# HYPOELLIPTIC ESTIMATES FOR A LINEAR MODEL OF THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITHOUT ANGULAR CUTOFF 

NICOLAS LERNER, YOSHINORI MORIMOTO, KAREL PRAVDA-STAROV


#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish optimal hypoelliptic estimates for a class of kinetic equations, which are simplified linear models for the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following kinetic operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\partial_{t}+v \cdot \partial_{x}+a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}, t \in \mathbb{R}, x, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\sigma<1$ is a constant parameter, $x \cdot y$ stands for the standard dot-product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $a$ denotes a $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists a_{0}>0, \forall(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}, a(t, x, v) \geq a_{0}>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the notation $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ stands for the space of $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ functions whose derivatives of any order are bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$ and $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ is the Fourier multiplier with symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\eta)=|\eta|^{2 \sigma} w(\eta)+|\eta|^{2}(1-w(\eta)), \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $|\cdot|$ being the Euclidean norm, $w$ a $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ function satisfying $0 \leq w \leq 1$, $w(\eta)=1$ if $|\eta| \geq 2, w(\eta)=0$ if $|\eta| \leq 1$; and $D_{t}=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \partial_{t}, D_{x}=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \partial_{x}$, $D_{v}=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \partial_{v}$.

When $\sigma=1$, this operator reduces to the so-called Vlasov-Fokker-Planck operator, whereas when $0<\sigma<1$, it stands for a simplified linear model of the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff (see the end of this introduction together with section 5.1 in appendix). This is our motivation for studying the regularizing properties of this linear model and establishing hypoelliptic estimates with optimal loss of derivatives with respect to the exponent $0<\sigma<1$ of the fractional Laplacian $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$. This linear model has the familiar structure

Transport part in the $(t, x)$ variables + Elliptic part in the $v$ variable
and it is easy to get the regularity in the $v$ variable. The non-commutation of the transport part (the skew-adjoint part) with the self-adjoint elliptic part $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ will produce the regularizing effect in the $x$ variable.

Regarding this linear model, the existence and the $C^{\infty}$ regularity for the solutions of the Cauchy problem to linear and semi-linear equations associated with the operator (1) were proved in (31. H. Chen, W.-X. Li and C.-J. Xu have also recently studied its Gevrey hypoellipticity. More specifically, they established in [16] (Proposition 2.1) the following hypoelliptic estimate. Let $P$ be the operator defined in (11) and $K$ a

[^0]compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. For any $s \geq 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{K, s}>0$ such that for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{s+\delta} \leq C_{K, s}\left(\|P u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ standing for the $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ Sobolev norm and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\max \left(\frac{\sigma}{4}, \frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right)>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$ stands for the set of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ functions with support in $K$. This hypoelliptic estimate with loss of

$$
\max (2 \sigma, 1)-\delta>0
$$

derivatives is then a key instrumental ingredient for their investigation of the Gevrey hypoellipticity of the operator $P$. However, this hypoelliptic estimate (4) is not optimal. In the present work, we are interested in establishing hypoelliptic estimates with optimal loss of derivatives with respect to the exponent $0<\sigma<1$ of the fractional Laplacian $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$. More specifically, we shall show by using different microlocal techniques that the operator $P$ is hypoelliptic with a loss of

$$
\frac{\max \left(4 \sigma^{2}, 1\right)}{(2 \sigma+1)}>0
$$

derivatives, that is, that the hypoelliptic estimates (4) hold with the new positive gain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which improves for any $0<\sigma<1$ the gain provided by (5),

$$
\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}>\max \left(\frac{\sigma}{4}, \frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right) .
$$

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $P$ be the operator defined in (11), $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_{K, s}>0$ such that for all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{t}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{s} \leq C_{K, s}\left(\|P u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ being the $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ Sobolev norm.

The hypoelliptic estimates (7) are optimal in term of the exponents of derivative terms appearing in their left-hand-side, namely, $2 \sigma /(2 \sigma+1)$ for the regularity in the time and space variables and $2 \sigma$ for the regularity in the velocity variable. The exponent $2 \sigma$ for the regularity in the velocity variable has indeed the same growth as the diffusive part of the kinetic operator (11). Regarding the optimality of the exponent $2 \sigma /(2 \sigma+1)$ for the regularity in the time and space variables, we first notice that Theorem 1 is a natural extension for the values of the parameter $0<\sigma<1$ of the well-known optimal hypoelliptic estimates with loss of $4 / 3$ derivatives known for the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck operator, case $\sigma=1$, (see [12, 15, 35]),

$$
\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{t}\right|^{2 / 3}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{2 / 3}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2}\right) u\right\|_{s} \leq C_{K, s}\left(\|P u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s}\right) .
$$

See also [21] for general microlocal methods for proving optimal hypoelliptic estimates with loss of $4 / 3$ derivatives for certain classes of kinetic equations.

We deduce the optimality of the exponent $2 \sigma /(2 \sigma+1)$ for the regularity in the time and space variables by using a simple scaling argument. Indeed, let us consider the specific case when the function $a$ appearing in the definition of the kinetic operator
$P$ is constant and assume that the hypoelliptic estimates (4) hold for a positive gain $\delta>0$. It follows that the estimate

$$
\left\|\left(\left|D_{t}\right|^{\delta}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\delta}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{\delta}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(\left\|i D_{t} u+i v \cdot D_{x} u+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}\right)
$$

$\underline{\text { holds for any } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right) \text { with support in the closed unit Euclidean ball } B_{1}=}$ $\overline{B(0,1)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. The symplectic invariance of the Weyl quantization (Theorem 18.5.9 in [22]) shows that for any $\lambda \geq 1$,

$$
T_{\lambda}^{-1}\left(i D_{t}+i v \cdot D_{x}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) T_{\lambda}=\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\left(i D_{t}+i v \cdot D_{x}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right)
$$

and

$$
T_{\lambda}^{-1}\left(\left|D_{t}\right|^{\delta}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\delta}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{\delta}\right) T_{\lambda}=\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma \delta}{2 \sigma+1}}\left|D_{t}\right|^{\delta}+\lambda^{\delta}\left|D_{x}\right|^{\delta}+\lambda^{\frac{\delta}{2 \sigma+1}}\left|D_{v}\right|^{\delta},
$$

where $T_{\lambda}$ stands for the following unitary transformation on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$,

$$
T_{\lambda} u(t, x, v)=\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma+n}{2(2 \sigma+1)}+\frac{n}{2}} u\left(\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} t, \lambda x, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}} v\right)
$$

Recalling that $\lambda \geq 1$, we notice that the $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ function $T_{\lambda} u$ is supported in $B_{1}$ if the $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ function $u$ is supported in $B_{1}$. By applying the previous a priori estimate to functions $T_{\lambda} u$, we obtain that

$$
\left\|\left(\left|D_{t}\right|^{\delta}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\delta}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{\delta}\right) T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(\left\|\left(i D_{t}+i v \cdot D_{x}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)
$$

By using that $T_{\lambda}^{-1}$ is a unitary transformation on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$, we deduce that for any $\lambda \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma \delta}{2 \sigma+1}}\left|D_{t}\right|^{\delta}+\lambda^{\delta}\left|D_{x}\right|^{\delta}+\lambda^{\frac{\delta}{2 \sigma+1}}\left|D_{v}\right|^{\delta}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\quad \leq C\left(\lambda^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\left\|\left(i D_{t}+i v \cdot D_{x}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This estimate may hold for any $\lambda \geq 1$ only if

$$
\delta \leq \frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}
$$

This scaling argument shows that the positive gain $2 \sigma /(2 \sigma+1)>0$ in the hypoelliptic estimates (4) is the optimal possible one.

