

RENNES 14/06/11

1. Hopf-formulas of HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS.

We consider the initial-value problem

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + H(Du) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^m \times (0, +\infty) \\ u = g & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^m \times \{t=0\}. \end{cases}$$

MAIN HYPOTHESES

$$(H_1) \quad H: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ convex, } \lim_{|p| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{H(p)}{|p|} = +\infty$$

$$(H_2) \quad g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz continuous.}$$

For fixed $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times (0, +\infty)$ we set

$$\mathcal{A}(x, t) = \left\{ y \in W^{1, \infty}([0, t], \mathbb{R}^m) : y(t) = x \right\}$$

and consider the problem of minimizing the cost functional

$$J(y; t) = \int_0^t H^*(y'(s)) ds + g(y(0))$$

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{A}(x, t).$$

DEF 1.1

The function $u: \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$u(x, t) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{A}(t, x)} J(y; t)$$

is called the value function of our

-2-

minimization problem

THEOREM 1.1 (Hopf formula)

The value function u satisfies

$$u(x, t) = \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ t H^* \left(\frac{x-z}{t} \right) + g(z) \right\} \quad (\text{HF})$$

$$\forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, +\infty).$$

Remark 1

The inequality : (HF) follows from the convexity and the fact that the geodesics are straight lines:

Indeed:

" \geq " Define $z = x - \int_0^t z(s) ds$

$$\text{Then } u(x, t) \geq^* \inf_{z(\cdot)} \left\{ t H^* \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t z(s) ds \right) + g(z) \right\}$$

$$= \inf_z \left\{ t H^* \left(\frac{x-z}{t} \right) + g(z) \right\}.$$

* Jensen's Inequality.

" \leq " consider constant controls $z(\cdot)$ of the form $z(s) = \frac{x-z}{t}$ $0 \leq s \leq t$

We obtain:

$$u(x, t) \leq \inf_z \left\{ t H^* \left(\frac{x-z}{t} \right) + g(z) \right\}$$

Remark 2LEMMA 1.1 (FUNCTIONAL IDENTITY)

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \leq s \leq t$ we have

$$u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ (t-s) H^{\otimes} \left(\frac{x-y}{t-s} \right) + u(y, s) \right\}.$$

(it is nothing that the dynamic PROGRAMMING PRINCIPLE).

LEMMA 1.2 (Lipschitz continuity)

The function u is Lipschitz continuous in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty)$ and

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t=0\}.$$

THEOREM 1.2 (Hopf-Lax formula as solution).

The function u defined by the Hopf-Lax formula is Lipschitz continuous, is differentiable e.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$ and

solves

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_t + H(Du) = 0 \quad \text{e.e. } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty) \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right.$$

The property of solving (CP) almost everywhere, is not enough to characterize the value function. Indeed such a problem can have more than one solution in the class of Lipschitz continuous functions as the the next example shows.

EXAMPLE 1.1

The problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_x^2 = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

admits the solution $u \equiv 0$. However for any $\alpha > 0$, the function u_α defined as

$$u_\alpha(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq \alpha t \\ \alpha|x| - \alpha^2 t & \text{if } |x| < \alpha t \end{cases}$$

is a Lipschitz function satisfying the equation & c together its initial condition.

The above example show that the property of solving the equation & c is too weak and does not suffice to provide a satisfactory notion of generalized solution. It is therefore desirable to find ^{some} additional conditions which ensures uniqueness and characterizes the Lipschitz continuous

solutions of the equation.

2.1 SEMICONCAVITY OF HOPF'S SOLUTION

In this section we consider a further regularity property of the solution to (CP) given by Hopf's formula, known as SEMICONCAVITY. We first give a definition.

DEFINITION 2.1

Let S' be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We say that a function $u: S' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is semiconcave with linear modulus if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$(*) \quad \lambda u(y_1) + (1-\lambda)u(y_2) - u(\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2) \leq \lambda(1-\lambda)c|y_1 - y_2|^2$$

for any pair $y_1, y_2 \in S'$ such that $[y_1, y_2] \subseteq S'$ and for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

PROPOSITION 2.1

Given $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open set, and given $c \geq 0$, the following properties are equivalent:

- inequality (*) is satisfied.
- the function $y \mapsto u(y) - c|y|^2$ is concave in every convex subset of A ;
- $u \in C(A)$ and satisfies $u(y+h) + u(y-h) - 2u(y) \leq 2c|h|^2$ for any y, h such that $[y-h, y+h] \subseteq A$;
- $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\nu|=1$ we have $\partial_{\nu\nu}^2 u \leq 2c$ in the sense of distributions.

