrable, so there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the function  $G = F \cdot 1_{F \geq M}$  satisfies  $\int G < s\rho$ . We majorize F by M + G. In the last displayed inequality, the  $\tilde{n}_i$ s in W are separated by at least k because  $\tilde{\ell}_i \geq k$  by definition. Therefore, the number of such  $\tilde{n}_i$  is at most  $\tilde{N}/k$ . We get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\tilde{n}_i \in W} (F(\tau^{\tilde{n}_i}\omega) + F(\tau^{\tilde{n}_{i+1}}\omega)) &\leq 2(\tilde{N}/k)M + \sum_{\tilde{n}_i \in W} (G(\tau^{\tilde{n}_i}\omega) + G(\tau^{\tilde{n}_{i+1}}\omega)) \\ &\leq \rho \tilde{N} + 2\sum_{i=0}^p G(\tau^{js+t}\omega) \end{split}$$

whenever k is sufficiently large.

Finally, if k is sufficiently large, one has (using  $\tilde{N} \leq 2N$ )

$$\epsilon |V \cap [0, N-1]|/2 \le F(\omega) + 4\rho N + \sum_{i=0}^{p} H(\tau^{js+t}\omega),$$

where  $H(\eta) = b^+(s, \eta) + 2G(\eta)$  has integral  $< 3s\rho$ . Summing over  $t \in [0, s-1]$ , we obtain

$$s\epsilon |V\cap[0,N-1]|/2 \le sF(\omega) + 4s\rho N + \sum_{i=0}^{N+s-1} H(\tau^i\omega).$$

For almost every  $\omega$ , Birkhoff's theorem applied to the function H gives  $\sum_{i=0}^{N+s-1} H(\tau^i \omega) \le 3s\rho N$  for N sufficiently large. Thus

$$s \in |V \cap [0, N-1]|/2 < sF(\omega) + 7s\rho N$$
.

This shows that the density of V is bounded by  $14\rho/\epsilon$ . This concludes the proof if we choose  $\rho = \epsilon \delta/14$  at the beginning of the argument.

We combine the preceding two lemmas in order to gain improved control over time, as follows.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let b be an integrable ergodic subadditive cocycle with finite asymptotic average A. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exist a sequence  $\delta_{\ell} \to 0$ , a subset O of measure at least  $1 - \epsilon$ , and for  $\omega \in O$  there is a sequence  $U(\omega)$  of bad times with  $|U(\omega) \cap [0, n-1]| \le \epsilon n$  for every n, with the following property. For every  $\omega \in O$ , for all n not in  $U(\omega)$ , and for every  $\ell \in [1, n]$ ,

$$b(n,\omega) - b(n-\ell,\omega) > (A-\delta_{\ell})\ell. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* For every i > 1, set  $c_i = 2^{-i}$ . In view of Lemma 2.2, there exists  $k_i$  such that, for almost every  $\omega$ , the set

$$U_i(\omega) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ell \in [k_i, n], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) \le (A - c_i)\ell\}$$

satisfies  $\overline{\mathrm{Dens}}(U_i(\omega)) < \epsilon 2^{-i}$ . For n large, say  $n \ge n_i(\omega)$ , we obtain  $|U_i(\omega) \cap [0, n-1]| \le \epsilon 2^{-i} n$ . Since the function  $n_i(\omega)$  is almost everywhere finite, we may find a subset  $O_i$  of measure close to 1, say  $\mu(O_i) > 1 - \epsilon 2^{-i}$ , on which  $n_i(\omega) \le n_i$  for some integer  $n_i$  (which one may choose to be  $> n_{i-1}$  (here  $n_1$  is to be defined below independently) and  $\ge k_i$ ).

We treat the case i=1 separately and in a more crude manner, applying Lemma 2.1: there is a constant  $c_1$  such that, for almost every  $\omega$ ,

$$\overline{\text{Dens}} \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ell \in [1, n], \ b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) < (A - c_1)\ell \} < \epsilon/2.$$

We set  $k_1 = 1$ . As above, we hence define  $U_1(\omega)$ ,  $n_1(\omega)$ , and  $O_1$ .

We set  $\bar{O} = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} O_i$ , the good set on which things are well controlled. It satisfies  $\mu(\bar{O}) > 1 - \epsilon$ . For  $\omega \in \bar{O}$  we define a set  $U(\omega)$  of bad times by

$$U(\omega) = \bigcup_{i \ge 1} U_i(\omega) \cap [n_i, +\infty).$$

We begin by showing that the bad set  $U(\omega)$  satisfies  $|U(\omega) \cap [0, n-1]| \le \epsilon n$  for every n. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let i be such that  $n_i \le n < n_{i+1}$  (there is nothing to do if  $n < n_1$  since  $U(\omega) \subset [n_1, +\infty)$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |U(\omega)\cap[0,n-1]| &= \left|\bigcup_{j\leq i} U_j(\omega)\cap[n_j,+\infty)\cap[0,n-1]\right| \leq \left|\bigcup_{j\leq i} U_j(\omega)\cap[0,n-1]\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j\leq i} |U_j(\omega)\cap[0,n-1]|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n \ge n_j$  for every  $j \le i$ , the cardinality of  $U_j(\omega) \cap [0, n-1]$  is bounded by  $\epsilon 2^{-j}n$ . Therefore the sum is not greater than  $\epsilon n$ , as desired.