As a consequence of these optimal hypoelliptic estimates, we obtain the following result where we write

$$
f \in H_{\mathrm{loc},\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)
$$

if there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of the point $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\phi(t, x) f \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$ for any $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U)$.

Corollary 1. Let $P$ be the operator defined in (1) and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If $u \in H_{-N}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$ and $P u \in H_{\mathrm{loc},\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$ with $s \geq 0$, then there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that

$$
\frac{u}{\langle v\rangle^{k}} \in H_{\mathrm{loc},\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}^{s+\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)
$$

where $\langle v\rangle=\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. In particular, if $u \in H_{-N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ and $P u \in H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ then $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$.

Corollary 1 allows to recover the $C^{\infty}$ hypoellipticity proved in 31 (Theorem 1.2) with now optimal loss of derivatives. Notice that the equation (11) is not a classical pseudodifferential equation. Indeed, the coefficient $v$ in (11) is unbounded and the fractional Laplacian $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ is a classical pseudo-differential operator in the velocity variable $v$ but not in all the variables $t, x, v$. This accounts for parts of the difficulties encountered when studying this kinetic operator in particular when using cutoff
functions in the velocity variable. This also accounts for the weight $\langle v\rangle^{-k}$ appearing in the statement of Corollary 1 Notice that the proof of this result is constructive and that one may derive an explicit (possibly not sharp) bound on the integer $k \geq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is relying on some microlocal techniques developed by N . Lerner for proving energy estimates while using the Wick quantization [26]. Let us mention that some of these techniques were already used in the work [36]. The strategy for proving Theorem $\rceil$ is the following. We want to consider this equation as an evolution equation along the characteristic curves of $\partial_{t}+v \cdot \partial_{x}$; for that purpose, we straighten this vector field and get the normal form

$$
i D_{t}+a\left(t, D_{x_{2}}, D_{x_{1}}+t D_{x_{2}}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)
$$

This normal form suggests to derive some a priori estimates for the one-dimensional first-order differential operator

$$
i D_{t}+a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)
$$

depending on the parameters $x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We then deduce from those a priori estimates some a priori estimates for the operator

$$
i D_{t}+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\text {Wick }}
$$

defined by using the Wick quantization. As a last step, we need to control some remainder terms in order to come back to the standard quantization and derive a priori estimates for the original operator

$$
i D_{t}+a\left(t, D_{x_{2}}, D_{x_{1}}+t D_{x_{2}}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) .
$$

For the sake of completeness and to keep the paper essentially self-contained, the definition and all the main features of the Wick quantization are recalled in appendix (Section 5.2). Next section is devoted to the proof of a key hypoelliptic estimate (Proposition (1) which is the core of the present work. This key estimate is then the main ingredient in Section 3 for proving Theorem 1 Corollary 1 is established in Section 4

Before ending this introduction, we give some references and comments about the hypoelliptic properties of the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. Following the rigorous derivation of the lower bound for the non-cutoff Boltzmann collision operator in [3, there have been many works on the regularity for the solutions of the Boltzmann equation in both spatially homogeneous (see [4, 5, 17, 23, 29, 30] and references therein) and inhomogeneous cases (see [7, 8, 10]). In all those works, it was highlighted that the Boltzmann collision operator behaves essentially as a fractional Laplacian $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ under the angular singularity assumption on the collision cross-section (see [3, 7]). We refer the reader to Section 5.1 in appendix for comprehensive explanations about the relevance of this model. In the spatially homogeneous case, this diffusive structure implies a $C^{\infty}$ smoothing effect for the weak solutions to the Cauchy problem constructed in [38] (See [11, 23]). Furthermore, as in the case of the heat equation, the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff enjoys a smoothing effect in the Gevrey class of order $\sigma$ (see [24, 29, 30). Related to this Gevrey smoothing effect for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation, an ultra-analytic smoothing effect was proved in [32] for both non-linear homogeneous Landau equations and inhomogeneous linear Landau equations. Regarding the study of the Boltzmann equation in Gevrey spaces, we also refer the reader to the seminal work [37] which establishes the existence and uniqueness in Gevrey classes of a local solution to the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation in both spatially homogeneous and inhomogeneous cases. Considering now the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff, the $C^{\infty}$ hypoellipticity was established in [7, 8, 10] by using the coercivity
estimate for proving the regularity with respect to the velocity variable $v$ (see [3, 7]) and a version of the uncertainty principle for proving the regularity in the time and space variables $t, x$ via bootstrap arguments (see [6]). However, it should be noted that those works do not provide any optimal hypoelliptic estimates for the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. As an attempt to understand further the smoothing effect induced by the Boltzmann collision operator and to relate exactly the structure of the angular singularity in the collision cross-section to this regularizing effect, the present work studies the hypoelliptic properties of the simplified linear model (1) and aims at giving insights on the hypoelliptic properties what may be expected for the general spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff.

## 2. A KEY hypoelliptic estimate

Theorem will be derived from the following key hypoelliptic estimate:

Proposition 1. Let $P$ be the operator defined in (1) and $T>0$ be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_{T}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} u(\cdot, x, v) \subset[-T, T],(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C_{T}\left(\|P u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we use standard notations for symbol classes, see 22] (Chapter 18) or [27. The symbol class $S(m, \Gamma)$ associated to the order function $m$ and metric

$$
\Gamma=\frac{d x^{2}}{\varphi(x, \xi)^{2}}+\frac{d \xi^{2}}{\Phi(x, \xi)^{2}}
$$

with $\varphi, \Phi$ given positive functions, stands for the set of functions $a \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ satisfying for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C_{\alpha, \beta}>0, \forall(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n},\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} m(x, \xi) \varphi(x, \xi)^{-|\alpha|} \Phi(x, \xi)^{-|\beta|} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1. Some symbol reductions. We begin by few symbol reductions in order to reduce the symbol of the operator to a convenient normal form. For convenience only, we shall use the Weyl quantization rather than the standard one. Notice that it will be sufficient to prove the hypoelliptic estimate (9) for the operator $p^{w}$ defined by the Weyl quantization of the symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t, x, v ; \tau, \xi, \eta)=2 \pi i \tau+2 \pi i v \cdot \xi+a(t, x, v) F(2 \pi \eta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tau, \xi, \eta$ respectively standing for the dual variables of the variables $t, x, v$ and $F$ being the function defined in (3), while using the following normalization for the Weyl quantization

$$
\left(a^{w} u\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} e^{2 i \pi(x-y) \cdot \xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) d y d \xi
$$

