On the inf-sup constant of the divergence alias LBB constant

Monique Dauge

(joint work with Martin Costabel)

Note: This presentation is mainly based on our paper [9]. It is also related with the survey (in preparation) "About the inf-sup constant of the divergence" by C. BERNARDI, V. GIRAULT and the authors.

1. The constant of interest and some elementary properties

Here we only consider bounded connected domains Ω of \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$. Elements of \mathbb{R}^d are denoted by $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. For such a domain Ω , the inf-sup constant of the divergence associated with Dirichlet boundary conditions, also called LBB constant after LADYZHENSKAYA, BABUŠKA [2] and BREZZI [5], is defined as

1 ...

(1)
$$\beta(\Omega) = \inf_{q \in L^2_{\circ}(\Omega)} \sup_{\boldsymbol{v} \in H^1_0(\Omega)^d} \frac{\langle \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}, q \rangle_{\Omega}}{|\boldsymbol{v}|_{1,\Omega} \|q\|_{0,\Omega}}$$

Here $L^2_o(\Omega)$ stands for the space of square integrable scalar functions q with zero mean value in Ω endowed with its natural norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,\Omega}$ and natural scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and $H^1_0(\Omega)^d$ is the standard H^1 Sobolev space of vector functions $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ with square integrable gradients and zero traces on the boundary, endowed with its natural semi-norm $|\boldsymbol{v}|_{1,\Omega}$ defined as $(\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \|\partial_{x_j} v_k\|_{0,\Omega}^2)^{1/2}$. Since Ω is bounded, by virtue of the Poincaré inequality, the above semi-norm is equivalent to the usual norm in $H^1(\Omega)^d$.

We list some elementary properties of $\beta(\Omega)$:

- (a) In any dimension $d \ge 1$, $\beta(\Omega) \ge 0$,
- (b) In any dimension $d \ge 1$, $\beta(\Omega) \le 1$, because of the identity

$$orall oldsymbol{v} \in H^1_0(\Omega)^d, \quad \left|oldsymbol{v}
ight|_{1,\Omega}^2 = \left\|\operatorname{curl}oldsymbol{v}
ight\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \left\|\operatorname{div}oldsymbol{v}
ight\|_{0,\Omega}^2$$

- (c) If d = 1, Ω is a finite interval and $\beta(\Omega) = 1$,
- (d) In any dimension $d \ge 1$, using a Piola transform it is easy to show that $\beta(\Omega)$ is invariant by translations, dilations, symmetries and rotations. In other words, $\beta(\Omega)$ depends only on the *shape* of Ω .

2. Positiveness of the LBB constant

The constant $\beta(\Omega)$ is positive for Lipschitz domains [20], weakly Lipschitz domains (see [17, §1.2.1] for the distinction between Lipschitz and weakly Lipschitz), and John domains [1] (which include some domains with a fractal boundary). The proof is based on various constructions of a right inverse for the divergence operator, see [4, 15, 1]. In contrast, domains with an external cusp (or thin peak) satisfy $\beta(\Omega) = 0$, see [24].

3. Relation with the Schur complement of the Stokes operator

The Schur complement \mathcal{S} of the Stokes operator is defined as

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{S}: & L^2_\circ(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & L^2_\circ(\Omega) \\ & q & \longmapsto & \operatorname{div} \, \Delta^{-1} \, \nabla q \, . \end{array}$$

Here Δ^{-1} is the inverse of the Dirichlet vector Laplacian Δ acting from $H_0^1(\Omega)^d$ onto $H^{-1}(\Omega)^d$. The operator S is bounded self-adjoint, non-negative. But it is not compact, nor its resolvent. It is of order 0. Let $\sigma(\Omega)$ be the bottom of its spectrum. There holds

(2)
$$\sigma(\Omega) = \beta(\Omega)^2.$$

The associated eigenvalue problem can be phrased as a spectral Stokes problem with $\boldsymbol{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^d$ and $p \in L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$,

(3)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla p &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= \sigma p. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{ess}(\mathcal{S})$ be the spectrum and the essential spectrum of \mathcal{S} .