Set  $I_i = [n_i, n_{i+1})$  for i > 1, and  $I_1 = [1, n_2)$ . We define a sequence  $\bar{\delta}_\ell = c_i$  for  $\ell \in I_i$ . This sequence tends to 0. We claim that it satisfies (2.2) for every  $n \ge n_1$  which is not in  $U(\omega)$ . Indeed, fix  $\ell \in [1, n]$ , it belongs to an interval  $I_i$ . We claim that  $n \ge n_i$ : This holds by assumption if i = 1, and if i > 1 we have  $n_i = \inf I_i \le \ell \le n$ . As  $n \ge n_i$  and  $n \notin U(\omega)$ , we have  $n \notin U_i(\omega)$ . Moreover,  $\ell \ge k_i$ : Indeed, if i > 1, this follows from the inequalities  $\ell \ge n_i$  and  $n_i \ge k_i$ , while if i = 1 this comes simply from the fact that  $k_1 = 1$ . Thus, the definition of  $U_i(\omega)$  ensures that  $b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) > (A - c_i)\ell$ , which gives the result since  $\bar{\delta}_\ell = c_i$ .

This almost finishes the proof; it just remains to treat the case  $n < n_1$  and  $\ell \in [1, n]$ . These are only a finite number of conditions. All the functions we have considered are measurable, so they are almost everywhere finite. There is therefore a subset O of  $\bar{O}$ , again with  $\mu(O) > 1 - \epsilon$ , and a constant d such that for every  $\omega \in O$ , and every  $n < n_1$ 

and  $\ell \in [1, n]$ , we have  $b(n, \omega) - b(n - \ell, \omega) \ge (A - d)\ell$ . Set finally  $\delta_{\ell} = \bar{\delta}_{\ell}$  for  $\ell \ge n_1$  and  $\delta_{\ell} = \max(d, \bar{\delta}_{\ell})$  for  $\ell < n_1$ . This function works.

We now deduce the theorem from these lemmas.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By subtracting  $A\ell$  from  $a(\ell, \omega)$ , we may assume that the asymptotic average A vanishes. First, we prove the easy upper bound. By subadditivity,

$$a(n, \omega) - a(n - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega) \le a(\ell, \omega),$$

which is almost surely  $o(\ell)$  by Kingman's theorem. This proves the upper bound in Theorem 1.1. The stronger statement in Remark 1.2 follows from the fact that the almost sure convergence  $a(\ell, \omega)/\ell \to 0$  is uniform on sets of arbitrarily large measure.

We turn to the harder lower bound. Let  $b(n,\omega)=a(n,T^{-n}\omega)$ . This is a subadditive cocycle for the ergodic transformation  $T^{-1}$ . We may therefore apply Lemma 2.3 to it. Let  $\epsilon>0$  and  $\rho>0$ . The lemma gives us a set O of good points with measure at least  $1-\epsilon$ , a sequence  $\delta_\ell\to 0$  and, for  $\omega\in O$ , a set  $U(\omega)$  of bad times with  $|U(\omega)\cap[0,n-1]|\leq \epsilon n$  for every n.

Let  $O_n = \{ \omega \in O : n \notin U(\omega) \}$  and  $P_n = T^{-n}O_n$ . For  $\omega \in P_n$  and  $\ell \in [1, n]$ , one has

$$a(n,\omega) - a(n-\ell, T^{\ell}\omega) = b(n, T^{n}\omega) - b(n-\ell, T^{n}\omega) \ge -\delta_{\ell}\ell.$$

Hence, if a point is contained in an infinite number of the sets  $P_n$ , it satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. If the times where it belongs to  $P_n$  have an asymptotic density of at least  $1 - \rho$ , it even satisfies the stronger conclusion in Remark 1.2. We have to show that this condition has large measure.

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we define  $A(\omega) = \{n : \omega \in P_n\}$ , its set of good times. We would like to see that  $A(\omega)$  has an upper asymptotic density larger than  $1 - \rho$  for  $\omega$  in a subset of large measure. Let  $f_N(\omega) = |A(\omega) \cap [0, N-1]|$ . The bad points are those for which  $f_N(\omega) \le (1-\rho)N$  for all N sufficiently large. Denote  $V_i = \{\omega : \forall N \ge i, \ f_N(\omega) \le (1-\rho)N\}$ , and  $V = \bigcup V_i$  the set of bad points.