LEMMA 2.1

Suppose there exists a constant c such that

$$g(x+z) - z g(x) + g(x-z) \leq c|z|^2$$

$\forall x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then u defined by the Hopf's formula satisfies:

$$u(x+z, t) - z u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) \leq c|z|^2$$

for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$.

REMARK 1: One can show that u is semiconcave also w.r.t. t . (see COR. 1.5.4 CANNARSA & SINISTRARI).

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1

Choose $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $u(x, t) = t H^* \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) + g(y)$.

Then putting $y+z, y-z$ in the Hopf-formules for $u(x+z, t)$ and $u(x-z, t)$ we find.

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x+z, t) - z u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) \leq \\ & \leq \left[t H^* \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) + g(y+z) \right] - z \left[t H^* \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) + g(y) \right] \\ & \quad + \left[t H^* \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) + g(y+z) \right] \\ & \leq g(y+z) - z g(y) + g(y-z) \leq c|z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.1

A C^2 convex function $H: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called UNIFORMLY CONVEX (with constant $\sigma > 0$) if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{p_i p_j}(p) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \sigma |\xi|^2 \quad \forall p, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We now prove that even if g is not semiconcave, the uniform convexity of H forces u to become semiconcave for $t > 0$: this is a kind of mild regularization effect for the Hopf-Lax solution of (CP).

LEMMA 2.2

Suppose H is uniformly convex (with constant $\sigma > 0$) and u defined by the Hopf-Lax formula. Then

$$u(x+z, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) \leq \frac{1}{8t} |z|^2 \quad \forall x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

PROOF

By applying Taylor formula and the uniform convexity we get

$$H\left(\frac{p_1+p_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} H(p_1) + \frac{1}{2} H(p_2) - \frac{\sigma}{8} |p_1 - p_2|^2$$

CLAIM H^* satisfies the estimate

$$\frac{1}{2} H^*(q_1) + \frac{1}{2} H^*(q_2) \leq H^*\left(\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8\sigma} |q_1 - q_2|^2$$

Indeed

$$H^*(z) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \{ z \cdot y - H(y) \}$$

Thus $H^*(z) \geq z \cdot y - H(y) \quad \forall y, \forall z$

In particular $H(y) \geq z \cdot y - H^*(z) \quad \forall y, \forall z$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and q_1, q_2 be such that

$$H(p_1) \leq - H^*(q_1) + q_1 \cdot p_1 + \varepsilon$$

$$H(p_2) \leq - H^*(q_2) + q_2 \cdot p_2 + \varepsilon.$$

Let $h(p) = \frac{\sigma}{\delta} |p|^2$. We have

$$h^*(q) = \sup_p \left\{ p \cdot q - \frac{\sigma}{\delta} |p|^2 \right\} = \frac{\delta}{\sigma} |q|^2$$

Thus

$$- H^* \left(\frac{q_1 + q_2}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{q_1 + q_2}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} \right) - \frac{\delta}{\sigma} \left| \frac{q_1 - q_2}{4} \right|^2$$

$$+ \left(\frac{q_1 - q_2}{4} \right) \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \leq - \frac{H^*(q_1)}{2} + \frac{q_1 \cdot p_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$- \frac{H^*(q_2)}{2} + \frac{q_2 \cdot p_2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow H^* \left(\frac{q_1 + q_2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\delta \sigma} |q_1 - q_2|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} H^*(q_1) + \frac{1}{2} H^*(q_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and we prove the claim. \square

Now choose y so that $u(x, t) = t H^* \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) + g(y)$.

Then using the same value of y in the Hopf-Lax formula for $u(x+z, t)$ and $u(x-z, t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x+z, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-z, t) &\leq \\ &\leq \left[t H^* \left(\frac{x+z-y}{t} \right) + g(y) \right] - 2 \left[t H^* \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) + g(y) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[t H^* \left(\frac{x-z-y}{t} \right) + g(y) \right] \leq 2t \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left| \frac{z}{t} \right|^2 \leq \frac{|z|^2}{\sigma t}. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that the semiconcavity property singles out Hopf's solution among all Lipschitz continuous solutions of the HJ equation.

THEOREM 2.1

Let $H \in C^2$ be convex and let $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ be solutions of (CP) such that $\forall t > 0$ and

$i = 1, 2,$

$$u_i(x+h, t) + u_i(x-h, t) - 2u_i(x, t) \leq c \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) |h|^2 \quad \forall x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for some $c > 0$. Then $u_1 = u_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$.

We recall an elementary algebraic property.