Indeed, symbolic calculus shows that the operator

$$
R=P-p^{w}+\frac{1}{2 i} \nabla_{v} a(t, x, v) \cdot(\nabla F)\left(2 \pi D_{v}\right)
$$

is bounded on $L^{2}$. This is a direct consequence of the $L^{2}$ continuity theorem in the class $S_{00}^{0}$ (case $m=\varphi=\Phi=1$ in (10)) after noticing that the Weyl symbol of the operator $R$ together with all its derivatives of any order are bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{4 n+2}$, since
all the derivatives of order greater or equal to 2 of the symbol $F$ are bounded given the choice of the positive parameter $0<\sigma<1$. We refer the reader to formula (2.1.26) in [27] for explicit constants in the composition formula with the normalization of the Weyl quantization chosen here. It then remains to notice that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a positive constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla_{v} a(t, x, v) \cdot(\nabla F)\left(2 \pi D_{v}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(\nabla F)\left(2 \pi D_{v}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma-1}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+C_{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the function $\nabla_{v} a$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. When studying the operator $p^{w}$, it is convenient to work on the Fourier side in the variables $x, v$. This is equivalent to study the operator defined by the Weyl quantization of the symbol

$$
2 \pi i \tau-2 \pi i x \cdot \eta+a(t,-\xi,-\eta) F(2 \pi v)
$$

After relabeling the variables and simplifying the notations, we are reduced to study the operator $P=p^{w}$ defined by the Weyl quantization of the symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t, x, v ; \tau, \xi, \eta)=i \tau-i y \cdot \xi+a(t, \xi, \eta) F(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ stands for a $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists a_{0}>0, \forall(t, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}, a(t, \xi, \eta) \geq a_{0}>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F$ is the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=|x|^{2 \sigma} w(x)+|x|^{2}(1-w(x)) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w$ a new function having experienced a small homothetic transformation compared to the function appearing in (3). For the sake of simplicity, we shall keep the definition given in (3) and assume that $w \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq w \leq 1, w(\eta)=1$ if $|\eta| \geq 2$, and $w(\eta)=0$ if $|\eta| \leq 1$. Using these notations, Proposition 1 is equivalent to the proof of the following a priori estimate. For any $T>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{T}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, y}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} u(\cdot, x, y) \subset[-T, T],(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+|x|^{2 \sigma}+|y|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C_{T}\left(\|P u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to do so, we consider the new variables

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(y, x+t y),
$$

with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed. We define $A(x, y)=(y, x+t y)$. Associated to this linear change of variables are the real linear symplectic transformation

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\chi(x, y ; \xi, \eta)=\left(A(x, y) ;\left(A^{-1}\right)^{T}(\xi, \eta)\right)=(y, x+t y ; \eta-t \xi, \xi)
$$

and the two unitary operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$

$$
\left(M_{t} u\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=u\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}, x_{1}\right) ;\left(M_{t}^{-1} u\right)(x, y)=u(y, x+t y)
$$

Keeping on considering the $t$-variable as a parameter and defining the symbol

$$
b_{t}(x, y ; \xi, \eta)=-i y \cdot \xi+a(t, \xi, \eta) F(x)
$$

we have

$$
\left(b_{t} \circ \chi^{-1}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=-i x_{1} \cdot \xi_{2}+a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)
$$

and the symplectic invariance of the Weyl quantization (Theorem 18.5.9 in [22]) or a simple direct calculation

$$
M_{t}^{-1} a^{w} M_{t}=(a \circ \chi)^{w}
$$

show that

$$
M_{t} b_{t}^{w}\left(x, y, D_{x}, D_{y}\right) M_{t}^{-1}=-i x_{1} \cdot D_{x_{2}}+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w}
$$

We then consider the two unitary operators acting on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, y}^{2 n+1}\right)$,

$$
(M u)\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=u\left(t, x_{2}-t x_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(M^{-1} u\right)(t, x, y)=u(t, y, x+t y)
$$

and notice that

$$
\left(M i D_{t} M^{-1} u\right)\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=i D_{t} u\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+i x_{1} \cdot D_{x_{2}} u\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
i D_{t}+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w}=M P M^{-1}
$$

Notice also that

$$
1+\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}=M\left(1+|x|^{2 \sigma}+|y|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) M^{-1}
$$

We can therefore reduce the proof of Proposition 1 to the proof of the following a priori estimate. For any $T>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{T}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
(18) $\left\|\left(1+\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C_{T}\left(\|P u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}\right)$,
for the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=i D_{t}+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Energy estimates via the Wick quantization. In order to establish the a priori estimate (18), we shall use some techniques developed in [26] for proving energy estimates via the Wick quantization. We recall in appendix (see Section 5.2) the definition and all the main features of the Wick quantization which will be used here.

A first step in adapting this approach is to study the first-order differential operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$,

$$
\tilde{P}=i D_{t}+a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)
$$

where the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ are considered as parameters, and prove some a priori estimates with respect to those parameters. We begin by noticing from (13) that for any $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$,
$\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{P} u, u)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t \geq a_{0}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}$, since the operator $i D_{t}$ is skew-adjoint. It follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By denoting $H=\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}$the Heaviside function, we may write for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$,
(21) $2 \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{P} u,-H(t-T) u)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}=2 \operatorname{Re}\left(D_{t} u, i H(t-T) u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}$

$$
-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t-T) a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t
$$

and obtain by a simple integration by parts that

$$
2 \operatorname{Re}\left(D_{t} u, i H(t-T) u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}=\left(\left[D_{t}, i H(t-T)\right] u, u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}|u(T)|^{2}
$$

Recalling that $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$, one may find a positive constant $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\left|2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t-T) a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right| u(t)\right|^{2} d t \mid \leq C_{0}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}
$$

It follows from (20), (21) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{1}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [26], we split-up the $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$-norm of $u$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}>\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(t)|^{2} d t  \tag{23}\\
& \quad+\int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \leq\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(t)|^{2} d t
\end{align*}
$$

and estimate from above the first integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}>\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
& \quad \leq\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} m\left(\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}>\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}\right)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq 2\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $m$ standing for the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. It follows from (22) that this first integral can be estimated from above as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}>\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq 2 C_{1}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

While estimating from above the second integral in the right-hand-side of (23), we may first write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \leq\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}}\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \leq\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\}}\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}|u(t)|^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and then notice from (14) that there exists a positive constant $C_{2}>0$ such that for all $\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}$,

$$
\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma} \leq F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)+C_{2} .
$$

It follows from (20) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (25) } \left.\quad \int_{\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|x_{1}\right| \frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}\right.} \leq\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right\} \\
& \leq \| F\left(\left.x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(t)|^{2} d t\right. \\
& \left.\leq t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} u\left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+C_{2}\right\| u\left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq a_{0}^{-1}\right\| \tilde{P} u\left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\right\| u\left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}+C_{2}\right\| u \|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from (23), (24) and (25) that there exist some positive constants $C_{3}>0$ and $C_{4}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{3}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}+C_{3}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}
$$

that is

$$
\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \leq C_{3}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}+C_{3}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{4}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+C_{4}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\langle x\rangle=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. We shall now prove that there exists a positive constant $C_{5}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{5}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+C_{5}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to do so, let $\varepsilon_{0} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and write the components of the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$ as

$$
x_{1}=\left(x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{1, n}\right) \text { and } x_{2}=\left(x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, \ldots, x_{2, n}\right)
$$

Let $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We shall first study the case when the real parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1, j} \neq 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