We have

$$\int f_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mu(P_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mu(O_n) = \int 1_O(\omega) |[0, N-1] \setminus U(\omega)| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega)$$
$$\geq \int 1_O(\omega) (1-\epsilon) N \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\omega) \geq (1-\epsilon)^2 N.$$

Since  $f_N \leq N$ , for N > i we obtain

$$(1 - \epsilon)^2 N \le \int f_N \le (1 - \rho) N \mu(V_i) + N (1 - \mu(V_i)) = N - \rho N \mu(V_i).$$

Thus  $\mu(V_i) \leq (1 - (1 - \epsilon)^2)/\rho$ . We deduce that  $\mu(V) \leq (1 - (1 - \epsilon)^2)/\rho < \rho$  provided we have chosen  $\epsilon$  small enough with respect to  $\rho$ . This proves that the lower bound of Theorem 1.1 (and even the stronger conclusion in Remark 1.2) is satisfied on a set of measure greater than  $1 - \rho$ . Since  $\rho$  is arbitrary, the proof is complete.

Here is a small example showing that, even in deterministic situations, one cannot improve the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 to a bound of the form  $a_n - a_{n-\ell} \ge A\ell - \delta_\ell$  (where  $\delta_\ell$  is any sequence tending to 0) while keeping a lot of good times. Indeed, consider a sequence  $a_n$  which is either 1 or 2 for every n. This is always a subadditive sequence. Assume also that the value 2 is taken infinitely many times. Then the times for which  $a_n - a_{n-\ell} \ge -\delta_\ell$  for all  $\ell \le n$  are, up to finitely many exceptions, only the times when  $a_n = 2$ . Hence, they can be arbitrarily sparse.

# 3. Application to multiplicative ergodic theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let

$$a(n, \omega) = d(u(n, \omega)x_0, x_0),$$

where  $x_0$  is the basepoint that is used in the definition of metric functionals. Since the maps are semicontractive and thanks to the triangle inequality one verifies easily that this is a subadditive ergodic cocycle with asymptotic average  $A \ge 0$ . In view of Theorem 1.1 we have therefore for almost every  $\omega$  a sequence  $n_i \to \infty$  and a sequence  $\delta_\ell \to 0$  such that for every  $\ell \le n_i$ ,

$$d(u(n_i, \omega)x_0, x_0) - d(u(n_i - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega)x_0, x_0) \ge (A - \delta_{\ell})\ell.$$

If we write  $x_n = u(n, \omega)x_0$  and denote by  $h_n$  the horofunction associated to  $x_n$ , this means that

$$h_{n_i}(x_{\ell}) = d(x_{n_i}, x_{\ell}) - d(x_{n_i}, x_0) = d(u(n_i, \omega)x_0, u(\ell, \omega)x_0) - d(u(n_i, \omega)x_0, x_0)$$
  

$$\leq d(u(n_i - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega)x_0, x_0) - d(u(n_i, \omega)x_0, x_0) \leq -(A - \delta_{\ell})\ell.$$

This inequality passes to limits as  $n_i \to \infty$ .

If X is separable, one may extract a subsequence  $(n'_i)$  of  $(n_i)$  such that  $h_{n'_i}(y)$  converges for all y belonging to a countable dense set of X. Since all these functions are 1-Lipschitz, convergence at every point of X follows. The limit h of  $h_{n'_i}$  satisfies for all  $\ell$  the inequality

$$h(x_{\ell}) < -(A - \delta_{\ell})\ell. \tag{3.1}$$

In the general case,  $\Phi(X)$  is still compact, but it does not have to be sequentially compact, so we should argue differently. The sets

$$Y_i = \{ h \in \overline{\Phi(X)} : \forall \ell \le n_i, \ h(x_\ell) \le -(A - \delta_\ell)\ell \}$$

are nonempty (they contain  $h_{n_i}$ ) and form a decreasing sequence. By compactness,  $\bigcap_i Y_i$  is also nonempty. Any element h of this intersection satisfies (3.1).

The bound  $|h(x_{\ell})| \le d(x_{\ell}, x_0) \le A\ell + o(\ell)$  follows from the 1-Lipschitz property of h and Kingman's theorem. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} h(u(n, \omega)x_0) = A$$

as required. Again note that since the  $u(n, \omega)$ s are semicontractive, the orbit of  $x_0$  and the orbit of any other point x stay within bounded distance, therefore the same statement holds with x replacing  $x_0$ .

Finally, let us show that  $\omega \mapsto h^{\omega}$  can be chosen to <u>be Borel</u> measurable if  $\Omega$  is standard and X is separable. In this case, the topology on  $\overline{\Phi(X)}$  is generated by simple convergence along a dense sequence in X. Hence,  $\overline{\Phi(X)}$  is metrizable, and it becomes a compact metric space (see [GV12]). Since  $\Omega$  is standard, we may identify it with [0, 1].