LEMMA 2.3

Let A, B be two symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. Suppose that $0 \leq A \leq \Lambda I$ and $B \leq kI$ for some $\Lambda, k > 0$. Then

$$\text{trace}(AB) \leq nk\Lambda.$$

PROOF.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of B and let $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$ be the corresponding eigenvalues. Then our assumptions imply

$$\mu_i \leq k, \quad 0 \leq \langle e_i, Ae_i \rangle \leq \Lambda \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(AB) &= \sum_i \langle e_i, AB e_i \rangle = \sum_i \mu_i \langle e_i, Ae_i \rangle \\ &\leq k \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, Ae_i \rangle \leq nk\Lambda \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Setting $\bar{u}(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)$ we have

$$\bar{u}_t(x, t) = H(Du_1(x, t)) - H(Du_2(x, t)) = -b(x, t) \nabla \bar{u}(x, t)$$

where

$$b(x, t) = \int_0^1 DH(\pi Du_2(x, t) + (1-\pi) Du_1(x, t)) d\pi$$

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a C^1 function to be fixed later. Setting $v = \Phi(\bar{u})$ we obtain

for e. e. (t, u)

$$v_t(x, t) + b(x, t) \cdot \nabla v(x, t) = 0.$$

let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be a nonnegative function,
 $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq B(0, 1)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi \, dx = 1$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^\varepsilon(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} u_i(y, t) \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right) dy \\ &= u_i * \varphi^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

We have: $u_i^\varepsilon \in C^\infty$ with respect to x and satisfy

i) $|\nabla u_i^\varepsilon| \leq \text{Lip}(u_i)$

ii) $\forall t > 0, \nabla u_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla u_i$ e. e. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In addition u_i^ε satisfies the semi-concavity estimate $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

therefore

$$\nabla^2 u_i^\varepsilon(x, t) \in C\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)I \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times (0, +\infty)$$

Setting

$$b_\varepsilon(x, t) = \int_0^1 D H(\tau \nabla u_2^\varepsilon(x, t) + (1-\tau) \nabla u_1^\varepsilon(x, t)) \, d\tau$$

We can rewrite the equation $v_t + b \cdot Dv = 0$ in the form

$$v_t + \operatorname{div}(v b_\varepsilon) = (\operatorname{div} b_\varepsilon) v + (b_\varepsilon - b) \cdot Dv$$

Let us set

$$R = \max \left\{ |DH(p)| : |p| \in \max(Lip(u_1), Lip(u_2)) \right\}$$

$$\Lambda = \max \left\{ \|D^2 H(p)\| : |p| \in \max(Lip(u_1), Lip(u_2)) \right\}$$

We have

$$\operatorname{div} b_\varepsilon = \int_0^1 \sum_{k, \ell=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} \left(r D u_2^\varepsilon + (1-r) D u_1^\varepsilon \right) \left(r \frac{\partial^2 u_2^\varepsilon}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} + (1-r) \frac{\partial^2 u_1^\varepsilon}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} \right) dr$$

$$\leq m \Lambda C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

(we have applied Lemma 2.3 and the fact that u_i^ε are semiconcave).

Given $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$; let us introduce the function

$$E(t) = \int_{B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} v(x, t) dx \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0$$

and the cone

$$C = \left\{ (x, t) : 0 \leq t \leq t_0, x \in B(x_0, R(t_0-t)) \right\}$$

Then E is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies for e.e. $t > 0$,

$$E'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{R(t_0-t)} \int_{\partial B_p(x_0)} v(x, t) d\sigma dt$$

$$= -R \int_{\partial B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} v(x, t) d\sigma + \int_{B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} v_t dx$$

$$= - \int_{\partial B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} v(x, t) d\sigma + \int_{B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} \text{div}(v b_\varepsilon) + (\text{div} b_\varepsilon) v + (b_\varepsilon - b) \cdot \nabla v dx$$

$$= - \int_{\partial B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} v (R + b_\varepsilon \cdot \nu) d\sigma + \int_{B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} (\text{div} b_\varepsilon) v + (b_\varepsilon - b) \cdot \nabla v dx$$

$$\leq \int_{B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} \{ (\text{div} b_\varepsilon) v + (b_\varepsilon - b) \cdot \nabla v \} dx$$

$$\leq m \wedge c \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) E(t) + \int_{B(x_0, R(t_0-t))} (b_\varepsilon - b) \cdot \nabla v dx.$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and we get

$$E'(t) \leq m \wedge c \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) E(t) \quad \forall t \in]0, t_0[\text{ e.e.}$$

We know choose Φ in the definition of σ . We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and define Φ such that $\Phi(z) = 0$ if $|z| \leq \varepsilon (Lip(u_1) + Lip(u_2))$ and $\Phi(z) > 0$ otherwise.