While expanding the following $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ dot-product where $H$ still denotes the Heaviside function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{P} u,\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \\
= & 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(D_{t} u,-i\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \\
+ & 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

we notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(D_{t} u,-i\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left(\left[D_{t},-i\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)\right] u, u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct computation gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left[D_{t},-i\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)\right] u, u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \\
&=\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_{1, j}\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma-1} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
&+\varepsilon_{0} \frac{2^{2 \sigma-1}}{\pi} \frac{x_{1, j}}{\left|x_{1, j}\right|}\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}|u(T)|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
T=x_{2, j} x_{1, j}^{-1}-2 \varepsilon_{0} x_{1, j}^{-1}\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}
$$

and we deduce from (22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\left[D_{t},-i\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)\right] u, u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\right| \\
\leq & \frac{2^{2 \sigma-1}}{\pi} C_{1}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \\
+ & \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|x_{1, j}\right| \| x_{2, j}-\left.t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma-1} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since from (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 a_{0}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{\sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; and all the previous identities and estimates obtained after (28) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 a_{0}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{\sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{2^{2 \sigma-1}}{\pi} C_{1}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \\
+ & \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|x_{1, j}\right|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma-1} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
+ & 2\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\left\|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since from (14), we have

$$
F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)=\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma} \geq\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma}
$$

on the support of the function $H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)$, we then deduce from the previous estimate that there exists a positive constant $C_{6}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}, x_{1, j} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|x_{1, j}\right|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma-1} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using that

$$
\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}
$$

on the support of the function $H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)$, one can estimate from above the following integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|x_{1, j}\right|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma-1} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
\leq & 2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
\leq & 2^{-1}\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\left|\left\|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (29), this implies that there exists a positive constant $C_{7}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}, x_{1, j} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{7}^{-1} \|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(\varepsilon_{0}\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right)-\right. & \left.2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u \|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}  \tag{30}\\
& \leq\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} \leq\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) \\
&+\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} H\left(-x_{2, j}+t x_{1, j}-2\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\right)+2^{2 \sigma}\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce from (30) that there exists a positive constant $C_{8}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}, x_{1, j} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{8}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+C_{8}\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (26) proves the a priori estimate
(32) $\left\|\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left|x_{2, j}-t x_{1, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{9}\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+C_{9}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}$,
with $C_{9}>0$ a positive constant; in the case when $x_{1, j} \neq 0$. Assume now that $x_{1, j}=0$. In this case, a direct computation using (13) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{P} u,\left|x_{2, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) & F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\left|x_{2, j}\right|^{2 \sigma}|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
& \geq a_{0}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|x_{2, j}\right|^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $i D_{t}$ is a skew-adjoint operator. Since

$$
F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)=\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma} \geq\left|x_{2, j}\right|^{2 \sigma}
$$

when $\left|x_{2, j}\right| \geq 2$, we first deduce from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}, x_{1, j}=0,\left|x_{2, j}\right| \geq 2$,

$$
a_{0}\left\|\left|x_{2, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \leq\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)},
$$

which also implies that

$$
a_{0}^{2}\left\|\left|x_{2, j}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+2^{4 \sigma} a_{0}^{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} .
$$

Notice that this second estimate also holds when $\left|x_{2, j}\right| \leq 2$. One can then deduce from another use of (26) that the estimate (32) also holds when $x_{1, j}=0$. This proves the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Consider the first-order differential operator

$$
\tilde{P}=i D_{t}+a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right),
$$

with parameters $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$, and a and $F$ standing for the functions defined in (13) and (14). Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)} \leq C\left(\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. From a priori estimates for the one-dimensional operator with parameters to a priori estimates in Wick quantization. By applying Lemma 1

$$
\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2} \lesssim\|\tilde{P} u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t}\right)}^{2}
$$

to a function $\Phi\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)$ and integrating this a priori estimate with respect to the variables $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$, we obtain that we may find a positive constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for all $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)$,
$(34)\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) \Phi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left(\|\tilde{P} \Phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\|\Phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}\right)$.
Let $T>0$ be a positive constant. For any $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we shall consider its wave-packets transform in the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$ with parameter $0<\lambda \leq 1$ defined as

$$
\left(W_{\lambda} u\right)(t, Y)=\left(u(t, \cdot), \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n}\right)} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right), Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}
$$

with

$$
\varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(2 \lambda)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\pi \lambda\left(\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} e^{2 i \pi\left(\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right) \cdot \eta_{1}+\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right) \cdot \eta_{2}\right)} .
$$

We apply the a priori estimate

$$
\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) \Phi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left(\|\tilde{P} \Phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\|\Phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}\right)
$$

to the function

$$
\Phi(t, X)=\left(W_{\lambda} u\right)(t, X)
$$

with $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$. By using the fact that the wave-packets transform is an isometric mapping from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}^{4 n}\right)$, see appendix (Section 5.2), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}  \tag{35}\\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\left\|\tilde{P} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We recall from the appendix (Section5.2) that the Wick quantization with parameter $0<\lambda \leq 1$ of a symbol $a$ is formally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=W_{\lambda}^{*} a^{\mu} W_{\lambda}, 1^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=W_{\lambda}^{*} W_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Id}_{L^{2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a^{\mu}$ stands for the multiplication operator by the function $a$ on $L^{2}$, and that the operator

$$
\pi_{\lambda}=W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*}
$$

is an orthogonal projection on a closed proper subspace of $L^{2}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\pi_{\lambda}\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since the wave-packets transform is an isometric mapping from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}^{4 n}\right)$, we may write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\pi_{\lambda}\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
= & \left\|W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*}\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
= & \left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right)^{\text {Wick }(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (35) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right)^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & 2 C_{1}\left(\left\|\pi_{\lambda} \tilde{P} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right) \tilde{P} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using similar arguments as previously, namely (36) and the fact that the wavepackets transform is an isometric mapping from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}^{4 n}\right)$, together with recalling that we only consider here the Wick quantization the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and not in the $t$-variable, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\pi_{\lambda} \tilde{P} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
= & \left\|W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*} \tilde{P} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
= & \left\|W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*}\left[i D_{t}+a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
= & \left\|W_{\lambda}\left(i D_{t}+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
= & \left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right) \tilde{P} W_{\lambda}=\left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right)\left[i D_{t}+a\left(t, \xi_{2}\right.\right. & \left.\left., \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} \\
& =\left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right) a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

because

$$
\left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right) i D_{t} W_{\lambda}=\left(1-W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*}\right) W_{\lambda} i D_{t}=W_{\lambda}\left(1-W_{\lambda}^{*} W_{\lambda}\right) i D_{t}=0
$$

since $D_{t}$ commutes with the wave-packets transform in the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and that $W_{\lambda}^{*} W_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Id}_{L^{2}}$. We may then write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right) a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda}=a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda} \\
& \quad-\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda}-a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) \pi_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since from (36),

$$
\pi_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}=W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*} W_{\lambda}=W_{\lambda},
$$

it follows that

$$
\left(1-\pi_{\lambda}\right) a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda}=-\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} .
$$

We then deduce that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 C_{1}\right)^{-1} \|(1+ & \left.\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right)^{\mathrm{Wick}(\lambda)} u \|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\left(2 C_{1}\right)^{-1}\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\left\|\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now need to study the commutator term