By Remark 1.2, there exists a decomposition of  $\Omega$  as the union of a set  $\Lambda_{\infty}$  of measure 0 and an increasing sequence of sets  $\Omega_i$  on which one can use the same sequence  $\delta_{i,\ell}$ . By Luzin's theorem, we may also ensure that all the maps  $\omega \mapsto u(n,\omega)x_0$  are continuous on  $\Omega_i$ . Let  $\Lambda_1 = \Omega_1$  and  $\Lambda_i = \Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{i-1}$  for  $1 < i < \infty$ . It suffices to find a Borel map  $\omega \mapsto h^{\omega}$  on each  $\Lambda_i$ . Define

$$A(\omega) := \{ h \in \overline{\Phi(X)} : \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ h(x_{\ell}(\omega)) \le -(A - \delta_{i,\ell})\ell \}.$$

This is a nonempty compact subset of  $\overline{\Phi(X)}$ , depending upper semicontinuously on  $\omega \in \Lambda_i$ , by the continuity of  $\omega \mapsto u(n,\omega)x_0$  and every h. We are looking for a measurable map  $\omega \in \Lambda_i \mapsto h^\omega \in A(\omega)$ , the only difficulty being measurability. Its existence follows for instance from [W77, Theorem 4.1].

One can deduce Corollary 1.5 from Theorem 1.4 together with the following lemma (where  $x_0 = 0$ ).

**Lemma 3.1.** In a Banach space, for every metric functional h there is a linear functional f of norm at most 1 such that  $f \le h$ .

*Proof.* Let h be a metric functional on a Banach space X. We claim that, for any finite set F in X and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a linear functional f on X with norm at most 1 such that  $f(x) \le h(x) + \epsilon$  for all  $x \in F$ . Then it follows by weak\* compactness (the Banach–Alaoglu theorem) that there exists a linear functional f with norm at most 1 such that  $f(x) \le h(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Indeed, the intersection  $\bigcap_{F,\epsilon} \{f : \|f\| \le 1 \text{ and } f(x) \le h(x) + \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in F\}$  is nonempty as every finite intersection of such (compact) sets is nonempty.

Now we prove the claim. The open set

$$\{\tilde{h} \in \overline{\Phi(X)} : \forall x \in F, \ \tilde{h}(x) < h(x) + \epsilon\}$$

is nonempty (it contains h). Hence, as  $\overline{\Phi(X)}$  is the closure of X, this set contains a function  $h_y = \Phi(y)$ ,  $y \in X$ . Since the basepoint  $x_0$  used to define metric functionals is 0 in this vector space context, we have, for all  $x \in F$ ,

$$||y - x|| - ||y|| = h_{y}(x) < h(x) + \epsilon.$$

By the Hahn–Banach theorem, there exists a linear functional f of norm 1 with  $f(y) = -\|y\|$ . Then, for all  $x \in F$ ,

$$f(x) = f(x - y) + f(y) \le ||x - y|| - ||y|| \le h(x) + \epsilon$$

as desired.

Now, indeed, given  $h^{\omega}$  from Theorem 1.4, take  $f_{-}^{\omega}$  guaranteed by the lemma, and let  $f^{\omega} := -f_{-}^{\omega} \ge -h^{\omega}$ . Clearly, on the one hand we have

$$\frac{1}{n}f^{\omega}(u(n,\omega)x) \le \frac{1}{n}||u(n,\omega)x||,$$

and on the other hand

$$\frac{1}{n}f^{\omega}(u(n,\omega)x) \ge -\frac{1}{n}h^{\omega}(u(n,\omega)x) \to \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}d(x,u(n,\omega)x).$$

Therefore, since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}d(x,u(n,\omega)x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}d(0,u(n,\omega)x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\|u(n,\omega)x)\|,$$

Corollary 1.5 follows.

Alternatively, we give a direct proof of Corollary 1.5 within the vector space setting without referring to metric functionals:

Proof of Corollary 1.5 directly from Theorem 1.1. Let

$$a(n, \omega) = \|u(n, \omega)0\|,$$

which is a subadditive ergodic cocycle with asymptotic average  $A \ge 0$ . If A = 0 then the conclusion is trivial, so we assume that A > 0. In view of Theorem 1.1 we have therefore for almost every  $\omega$  a sequence  $n_i \to \infty$  and a sequence  $\delta_\ell \to 0$  such that for every i and every  $\ell \le n_i$ ,

$$||u(n_i, \omega)0|| - ||u(n_i - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega)0|| \ge (A - \delta_{\ell})\ell.$$

We denote  $x_n = u(n, \omega)0$ . By the Hahn–Banach theorem we can find for any n a linear functional  $f_n$  of norm 1 such that  $f_n(x_n) = ||x_n||$ . Now, for any  $\ell \le n_i$ ,

$$f_{n_{i}}(x_{\ell}) = f_{n_{i}}(x_{n_{i}} + x_{\ell} - x_{n_{i}}) = \|u(n_{i}, \omega)0\| - f_{n_{i}}(x_{n_{i}} - x_{\ell})$$

$$\geq \|u(n_{i}, \omega)0\| - \|u(n_{i}, \omega)0 - u(\ell, \omega)0\|$$

$$\geq \|u(n_{i}, \omega)0\| - \|u(n_{i} - \ell, T^{\ell}\omega)0 - 0\| \geq (A - \delta_{\ell})\ell.$$

By weak\* compactness, there exists a linear functional  $f = f^{\omega}$  of norm at most 1 satisfying  $f(x_{\ell}) \ge (A - \delta_{\ell})\ell$  for all  $\ell \ge 0$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell} f(x_{\ell}) = A$$

a.e. as required. In the case A > 0, the norm of f is clearly necessarily 1.