Then the assumption that $u_1 = u_2$ for $t=0$ implies that $\sigma(t, x) = 0$ if $t \in \mathcal{E}$.

Thus by applying Gronwall's inequality,

$$0 \leq E(t) \leq E(\tau) \exp \int_{\tau}^t m \wedge C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) ds = 0$$

$\Rightarrow E(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0]$. Hence

$$|u_2 - u_1| \in \mathcal{E} \text{ (Lip}(u_1) + \text{Lip}(u_2)) \text{ on } \mathcal{E}.$$

By the arbitrariness of $\delta > 0$ we deduce that $u_1 \equiv u_2$ in \mathcal{E} and in particular $u_1(x_0, t_0) = u_2(x_0, t_0)$ □

COROLLARY 2.1.

Let H, g satisfy (H1), (H2). Suppose in addition that $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that either H is uniformly convex or g is semiconcave. Then there exists a unique $u \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ which solves (CP) e.e. and which satisfies

$$u(x+h, t) - u(x, t) + u(x-h, t) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) |h|^2$$

$x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$

for some $C > 0$. In addition u is given by Hopf's formula taking the Legendre transform of H .

- 14 bis -

EXAMPLES

1) Consider

$$(*) \begin{cases} u_t + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty) \\ u = |x| & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t=0\} \end{cases}$$

Here $H(p) = \frac{1}{2} |p|^2$ and $H^*(q) = \frac{1}{2} |q|^2$.

The Hopf-Lax formula for the unique, weak solution of (*) is

$$u(x, t) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|x-y|^2}{2t} + |y| \right\}$$

Assume $|x| > t$. Then

$$\text{Dy} \left(\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t} + |y| \right) = \frac{y-x}{t} + \frac{y}{|y|} \quad y \neq 0$$

and this expression equals 0 if $x = y + \frac{y}{|y|} t$,

Thus $u(x, t) = |x| - \frac{t}{2}$ if $|x| > t$. If $|x| \leq t$

the min. is attained at $y=0$. Consequently

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} |x| - \frac{t}{2} & \text{if } |x| > t \\ \frac{|x|^2}{2t} & \text{if } |x| \leq t \end{cases}$$

Observe that the solution becomes semiconcave at times $t > 0$ even though the initial function $g(x) = |x|$ is not semiconcave.

3. Hopf-Lax formula revisited.

Consider

$$(CP) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_t + H(Du) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \bar{t}] \\ u = g \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t=0\}. \end{array} \right.$$

THEOREM 3.1 (Hopf-Lax formula as viscosity solution)

ASSUME (H1), (H2). Then u is a viscosity solution of (CP).

PROOF 1. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty))$ and assume that $u - \varphi$ has a local max at $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$. According to LEMMA 1.1 we have

$$u(x_0, t_0) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ (t_0 - t) H^* \left(\frac{x_0 - x}{t_0 - t} \right) + u(x, t) \right\}$$

for each $0 \leq t < t_0$. Thus $\forall 0 \leq t < t_0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$u(x_0, t_0) \leq (t_0 - t) H^* \left(\frac{x_0 - x}{t_0 - t} \right) + u(x, t).$$

Since $u - \varphi$ has a local max at (x_0, t_0) we have

$$u(x_0, t_0) - \varphi(x_0, t_0) \geq u(x, t) - \varphi(x, t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in B(x_0, t_0, r)$$

Combining the above estimates we find

$$\varphi(x_0, t_0) - \varphi(x, t) \leq (t_0 - t) H^* \left(\frac{x_0 - x}{t_0 - t} \right)$$

Assume that (x, t) is a point of differentiability of u . Then there exists a sequence $(x_n, t_n) \rightarrow (x, t)$ such that u is differentiable at (x_n, t_n) and

$$u_t(x_n, t_n) + H(Du(x_n, t_n)) \geq 0 \quad \forall n$$

Since u is locally Lipschitz cont., up to subsequences

$$(D(x_n, t_n), u_t(x_n, t_n)) \rightarrow (Du(x, t), u_t(x, t))$$

so that, letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we get

$$u_t(x, t) + H(Du(x, t)) \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \bar{D}u(x)$$

□

Remark: A generalized semiconcave solution of (HJE) satisfies $u_t + H(Du) = 0$ $\forall (x, t)$ & $\forall p \in \bar{D}u(x, t)$.