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]=\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a(t,\right.} & \xi_{2},
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.+t \xi_{2}\right)\right] F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) \\
& +a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\left[\pi_{\lambda}, F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to do so, we recall from (116) in appendix that the kernel of the orthogonal projection $\pi_{\lambda}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)} e^{i \pi(x-y) \cdot(\xi+\eta)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\lambda}(X)=\lambda|x|^{2}+\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{\lambda}, X=(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n} ; x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $T>0$ be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\right] F\left(x_{2}\right. & \left.-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda} u \|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{1 / 2}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma 园 Notice from (37) that the kernel of the commutator

$$
\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\right]
$$

is given by

$$
K_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)=e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)} e^{i \pi(x-y) \cdot(\xi+\eta)}\left(a\left(t, \eta_{2}, \eta_{1}+t \eta_{2}\right)-a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Recalling that $a$ is a $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function and therefore a Lipschitz function, we may therefore find a positive constant $C_{2}>0$ such that for all $t \in[-T, T], 0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)\right| \leq C_{2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)}|\eta-\xi| . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}\left|K_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)\right| d Y \leq C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)}|\eta-\xi| d Y=C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)}|\eta| d Y \\
=C_{2} \lambda^{1 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|Y|^{2}}|\eta| d Y=C_{3} \lambda^{1 / 2}
\end{array}
$$

with $C_{3}>0$ a positive constant. By symmetry of the estimate (39), we also have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}\left|K_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)\right| d X \leq C_{3} \lambda^{1 / 2}
$$

Schur test for integral operators together with (14) show that there exists a positive constant $C_{4}>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left[\pi_{\lambda}, a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\right] F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \leq C_{3} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left\|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
\leq C_{4} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}+C_{4}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

since we recall that for any $0<\lambda \leq 1$,

$$
\left\|W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}=\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $T>0$ be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\left[\pi_{\lambda}, F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \\
\leq C \lambda^{-\frac{1+(2 \sigma-1)+}{2}}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0)$.

Proof of Lemma 3 We first notice that

$$
\left\|a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right)\left[\pi_{\lambda}, F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left[\pi_{\lambda}, F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}
$$

since $a$ is a $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function. Arguing as in previous lemma, we notice that the kernel of the commutator $\left[\pi_{\lambda}, F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right.$ ] is given by

$$
K_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)=e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)} e^{i \pi(x-y) \cdot(\xi+\eta)}\left(F\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Recall from (14) that there exists a positive constant $C_{5}>0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla F(x)| \leq C_{5}\langle x\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0)$. Writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\quad=\left(y_{2}-x_{2}-t\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \int_{0}^{1} \nabla F\left((1-\theta)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}-t\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right)+y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

we have for all $\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in[-T, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right| \\
\lesssim & \left.|x-y| \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle(1-\theta)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}-t\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right)+y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} d \theta \\
\lesssim & |x-y| \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle(1-\theta)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}-t\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+}\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} d \theta \\
\lesssim & |x-y|\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}\left\langle x_{2}-y_{2}-t\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
\tilde{K}_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)=\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} K_{t, \lambda}(X, Y),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{K}_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)\right| \lesssim e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)}|x-y|\left\langle x_{2}-y_{2}-t\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

While using a change of variables, we notice that for all $t \in[-T, T]$ and $0<\lambda \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}\left|\tilde{K}_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)\right| d Y & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)}|x-y|\left\langle x_{2}-y_{2}-t\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} d Y \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)}|y|\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} d Y \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|Y|^{2}}\left|\lambda^{-1 / 2} y\right|\left\langle\lambda^{-1 / 2}\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} d Y \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1+(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

since we have $\langle\mu x\rangle \leq \mu\langle x\rangle$, when $\mu \geq 1$. By symmetry of the estimate (41), we also have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}\left|\tilde{K}_{t, \lambda}(X, Y)\right| d X \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1+(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}{2}} .
$$

Schur test for integral operators then shows that for all $0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\left\|\left[\pi_{\lambda}, F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right] W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1+(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}{2}}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)},
$$

which proves Lemma 3
We then deduce from Lemmas 2 and 3 that there exists a positive constant $C_{6}>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{6}^{-1}\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right)^{\text {Wick }(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
+ & C_{6}^{-1}\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}^{2} \\
+ & \lambda^{-1-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}+\lambda\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing a positive constant $0<\lambda_{0} \leq 1$ such that

$$
\lambda_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2 C_{6}}
$$

we notice that one may estimate from above the following term as
$\lambda\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2} \leq\left(2 C_{6}\right)^{-1}\left\|\left(1+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}^{2}$,
for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$. We then notice that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have
$\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}+C_{\varepsilon}\left\|W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}$.
By recalling that

$$
\left\|W_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4 n+1}\right)}=\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

we finally deduce from these estimates that for any $T>0$, there exists a positive constant $c_{T}>0$ independent of the parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$; and a second positive constant $C_{T}(\lambda)>0$, which may depend on $\lambda$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (42) }\left\|\left[\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\left\|\left[\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}  \tag{42}\\
& \leq c_{T}\left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+C_{T}(\lambda)\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

2.4. From a priori estimates in Wick quantization to a priori estimates in Weyl quantization. In the previous section, we established an a priori estimate with symbols quantized in the Wick quantization with parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1$. We shall need the following lemma to estimate error terms incurred by coming back from Wick quantization with parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ to the Weyl quantization for symbols appearing in the left-hand-side of (42).

Lemma 4. Let $a \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ be a symbol whose derivatives of order $\geq 2$ are bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ depending only on the $L^{\infty}$-norms of a finite number of derivatives of order greater or equal to 2 of the symbol a; such that for all $\lambda>0$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\left\|a^{\mathrm{Wick}(\lambda)} u-a^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

Proof of Lemma 园. We notice from (113) and (114) in appendix that one may write that

$$
a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=a^{w}+R_{\lambda}^{w},
$$

with

$$
R_{\lambda}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}}(1-\theta) a^{\prime \prime}(X+\theta Y) Y^{2} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta, X=(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)=\lambda|y|^{2}+\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{\lambda}, Y=(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

It follows that any derivative of the symbol $R_{\lambda}$,

$$
\partial_{X}^{\alpha} R_{\lambda}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}}(1-\theta) \partial_{X}^{\alpha} a^{\prime \prime}(X+\theta Y) Y^{2} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta,
$$

can be estimated from above as

$$
\left\|\partial_{X}^{\alpha} R_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)} \leq\left\|\partial_{X}^{\alpha} a^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left(|y|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi \lambda|y|^{2}} e^{-2 \pi \frac{|\eta|^{2}}{\lambda}} 2^{n} d y d \eta
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left(|y|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi \lambda|y|^{2}} & e^{-2 \pi \frac{|\eta|^{2}}{\lambda}} 2^{n} d y d \eta \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left(\frac{|y|^{2}}{\lambda}+\lambda|\eta|^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi\left(|y|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right)} 2^{n} d y d \eta=\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4 is then a direct consequence of the $L^{2}$ continuity theorem in the class $S_{00}^{0}$.