This has in turn another consequence, Corollary 1.6, as is explained in the introduction.

## 4. Cocycles of bounded linear operators

Invertible  $d \times d$  real matrices act on the symmetric space  $Pos_d = GL_d(\mathbb{R})/O_d(\mathbb{R})$  by isometries. How Theorem 1.4 in this special case implies the Oseledets theorem is explained for example in [KM99, K04]. Similarly, bounded linear invertible operators of a

Hilbert space H act by isometries on the space Pos(H) of positive elements of the algebra B(H) of all bounded linear operators  $H \to H$ . The space Pos(H) is a cone in the vector space  $Sym(H) = \{a \in B(H) : a^* = a\}$ . The action is given by

$$g: p \mapsto gpg^*$$
.

The metric is given by a Finsler norm at each  $p \in Pos(H)$ ,

$$||a||_p := ||p^{-1/2}ap^{-1/2}||$$

for  $a \in \text{Sym}(H)$  (see [CPR94] for details).

Thus for integrable ergodic cocycles of bounded linear operators we may again apply Theorem 1.4. In contrast to the finite-dimensional case, the metric of Pos is less nice and the space is not locally compact. Therefore the metric functionals are less studied at present time. An alternative approach is provided thanks to Segal's inequality

$$||e^{u+v}|| < ||e^{u/2}e^ve^{u/2}||.$$

This implies a weak notion of nonpositive curvature (see [CPR94] and references therein): the diffeomorphism  $\exp: \mathrm{Sym} \to \mathrm{Pos}$  semiexpands distances. This means that the inverse, the logarithm, is semicontractive.

So let  $v(n, \omega) = \varphi(T^{n-1}\omega)\varphi(T^{n-2}\omega)\cdots\varphi(\omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle of bounded invertible linear operators of H. Note that we here take the opposite order compared to (1.2). Hence if we denote by  $p_n$  the positive part of  $v(n, \omega)$ , that is,

$$p_n(\omega) = (v(n, \omega)^* v(n, \omega))^{1/2},$$

then  $a(n,\omega):=\|\log p_n(\omega)\|$  is a subadditive cocycle, where the norm is the operator norm. Indeed, notice that the  $p_n$  is the orbit of the matrices  $v(n,\omega)^*$  acting by isometry on Pos, now in the right order for the metric statements. The distance from the identity to the nth point of the random orbit in Pos gives a subadditive cocycle. Since the logarithm preserves the distance from Id to p, and contracts distances between p and q not the identity, the inequalities between distances go in the right direction so that the subadditivity of the distances between points is preserved. This is explained in [K02]. Therefore the proof of Corollary 1.5 as given in Section 3 goes through. We conclude that for a.e.  $\omega$  there is a linear functional  $F_{\omega}$  on Sym (or on the full space of bounded linear operators, by Hahn–Banach) of norm 1 such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} F_{\omega}(\log p_n(\omega)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} ||\log p_n(\omega)||,$$

which is Theorem 1.7.

For comparison, the classical formulation of the multiplicative ergodic theorem is equivalent to the statement that  $p_n(\omega)^{1/n}$ , or  $\frac{1}{n}\log p_n(\omega)$ , converges in norm as  $n\to\infty$ . In general Sym is not uniformly convex, so our weaker convergence seems nearly best possible (in view of the counterexample in [KN81] mentioned above). Under stronger assumptions, one can probably promote it to a stronger statement. When Sym is a Hilbert space, for example in the setting of Hilbert–Schmidt operators, the linear functionals are given by  $M\mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(AM)$ . This implies the Oseledets theorem (it is actually stronger, a more uniform convergence) as Ruelle explains for compact operators (see [KM99]).

As was remarked in the introduction, it is well-known that in general, even for powers of just one operator, one cannot hope for Oseledets-type Lyapunov regularity.

# 5. Cocycles of holomorphic maps

Pseudo-metrics are frequently employed in the theory of several complex variables. (*Pseudo* refers to the fact that for these distances the axiom about d(x, y) = 0 may fail.) This is partly so because of the Schwarz lemma but also thanks to their connection to diophantine problems (Lang's Conjecture [La74]). Given a complex analytic space Z, we denote by  $d_Z$  the associated Kobayashi pseudo-distance. Every holomorphic map  $f: Z \to W$  between complex spaces is 1-Lipschitz with respect to these pseudo-metrics:

$$d_W(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \le d_Z(z_1, z_2)$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ . For instance, the pseudo-metric on  $\mathbb{C}$  is identically 0 for all pairs of points. One says that a space Z is *Kobayashi-hyperbolic* if  $d_Z$  is a true metric. For example, hyperbolic Riemann surfaces are always hyperbolic in this sense too, in fact the metric  $d_Z$  coincides with the hyperbolic metric coming from the Poincaré metric on the universal covering space. (These facts already explain the theorems of Liouville and Picard on entire functions.)