4. Relation with the Cosserat spectrum

Let us introduce the family of operators $\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{L}: & H^1_0(\Omega)^d & \longrightarrow & H^{-1}(\Omega)^d \\ & \boldsymbol{v} & \longmapsto & \sigma \Delta \boldsymbol{v} - \nabla \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} \end{array}$$

The Cosserat spectrum (after COSSERAT brothers [7, 8]) $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{L})$ [essential spectrum $\mathfrak{S}_{ess}(\mathcal{L})$] is the set of $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that \mathcal{L}_{σ} is not invertible [\mathcal{L}_{σ} is not Fredholm]. There holds

(4)
$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \{0\}$$
 and $\mathfrak{S}_{ess}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathfrak{S}_{ess}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \{0\}$

The operator \mathcal{L} has non empty essential spectrum: The points 0, $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 always belong to $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{ess}}(\mathcal{L})$ [19]. If the domain Ω has a smooth boundary, these are the only elements of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{ess}}(\mathcal{L})$. If Ω is a polygonal domain of \mathbb{R}^2 , $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{ess}}(\mathcal{L})$ is an interval of the form $[\frac{1}{2} - b, \frac{1}{2} + b]$ with a positive *b* depending on the corner openings of Ω [10].

A consequence is that for any domain Ω

$$\beta(\Omega)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
.

Explicit calculations show that $\beta(\Omega)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ for the disc $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and more generally $\beta(\Omega)^2 = \frac{1}{d}$ if Ω is a ball in \mathbb{R}^d [10].

5. Relation with the Friedrichs constant (dimension d = 2)

Let $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ denote the space of complex valued $L^2(\Omega)$ holomorphic functions and let $\mathfrak{F}_{\circ}(\Omega)$ be its subspace of functions with mean value 0. After [14] the *Friedrichs* constant $\Gamma(\Omega) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the smallest constant Γ such that for all $h + ig \in \mathfrak{F}_{\circ}(\Omega)$

$$\|h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \Gamma \|g\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

Theorem 1 ([18], hypotheses fixed in [9]). Let Ω be any bounded connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . The LBB constant $\beta(\Omega)$ is positive if and only if $\Gamma(\Omega)$ is finite and

$$\Gamma(\Omega) + 1 = \frac{1}{\beta(\Omega)^2}$$

6. Relation with the Horgan-Payne angle (dimension d = 2)

Let Ω be strictly star-shaped, which means that there is an open ball $B \subset \Omega$ such that any segment with one end in B and the other in Ω , is contained in Ω . Let O be the center of B and (r, θ) be polar coordinates centered at O. Let $\theta \mapsto r = f(\theta)$ be the polar parametrization of the boundary $\partial\Omega$, defined on $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} =: \mathbb{T}$. Since Ω is strictly star-shaped, f belongs to $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{T})$. We assume without restriction that $\max_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}} f(\theta) = 1$. After [18], we introduce the function P of $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ and of a parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ aimed at optimizing an upper bound for $\Gamma(\Omega)$

$$(0,1) \times \mathbb{T} \ni (\alpha,\theta) \longmapsto P(\alpha,\theta) = \frac{1}{\alpha f(\theta)^2} \left(1 + \frac{f'(\theta)^2}{f(\theta)^2 - \alpha f(\theta)^4} \right).$$

We denote by $m(\Omega)$ the original bound of [18]

(5)
$$m(\Omega) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}} \left\{ \inf_{\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1}{f(\theta)^2}\right)} P(\alpha, \theta) \right\}$$

and by $M(\Omega)$ our modified Horgan-Payne like bound

(6)
$$M(\Omega) = \inf_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \left\{ \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}} P(\alpha, \theta) \right\}$$

The quantity $M(\Omega)$ is always larger than $m(\Omega)$.

Let $\omega(\Omega)$ be the "Horgan-Payne angle" introduced by [23]

$$\omega(\Omega) = \arccos\left(\frac{m(\Omega) - 1}{m(\Omega) + 1}\right)$$

This angle has a simple geometrical interpretation as the minimal angle between radius [OA] and tangent along $\partial\Omega$ at A, for A running in $\partial\Omega$. It is easy to see that $\sin \frac{\omega(\Omega)}{2} = (m(\Omega) + 1)^{-1/2}$. Then, by virtue of Theorem 1, $\Gamma(\Omega) \leq m(\Omega)$ if and only if $\beta(\Omega) \geq \sin \frac{\omega(\Omega)}{2}$.

Theorem 2 ([9]). Any strictly star-shaped domain Ω satisfies the bounds

(7)
$$\Gamma(\Omega) \le M(\Omega) \quad and \quad \beta(\Omega) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{M(\Omega) + 1}}$$

If Ω is an ellipse, a triangle, a rectangle or a regular polygon, then $m(\Omega)$ coincides with $M(\Omega)$. Therefore

(8)
$$\Gamma(\Omega) \le m(\Omega) \quad and \quad \beta(\Omega) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(\Omega) + 1}} = \sin \frac{\omega(\Omega)}{2} .$$

As a matter of fact, there exist strictly star-shaped domains such that m < M. And even more: **Theorem 3** ([9]). There exists a strictly star-shaped domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

(~)

(9)
$$\Gamma(\Omega) > m(\Omega) \quad i.e. \quad \beta(\Omega) < \sin \frac{\omega(\Omega)}{2}$$
.