Notice that we may apply Lemma 4 to the two symbols seen as functions of the variables $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$,

$$
\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \text { and }\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} \chi_{0}(t),
$$

with $\chi_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \chi_{0}=1$ on $[-T, T]$, since $0<\sigma<1$. We recall that the $t$-variable is seen as a parameter and that we only consider here the Wick and Weyl quantizations in the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$. It follows from Lemma 4 and (42) that for any fixed $T>0$, there exist a positive constant $c_{T}>0$ independent of the parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$, and a second positive constant $C_{T}(\lambda)>0$, which may depend on $\lambda$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have
(43) $\left\|\left(1+\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}$
$\leq c_{T}\left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+C_{T}(\lambda)\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}$.
We shall now establish a priori estimates for error terms incurred by coming back from Wick quantization with parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ to the Weyl quantization for the symbol

$$
a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right) .
$$

Lemma 5. Let $T>0$ be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{-1}\left\|\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u-\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
& \leq \lambda^{1-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\lambda^{-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\lambda^{-1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}, \\
& \text { with }(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $\chi_{0}$ be a $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ function satisfying $\chi_{0}=1$ on $[-T, T]$. By using again (113) and (114) in appendix, one may write that
(44) $\quad \chi_{0}(t)\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{\text {Wick }(\lambda)}$

$$
=\chi_{0}(t)\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w}+R_{t, \lambda}^{w}
$$

with

$$
R_{t, \lambda}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}(1-\theta) \chi_{0}(t) r_{t}^{\prime \prime}(X+\theta Y) Y^{2} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta
$$

where

$$
r_{t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right), X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)=\lambda\left(\left|y_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|y_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\left|\eta_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{2}\right|^{2}\right), Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 n}
$$

Define
(45) $\quad \tilde{r}_{1, t}(X, Y, \theta)=\chi_{0}(t) a\left(t, \xi_{2}+\theta \eta_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}+\theta\left(\eta_{1}+t \eta_{2}\right)\right)\left(\nabla_{x}^{2} B_{t}\right)(x+\theta y) \cdot y^{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{r}_{2, t}(X, Y, \theta)=\chi_{0}(t)\left(\nabla_{\xi} A_{t}\right)(\xi+\theta \eta) \cdot \eta\left(\nabla_{x} B_{t}\right)(x+\theta y) \cdot y  \tag{46}\\
\tilde{r}_{3, t}(X, Y, \theta)=\chi_{0}(t)\left(\nabla_{\xi}^{2} A_{t}\right)(\xi+\theta \eta) \cdot \eta^{2} F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}+\theta\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right), \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

with
(48) $A_{t}(\xi)=a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right), \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and $B_{t}(x)=F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right), x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

We also define

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1, t, \lambda}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}(1-\theta) \tilde{r}_{1, t}(X, Y, \theta) e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta  \tag{49}\\
& R_{2, t, \lambda}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}(1-\theta) \tilde{r}_{2, t}(X, Y, \theta) e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta  \tag{50}\\
& R_{3, t, \lambda}(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}(1-\theta) \tilde{r}_{3, t}(X, Y, \theta) e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall from (14) that there exists a positive constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x)| \leq C_{1}\langle x\rangle^{2 \sigma}, \quad\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}\langle x\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}, \quad \forall k \geq 2, \quad F^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0)$, since $0<\sigma<1$.

Lemma 6. There exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall 0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}, \forall u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right),\left\|R_{1, t, \lambda}^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{-1}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

Proof of Lemma 6] According to the $L^{2}$ continuity theorem in the class $S_{00}^{0}$, it is sufficient to prove that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{4 n}$, there exists a positive constant $C_{2, \alpha}>0$ such that

$$
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall 0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0},\left\|\partial_{X}^{\alpha} R_{1, t, \lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{X}^{4 n}\right)} \leq C_{2, \alpha} \lambda^{-1}
$$

Recalling that $a$ is a $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function, we deduce from (45), (48), (49), (52) and a change of variables that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{4 n}$, there exist some positive constants $C_{3, \alpha}, C_{4, \alpha}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall 0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0},\left\|\partial_{X}^{\alpha} R_{1, t, \lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{X}^{4 n}\right)} \\
\leq & C_{3, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}\left(\left|y_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|y_{2}\right|^{2}\right) e^{-2 \pi\left(\lambda\left(\left|y_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|y_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\lambda^{-1}\left(\left|\eta_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{2}\right|^{2}\right)\right)} d y_{1} d y_{2} d \eta_{1} d \eta_{2}=C_{4, \alpha} \lambda^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 7. Let $T>0$ be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\left\|R_{2, t, \lambda}^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)},
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0) \geq 0$.

Proof of Lemma 7 We notice from (48) and (52) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\nabla_{x} B_{t}\right)(x+\theta y)\right| \lesssim\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}+\theta\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}\left\langle\theta\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} \lesssim\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $t \in \operatorname{supp} \chi_{0}$. Recalling that $a$ is a $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function, it follows from (46), (48) and (50) that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{2, t, \lambda}(X)\right| & \lesssim \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}|\eta||y|\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} d Y \\
& \lesssim \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}|\eta||y|\left\langle\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} e^{-2 \pi|Y|^{2}} d Y \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}{2}} \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}},
\end{aligned}
$$

since we have $\langle\mu x\rangle \leq \mu\langle x\rangle$, when $\mu \geq 1$. After differentiating (46) with respect to the variable $X=(x, \xi)$, and using the same kind of estimates, we obtain from (52) that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} R_{2, t, \lambda}(X)\right| \\
\lesssim & \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}|\eta||y|\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)_{+}} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} d Y \\
\lesssim & \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}|\eta||y|\left\langle\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)_{+}} e^{-2 \pi|Y|^{2}} d Y \\
\lesssim & \lambda^{-\frac{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)+}{2}} \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)_{+}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
(2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-|\alpha|-1,0) .
$$

It follows from those estimates that the Weyl symbol

$$
\tilde{a}_{\lambda}(t, X)=\lambda^{\sigma} R_{2, t, \lambda}(X) \sharp\left[\chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}\right],
$$

of the operator obtained by composition

$$
\lambda^{\sigma} R_{2, t, \lambda}^{w}\left[\chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}}\right]^{w},
$$

belongs to the class $S\left(1, d t^{2}+d \tau^{2}+d X^{2}\right)$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$. We may then deduce from the $L^{2}$ continuity theorem in the class $S_{00}^{0}$ that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R_{2, t, \lambda}^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} & =\left\|\lambda^{-\sigma} \tilde{a}_{\lambda}^{w}\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 7

Lemma 8. Let $T>0$ be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\left\|R_{3, t, \lambda}^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{1-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

Proof of Lemma 8. By using similar kind of estimates as in the previous lemma together with the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}+\theta\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right)\right| & \lesssim\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}+\theta\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} \\
& \lesssim\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\left\langle\theta\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} \lesssim\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$; it follows from (47), (48) and (51) that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{3, t, \lambda}(X)\right| & \lesssim \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}|\eta|^{2}\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} d Y \\
& \lesssim \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} \lambda|\eta|^{2}\left\langle\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} e^{-2 \pi|Y|^{2}} d Y \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{1-\sigma} \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

After differentiating (47) and (51) with respect to the variable $X=(x, \xi)$ and using similar types of estimates, we obtain from (52) that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} R_{3, t, \lambda}(X)\right| \\
\lesssim & \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|)_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}}|\eta|^{2}\left\langle y_{2}-t y_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|)_{+}} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} d Y \\
\lesssim & \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|)_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4 n}} \lambda|\eta|^{2}\left\langle\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(y_{2}-t y_{1}\right)\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|)_{+}} e^{-2 \pi|Y|^{2}} d Y \\
\lesssim & \lambda^{1-\frac{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|)_{+}}{2}} \chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-|\alpha|)_{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from those estimates that the Weyl symbol

$$
\tilde{a}_{\lambda}(t, X)=\lambda^{\sigma-1} R_{3, t, \lambda}(X) \sharp\left[\chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma}\right],
$$

of the operator obtained by composition

$$
\lambda^{\sigma-1} R_{3, t, \lambda}^{w}\left[\chi_{0}(t)\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma}\right]^{w},
$$

belongs to the class $S\left(1, d t^{2}+d \tau^{2}+d X^{2}\right)$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$. We may then deduce from the $L^{2}$ continuity theorem in the class $S_{00}^{0}$ that for all $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have
$\left\|R_{3, t, \lambda}^{w}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}=\left\|\lambda^{1-\sigma} \tilde{a}_{\lambda}^{w}\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \lesssim \lambda^{1-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}$.
This ends the proof of Lemma
By coming back to (44), Lemma 5 is then a direct consequence of Lemmas 6 and ${ }^{8}$