Many papers have been devoted to the topic of extending the Wolff–Denjoy theorem, and there are also papers about composing random maps, in both orders, generalizing continued fraction expansion (see [KeL07] and references therein).

Even for a pseudo-metric one defines metric functionals and horofunctions as before. So our multiplicative ergodic theorem in principle applies, and gives an extension of the Wolff-Denjoy theorem to a vastly more general situation:

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $u(n, \omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle of holomorphic self-maps of a complex space Z. Then for a.e. x there is a metric functional  $h^{\omega}$  for the pseudo-metric space  $(Z, d_Z)$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} -\frac{1}{n}h^{\omega}(u(n,\omega)z) = A := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}d_Z(u(n,\omega)z,z).$$

It remains to understand horofunctions. Under certain convexity and smoothness assumptions, these metrics are Gromov hyperbolic or something slightly weaker, and our result then implies that the orbit converges to a boundary point provided A > 0. For the state-of-the-art of the metric geometry of the Kobayashi metric, we refer to [K05, AR14, Z17] and references therein. Here is a corollary:

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $u(n, \omega)$  be an integrable ergodic cocycle of holomorphic maps of D, where D is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  which is either strictly convex, strictly pseudo-convex with  $C^2$ -smooth boundary, or pseudo-convex with analytic boundary. Unless for a.e.  $\omega$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n}d_D(u(n,\omega)z,z)\to 0\quad as\ n\to\infty,$$

a.e. orbit  $u(n, \omega)z$  converges to some boundary point  $\xi_{\omega} \in \partial D$ . The boundary point may depend on  $\omega$  but is independent of  $z \in D$ .

*Proof.* It is known that under these assumptions on D the metric space (D,d) is a proper metric space, where  $d=d_D$  is the Kobayashi metric. Assuming A>0, the orbit accumulates on  $\partial D$  and our Theorem 1.4 provides for a.e.  $\omega$  a horofunction h such that

$$h(u(n,\omega)z) \to -\infty$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , for any  $z \in D$ . We may assume that a sequence  $x_n$  defining h (say with basepoint x) converges to some point  $\xi$  in  $\partial D$ .

If D is strictly convex, it is shown in [AR14] that Abate's big horospheres

$$F_{x}(\xi, R) = \left\{ z \in D : \liminf_{w \to \xi} \left( d(z, w) - d(x, w) \right) < \frac{1}{2} \log R \right\}$$

can only meet the boundary in one point. It is clear that  $\{z : h(z) < 0\}$  is contained in  $F_x(\xi, 0)$ . Thus we must have  $u(n, \omega)z \to \xi$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

In the remaining two cases it is known from [K05] and references therein that for any sequence  $z_n$  converging to a different boundary point, there is a constant R > 0 such that for all n, m,

$$R > (z_m, x_n) := \frac{1}{2}(d(x, z_m) + d(x, x_n) - d(z_m, x_n)).$$

Therefore it would be impossible that  $h(z_m) < 0$  since  $d(x, z_m) \to \infty$ . Hence again the conclusion that  $u(n, \omega)z \to \xi$ .

# 6. Behaviour of extremal length under holomorphic self-maps of Teichmüller space

In his celebrated 1976 preprint (see [T88, Theorem 5]), Thurston announced that isotopy classes of surface diffeomorphisms admit some kind of Lyapunov exponents. Let S be a closed surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ . For any isotopy class  $\alpha$  of simple closed curves on S, and  $\rho$  a Riemannian metric, the length  $L_{\rho}(\alpha)$  is the shortest length of a curve in the isotopy class  $\alpha$  for the metric  $\rho$ . Given a diffeomorphism f of S, there are a finite number of exponents  $\lambda_i$  such that

$$L_{\rho}(f^n\alpha)^{1/n} \to \lambda_i$$

as  $n \to \infty$  for some i depending on  $\alpha$ . In the generic case there is only one exponent. This is proved by passing to the Teichmüller space  $T_g$  whose points are equivalence classes of metrics, and instead considering the action of f there. Indeed,

$$L_{\rho}(f^{n}\alpha) = L_{f^{-n}\rho}(\alpha).$$

This was partly generalized to cocycles in [K14]. We also refer to [H16] for a refinement in the i.i.d. case. In several instances, again mainly due to Thurston, certain holomorphic self-maps of the Teichmüller space arise. Unless they are biholomorphic they do not give rise to an isotopy class of diffeomorphisms of the underlying surface. It is therefore natural to consider how lengths behave under the metric  $u(n, \omega)$  with this order of composition. In the case of holomorphic maps it is more natural to consider length

from complex analysis, namely the extremal length of Beurling-Ahlfors:

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{x}(\alpha) = \sup_{\rho \in [x]} \frac{L_{\rho}(\alpha)^{2}}{\operatorname{Area}(\rho)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all metrics in the conformal class of x.