Counterexamples are provided by symmetric domains with a narrow pass for which we have proved an upper bound for $\beta(\Omega)$ (this can be related to the fact that elongated domains have a small β [6, 21, 11, 12]). This proves that the original result of [18] stating that (8) is valid for any strictly star-shaped domains is erroneous. Nevertheless our positive result of Theorem 2 is still in the spirit of [18] and allows to prove a general simple bound from below for $\beta(\Omega)$ that realizes an improvement of [13] for strictly star-shaped two-dimensional domains.

Though related, discrete inf-sup conditions are a rather different story. Now the choice of distinct discrete spaces for scalar and vector unknowns comes into play, see [16, 3, 22] among many others...

References

- G. Acosta, R.G. Durán, M.A. Muschietti, Solutions of the divergence operator on John domains, Adv. Math. 206 (2006), 373–401.
- [2] I. Babuška, The finite element method with Lagrange multipliers, Numer. Math. 20 (1973), 179–192.
- [3] C. Bernardi, Y. Maday, Uniform inf-sup conditions for the spectral discretization of the Stokes problem, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 9 (1999), no. 3, 395–414.
- [4] M.E. Bogovskiĭ, Solution of the first boundary value problem for the equation of continuity of an incompressible medium, Soviet Math. Dokl. 20 (1979), 1094–1098.
- [5] F. Brezzi, On the existence, uniqueness and approximation of saddle-point problems arising from Lagrange multipliers, R.A.I.R.O. Anal. Numér. 8 (1974), 129–151.
- [6] E.V. Chizhonkov, M.A. Olshanskii, On the domain geometry dependence of the LBB condition, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 34 (2000), 935–951.
- [7] E. Cosserat, F. Cosserat, Sur les équations de la théorie de l'élasticité, Note aux C.R.A.S., Paris 126 (1898), 1089–1091.
- [8] E. Cosserat, F. Cosserat, Sur la déformation infiniment petite d'un ellipsoide élastique, Note aux C.R.A.S., Paris 127 (1898), 315–318.
- M. Costabel, M. Dauge, On the inequalities of Babuška-Aziz, Friedrichs and Horgan-Payne, In preparation (2013).
- [10] M. Crouzeix, On an operator related to the convergence of Uzawa's algorithm for the Stokes equation, in Computational Science for the 21 century, M.-O. Bristeau and al. eds, Wiley (1997), 242–249.
- [11] M. Dobrowolski, On the LBB constant on stretched domains, Math. Nachr. 254/255 (2003), 64-67.
- [12] M. Dobrowolski, On the LBB condition in the numerical analysis of the Stokes equations, Appl. Numer. Math. 54 (2005), 314–323.
- [13] R.G. Durán, An elementary proof of the continuity from $L_0^2(\Omega)$ to $H_0^1(\Omega)^n$ of Bogovskii's right inverse of the divergence, Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina **53(2)** (2012), 59–78.
- [14] K.O. Friedrichs, On certain inequalities and characteristic value problems for analytic functions and for functions of two variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), 321–364.
- [15] G.P. Galdi, An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations. Vol. I. Linearized Steady Problems, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy 38. Springer-Verlag (1994).
- [16] V. Girault, P.-A. Raviart, Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Algorithms, Springer-Verlag (1986).

- [17] P. Grisvard, Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains, Pitman (1985).
- [18] C.O. Horgan, L.E. Payne, On inequalities of Korn, Friedrichs and Babuška-Aziz, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 82 (1983), 165–179.
- [19] S. G. Mihlin, The spectrum of the pencil of operators of elasticity theory. (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973), no. 3(171), 43–82
- [20] J. Nečas, Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Masson et Cie (1967).
- [21] M.A. Ol'shanski, E.V. Chizhonkov, On the best constant in the inf-sup condition for elongated rectangular domains, Mat. Zametki 67 (2000), 387–396; translation in Math. Notes 67 (2000), 325–332.
- [22] G. Stoyan, Towards discrete Velte decompositions and narrow bounds for inf-sup constants, Comput. Math. Appl. 38 (1999), 243–261.
- [23] G. Stoyan, Iterative Stokes solvers in the harmonic Velte subspace, Computing 67 (2001), 12–33.
- [24] L. Tartar, An Introduction to Navier-Stokes Equation and Oceanography, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiane 1, Springer (2006).