Notice that the power $1-\sigma$ appearing in the right-hand-side of the estimate given by Lemma 5 is positive by assumption, since $0<\sigma<1$. We deduce from Lemma 5 and (43) that for any fixed $T>0$, one may choose a new positive parameter $0<\lambda_{0} \leq 1$ indexing the Wick quantization sufficiently small so that the term

$$
\lambda^{1-\sigma}\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)},
$$

appearing in the right-hand-side of the estimate given by Lemma 5 can be controlled by one half times the left-hand-side terms appearing in the a priori estimate 43). For any fixed $T>0$, there therefore exists a positive constant $c_{T}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{T}^{-1}\left\|\left(1+\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq\left\|\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
+\left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By noticing that, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that for all $\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in[-T, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$,

$$
\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} \leq \varepsilon\left\langle x_{2}-t x_{1}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}+C_{\varepsilon},
$$

we finally obtain that for any fixed $T>0$, there exists a positive constant $c_{T}>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x_{1}, x_{2}}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} u\left(\cdot, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \subset[-T, T],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{T}^{-1}\left\|\left(1+\left|x_{2}-t x_{1}\right|^{2 \sigma}+\left|x_{1}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|i D_{t} u+\left[a\left(t, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}+t \xi_{2}\right) F\left(x_{2}-t x_{1}\right)\right]^{w} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the a priori estimate (18) and ends the proof of Proposition 1,

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The next step in the proof of Theorem 1 is given by establishing the following hypoelliptic estimate:

Proposition 2. Let $P$ be the operator defined in (1) and $K$ a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_{K}>0$ such that for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,
$\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{t}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C_{K}\left(\|P u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 圆 Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$ and denote by $\mathcal{F}_{t, x}$ the partial Fourier transform with respect to the $t, x$ variables. Then, for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$, we may write that

$$
\left\|\left|D_{t}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \lesssim\left\||\tau+v \cdot \xi|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \mathcal{F}_{t, x} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\left\||v \cdot \xi|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \mathcal{F}_{t, x} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

Notice that there exists a positive constant $C_{K}>0$ such that for all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,

$$
\left\||v \cdot \xi|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \mathcal{F}_{t, x} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \leq C_{K}\left\|\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\||\tau+v \cdot \xi|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \mathcal{F}_{t, x} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(\tau+v \cdot \xi) \mathcal{F}_{t, x} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\|P u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\left\|a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$, we obtain that for all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left|D_{t}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \lesssim\|P u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+ & \left\|\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)} \\
& +\left\|\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2 is then a direct consequence of Proposition 1
By using Proposition 2, one can now complete the proof of Theorem 1 Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\chi$ be a $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function satisfying $\chi=1$ on $K$. Setting

$$
\Lambda=\left(1+\left|D_{t}\right|^{2}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{2}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

we apply Proposition 2 to the function $\chi \Lambda^{s} u$ with $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,

$$
\left\|\left(1+\left\langle D_{t}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) \chi \Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{K}\left(\left\|P \chi \Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\chi \Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)
$$

Notice that

$$
\chi \Lambda^{s} u=\Lambda^{s}(\chi u)+\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right] u=\Lambda^{s} u+\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right] u
$$

since $\chi=1$ on $K$ and $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$. We have

$$
\left\|\chi \Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|u\|_{s} .
$$

Symbolic calculus shows that the Weyl symbol of the operator $\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]$ belongs to the class $S\left(\lambda^{s-1}, \tilde{\Gamma}\right)$ with

$$
\lambda=\left(1+|\tau|^{2}+|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } \tilde{\Gamma}=d t^{2}+d x^{2}+d y^{2}+\lambda^{-2}\left(d \tau^{2}+d \xi^{2}+d \eta^{2}\right)
$$

It therefore follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\, \|\left(1+\left\langle D_{t}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\right.\right. & \left.+\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) \chi \Lambda^{s} u \|_{L^{2}} \\
& \left.-\left\|\left(1+\left\langle D_{t}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{s} \right\rvert\, \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)+} u\right\|_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P \chi \Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq\left\|P \Lambda^{s} u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|P\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\|P u\|_{s}+\left\|\left[P, \Lambda^{s}\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left[P,\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right] P u\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
\left[P, \Lambda^{s}\right]=\left[v, \Lambda^{s}\right] \cdot \partial_{x}+\left[a(t, x, v), \Lambda^{s}\right]\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

Symbolic calculus directly shows that

$$
\left\|\left[P, \Lambda^{s}\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} u\right\|_{s}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right] P u\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right] \Lambda^{-s} \Lambda^{s} P u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P u\|_{s} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(1+\left|D_{t}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{s} \\
\lesssim & \|P u\|_{s}+\left\|\left[P,\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} u\right\|_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to study the double commutator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left[P,\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]=\left[\partial_{t},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]+\left[v \cdot \partial_{x},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]+\left[a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]=\left[\partial_{t},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] \\
+v\left[\partial_{x},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]+\left[v,\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] \partial_{x}+a(t, x, v)\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]+\left[a(t, x, v),\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By using the fact that $a$ is a $C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ function and $0<\sigma<1$, symbolic calculus directly shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[\partial_{t},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left[v,\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}} & +\left\|a(t, x, v)\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& +\left\|\left[a(t, x, v),\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|u\|_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

since we recall that the Weyl symbol of the operator $\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]$ belongs to the class $S\left(\lambda^{s-1}, \tilde{\Gamma}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\left[P,\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left[v,\left[\partial_{x},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}}\left.+\|\left[\partial_{x},\left[\chi, \Lambda^{s}\right]\right]\right] v u\left\|_{L^{2}}+\right\| u \|_{s} \\
& \lesssim\|v u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s} \\
& \lesssim\|\chi v u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s} \lesssim\|u\|_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\chi=1$ on $K$ and $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$. By using that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}} u\right\|_{s} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma} u\right\|_{s}+C_{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{s},
$$

we finally obtain that there exists a positive constant $C_{K}>0$ such that for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(K)$,

$$
\left\|\left(1+\left|D_{t}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2 \sigma}\right) u\right\|_{s} \leq C_{K}\left(\|P u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{s}\right) .
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1