The link between the Teichmüller metric  $d_T$  and extremal length comes via Kerckhoff's formula:

$$d_T(x, y) = \sup_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\operatorname{Ext}_x(\alpha)}{\operatorname{Ext}_y(\alpha)}.$$

Applying our main theorem to the Teichmüller distance, using the identification of horofunctions in this metric due to Liu and Su, and following the arguments in [K14], we get:

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $u(n, \omega)$  be an integrable cocycle of holomorphic self-maps of the genus g Teichmüller space  $T_g$ . Denote by  $d_T$  the Teichmüller distance. Then for a.e.  $\omega$  there is a simple closed curve  $\alpha = \alpha_\omega$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{Ext}_{u(n,\omega)\rho}(\alpha) = 2 \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} d_T(u(n,\omega)\rho, \rho).$$

Acknowledgments. Research supported in part by the Swiss NSF grant 200020 153127.

#### References

- [AR11] Abate, M., Raissy, J.: Backward iteration in strongly convex domains. Adv. Math. **228**, 2837–2854 (2011) Zbl 1250.32019 MR 2838060
- [AR14] Abate, M., Raissy, J.: Wolff–Denjoy theorems in nonsmooth convex domains. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **193**, 1503–1518 (2014) Zbl 1300.32016 MR 3262645
- [ABV00] Alves, J. F., Bonatti, C., Viana, M.: SRB measures for partially hyperbolic systems whose central direction is mostly expanding. Invent. Math. **140**, 351–398 (2000) Zbl 0962.37012 MR 1757000
- [BS57] Beck, A., Schwartz, J. T.: A vector-valued random ergodic theorem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, 1049–1059 (1957) Zbl 0084.13702 MR 0098162
- [Bl65] Blackwell, D.: Discounted dynamic programming. Ann. Math. Statist. **36**, 226–235 (1965) Zbl 0133.42805 MR 0173536
- [BH99] Bridson, M. R., Haefliger, A.: Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 319, Springer, Berlin (1999) Zbl 0988.53001 MR 1744486
- [Co88] Cohen, J. E.: Subadditivity, generalized products of random matrices and operations research. SIAM Rev. 30, 69–86 (1988) Zbl 0639.60091 MR 0931278
- [CPR94] Corach, G., Porta, H., Recht, L.: Convexity of the geodesic distance on spaces of positive operators. Illinois J. Math. 38, 87–94 (1994) Zbl 0802.53012 MR 1245836
- [CT80] Crandall, M. G., Tartar, L.: Some relations between nonexpansive and order preserving mappings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78, 385–390 (1980) Zbl 0449.47059 MR 0553381
- [Eb68] Ebin, D. G.: On the space of Riemannian metrics. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74**, 1001–1003 (1968) Zbl 0172.22905 MR 0231410

- [ER85] Eckmann, J.-P., Ruelle, D.: Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors. Rev. Modern Phys. 57, 617–656 (1985) Zbl 0989.37516 MR 0800052
- [F02] Forni, G.: Deviation of ergodic averages for area-preserving flows on surfaces of higher genus. Ann. of Math. (2) **155**, 1–103 (2002) Zbl 1034.37003 MR 1888794
- [GV12] Gaubert, S., Vigeral, G.: A maximin characterisation of the escape rate of non-expansive mappings in metrically convex spaces. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **152**, 341–363 (2012) Zbl 1255.47053 MR 2887878
- [GQ15] González-Tokman, C., Quas, A.: A concise proof of the multiplicative ergodic theorem on Banach spaces. J. Modern Dynam. 9, 237–255 (2015) Zbl 1358.37020 MR 3400385
- [Go15] Gouëzel, S.: Ergodic theory. The Archive of Formal Proofs, https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Ergodic Theory.shtml (2015)
- [GG17] Grabarnik, G. Ya., Guysinsky, M.: Livšic theorem for Banach rings. Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 37, 4379–4390 (2017) Zbl 1360.37073 MR 3642269
- [Gr81] Gromov, M.: Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions. In: Riemann Surfaces and Related Topics (Stony Brook, NY, 1978), Ann. of Math. Stud. 97, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 183–213 (1981) Zbl 0467.53035 MR 0624814
- [Gu03] Gunawardena, J.: From max-plus algebra to nonexpansive mappings: a nonlinear theory for discrete event systems. Theoret. Computer Sci. **293**, 141–167 (2003) Zbl 1036.93045 MR 1957616
- [H16] Horbez, C.: The horoboundary of outer space, and growth under random automorphisms. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 49, 1075–1123 (2016) Zbl 1398.20052 MR 3581811
- [Ka52] Kakutani, S.: Ergodic theory. In: Proc. International Congress of Mathematicians (Cambridge, MA, 1950), Vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 128–142 (1952) Zbl 0049.08603 MR 0045947
- [Ka11] Kalinin, B.: Livšic Theorem for matrix cocycles. Ann. of Math. 173, 1025–1042 (2011) Zbl 1238.37008 MR 2776369
- [K01] Karlsson, A.: Non-expanding maps and Busemann functions. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 21, 1447–1457 (2001) Zbl 1072.37028 MR 1855841
- [K02] Karlsson, A.: Nonexpanding maps, Busemann functions, and multiplicative ergodic theory. In: Rigidity in Dynamics and Geometry (Cambridge, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 283–294 (2002) Zbl 1035.37015 MR 1919406
- [K04] Karlsson, A.: Linear rate of escape and convergence in direction. In: Random Walks and Geometry, de Gruyter, Berlin, 459–471 (2004) Zbl 1056.60044 MR 2087796
- [K05] Karlsson, A.: On the dynamics of isometries. Geom. Topol. **9**, 2359-2394 (2005) Zbl 1120.53026 MR 2209375
- [K14] Karlsson, A.: Two extensions of Thurston's spectral theorem for surface diffeomorphisms. Bull. London Math. Soc. 46, 217–226 (2014) Zbl 1311.37035 MR 3194741
- [KL06] Karlsson, A., Ledrappier, F.: On laws of large numbers for random walks. Ann. Probab. **34**, 1693–1706 (2006) Zbl 1111.60005 MR 2271477
- [KM99] Karlsson, A., Margulis, G. A.: A multiplicative ergodic theorem and nonpositively curved spaces. Comm. Math. Phys. 208, 107–123 (1999) Zbl 0979.37006 MR 1729880
- [KeL07] Keen, L., Lakic, N.: Hyperbolic Geometry from a Local Viewpoint. London Math. Soc. Student Texts 68, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2007) Zbl 1190.30001 MR 2354879
- [Ki68] Kingman, J. F. C.: The ergodic theory of subadditive stochastic processes. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B 30, 499–510 (1968) Zbl 0182.22802 MR 0254907

- [KN81] Kohlberg, E., Neyman, A.: Asymptotic behavior of nonexpansive mappings in normed linear spaces. Israel J. Math. 38, 269–275 (1981) Zbl 0476.47045 MR 0617673
- [La74] Lang, S.: Higher dimensional diophantine problems. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80, 779–787 (1974) Zbl 0298.14014 MR 0360464
- [LL10] Lian, Z., Lu, K.: Lyapunov exponents and invariant manifolds for random dynamical systems in a Banach space. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 206, no. 967, vi+106 pp. (2010) Zbl 1200.37047 MR 2674952
- [LY12] Lian, Z., Young, L.-S.: Lyapunov exponents, periodic orbits, and horseshoes for semiflows on Hilbert spaces. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 25, 637–665 (2012) Zbl 1263.37080 MR 2904569
- [LQ95] Liu, P.-D., Qian, M.: Smooth Ergodic Theory of Random Dynamical Systems. Lecture Notes in Math. 1606, Springer, Berlin (1995) Zbl 0841.58041 MR 1369243
- [Ma97] Mairesse, J.: Products of irreducible random matrices in the (max, +) algebra. Adv. Appl. Probab. 29, 444–477 (1997) Zbl 0890.60063 MR 1450939
- [O68] Oseledets, V. I.: A multiplicative ergodic theorem. Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 19, 179–210 (1968) Zbl 0236.93034 MR 0240280
- [PR83] Plant, A. T., Reich, S.: The asymptotics of nonexpansive iterations. J. Funct. Anal. **54**, 308–319 (1983) Zbl 0542,47045 MR 0724526
- [R82] Ruelle, D.: Characteristic exponents and invariant manifolds in Hilbert space. Ann. of Math. (2) 115, 243–290 (1982) Zbl 0493,58015 MR 0647807
- [R84] Ruelle, D.: Characteristic exponents for a viscous fluid subjected to time dependent forces. Comm. Math. Phys. 93, 285–300 (1984) Zbl 0565.76031 MR 0745685
- [Sc06] Schaumlöffel, K.-U.: Multiplicative ergodic theorems in infinite dimensions. In: Infinite-Dimensional Random Dynamical Systems, Lyapunov Exponents, Lecture Notes in Math. 1486, Springer, 187–195 (2006) Zbl 0744.58043 MR 1178957
- [S89] Steele, J. M.: Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 25, 93–98 (1989) Zbl 0669.60039 MR 0995293
- [T88] Thurston, W. P.: On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 19, 417–431 (1988) Zbl 0674.57008
- [W77] Wagner, D. H.: Survey of measurable selection theorems. SIAM J. Control Optimization 15, 859–903 (1977) Zbl 0407.28006 MR 0486391
- [Wa08] Walsh, C.: The horofunction boundary of the Hilbert geometry. Adv. Geom. **8**, 503–529 (2008) Zbl 1155.53335 MR 2456635
- [Z17] Zimmer, A. M.: Gromov hyperbolicity, the Kobayashi metric, and  $\mathbb{C}$ -convex sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369**, 8437–8456 (2017) Zbl 1381.32005 MR 3710631