## 4. Proof of Corollary 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Corollary Let $P$ be the operator defined in (11) and $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. For simplicity, we shall assume that $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=(0,0)$ and consider a function $u \in H^{-N_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u \in H_{\mathrm{loc},\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right), \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s \geq 0$. Let $\varphi$ be a $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x}^{n+1}\right)$ function supported in the set

$$
\left\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}:|(t, x)|<2\right\}
$$

satisfying $\varphi=1$ in a neighborhood of $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=(0,0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t, x) P u \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience only, we shall assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t, x)=1 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|(t, x)| \leq 3 / 2$. We consider $\chi$ a $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x}^{n+1}\right)$ function satisfying $-N_{0}-2 \leq \chi \leq s$, $\chi=s$ on $\{|(t, x)| \leq 1\}, \chi=-N_{0}-2$ when $|(t, x)| \geq 3 / 2$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta)=\frac{\langle\zeta\rangle^{\chi(t, x)}}{(1+\delta\langle\zeta\rangle)^{N_{0}+s+2}}, \zeta=(\tau, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\langle\zeta\rangle=\left(1+|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $0<\delta \leq 1$. We recall that $\tau, \xi$ and $\eta$ stand respectively for the dual variables of $t, x$ and $v$. It directly follows from this definition that for
any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2 n+1}$, there exist some positive constants $C_{\alpha, \beta}>0$ such that for all $0<\delta \leq 1,(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{t, x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\beta} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}(\log \langle\zeta\rangle)^{|\alpha|}\langle\zeta\rangle^{-|\beta|} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \leq\langle\zeta\rangle^{s}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a positive constant and $k \geq 0$ a nonnegative integer. The symbol $M_{\delta}$ therefore belongs to the symbol class $S^{s+\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$. We recall that the notation $S^{m}$, with $m \in \mathbb{R}$, stands for the symbol class

$$
S^{m}=S\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}, \Gamma\right), \quad \Gamma=d z^{2}+\frac{d \zeta^{2}}{\langle\zeta\rangle^{2}}
$$

with $z=(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. The symbol $M_{\delta}$ also belongs to the symbol class $\tilde{S}^{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}^{s}=S\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{s}, \tilde{\Gamma}\right), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}=\langle\zeta\rangle d z^{2}+\frac{d \zeta^{2}}{\langle\zeta\rangle^{2}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$. Furthermore, notice that

$$
M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \leq \delta^{-N_{0}-s-2}\langle\zeta\rangle^{-N_{0}-2},
$$

when $0<\delta \leq 1$. It follows that for each fixed $0<\delta \leq 1$, the symbol $M_{\delta}$ also belongs to the class $S^{-N_{0}-2+\varepsilon}$. More specifically, we deduce from (56) and the Leibniz formula that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2 n+1}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2 n+1}$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z}^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\beta}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta)\right]=a_{\alpha, \beta, \delta}(z, \zeta)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta), \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{\alpha, \beta, \delta}$ a symbol belonging to the class $S^{\varepsilon-|\beta|}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$. We begin by establishing the following lemma:

Lemma 9. Let $0<\varepsilon<1, k \geq 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in S^{m}, m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a symbol $B_{\delta}$ belonging to the class $S^{m-(1-\varepsilon)}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$ such that

$$
\mathrm{Op}\left(A\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)-A\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)-\mathrm{Op}\left(B_{\delta}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right) \in \mathrm{Op}\left(S^{-N}\right)
$$

uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$.

Here $A\left(z, D_{z}\right)$ or $\operatorname{Op}(A)$ stands for the standard quantization of the symbol $A(z, \zeta)$,

$$
A\left(z, D_{z}\right) u(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}} e^{2 \pi i(z-\tilde{z}) \cdot \zeta} A(z, \zeta) u(\tilde{z}) d \zeta d \tilde{z}, z=(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}
$$

Proof of Lemma 9 Since $A \in S^{m}$ and $M_{\delta} \in S^{s+\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$, symbolic calculus shows that $\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta} \in S^{s+\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$ and
$A\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)-\sum_{0 \leq|\alpha| \leq[m+s+\varepsilon]+N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \operatorname{Op}\left(\left(\partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} A\right) D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Op}\left(S^{-N}\right)$, with $[m+s+\varepsilon]$ being the integer part of $m+s+\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. We may therefore write from (59) that

$$
\mathrm{Op}\left(A\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)-A\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)-\mathrm{Op}\left(B_{\delta}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right) \in \mathrm{Op}\left(S^{-N}\right)
$$

with

$$
B_{\delta}(z, \zeta)=-\sum_{1 \leq|\alpha| \leq[m+s+\varepsilon]+N} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\left(\partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} A\right)(z, \zeta) a_{\alpha, 0, \delta}(z, \zeta)
$$

Since $\partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} A \in S^{m-|\alpha|}$ and $a_{\alpha, 0, \delta} \in S^{\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$, the symbol $B_{\delta}$ belongs to the class $S^{m-(1-\varepsilon)}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. This proves Lemma 9

Lemma 10. Let $k \geq 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in S^{m}, m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a symbol $A_{\delta}$ belonging to the class $S^{m}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$ such that

$$
\mathrm{Op}\left(A\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)-A_{\delta}\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) \in \mathrm{Op}\left(S^{-N}\right)
$$

uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$.
Proof of Lemma 10 Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. Recalling that the symbol $\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}$ belongs to the class $S^{s+\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$, Lemma 10 follows from $k_{0}$ iterations of Lemma 9 with $m+s+\varepsilon-k_{0}(1-\varepsilon)<-N$ on the successive remainder terms $\operatorname{Op}\left(B_{\delta}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)$.

We shall now use the following commutator argument:
Lemma 11. Let $0<\varepsilon<1, k \geq 1$ and $u$ the function defined in (53). Then, there exists a positive constant $C_{k, \varepsilon}>0$ such that for all $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\left\|\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{k, \varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon}+C_{k, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{-N_{0}} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 11 Let $k \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $0<\varepsilon<1$. We consider the commutator

$$
\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]
$$

Symbolic calculus shows that the symbol of the commutator

$$
\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]=\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x}, M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]
$$

in the standard quantization is

$$
\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left(\left(\partial_{t} \chi\right)(t, x)+v \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} \chi\right)(t, x)\right) M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \log \langle\zeta\rangle-\langle v\rangle^{-k} \xi \cdot\left(\nabla_{\eta} M_{\delta}\right)(t, x, \zeta) .
$$

This symbol may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \langle\zeta\rangle\left(\langle v\rangle^{-1}\left(\partial_{t} \chi\right)(t, x)+\frac{v}{\langle v\rangle} \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} \chi\right)( \right. & t, x))\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta)  \tag{60}\\
& -\langle v\rangle^{-1} \xi \cdot\left(\nabla_{\eta}\right)\left[\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

By using that $\log \langle\zeta\rangle \in S^{\varepsilon}$, it follows from (59) and (60) that this symbol may also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\delta}(z, \zeta)\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{\delta}$ belonging to the class $S^{\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. Then, we deduce from Lemma 10 that
(62) $\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]-\tilde{A}_{\delta}\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) \in \mathrm{Op}\left(S^{-N_{0}}\right)$,
with $\tilde{A}_{\delta}$ a new symbol belonging to the class $S^{\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u-\tilde{A}_{\delta}\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{63}\\
\lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}} .
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{A}_{\delta}\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-(k-1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon}, \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\tilde{A}_{\delta} \in S^{\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. It follows from (63), (64) and the triangular inequality that the estimate

$$
\left\|\left[\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
$$

holds uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$. This proves Lemma 11
One can now estimate from above the following second commutator:

Lemma 12. Let $0<\varepsilon<1, k \geq 0$ and $u$ be the function defined in (53). Then, there exists a positive constant $C_{k, \varepsilon}>0$ such that for all $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{k, \varepsilon}\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+C_{k, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0)$.

Proof of Lemma 12. Notice that the symbol of the operator $a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ in the standard quantization does not belong to the class $S^{2 \sigma}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{z, \zeta}^{4 n+2}$. Handling this commutator term

$$
\left[a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]
$$

needs therefore some caution. By using that

$$
\left[a(t, x, v),\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right]=0 \text { and }\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}, M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]=0
$$

we may write that

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] } & =a(t, x, v)\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right] M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)  \tag{65}\\
& +\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left[a(t, x, v), M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. Regarding the first term in the right-hand-side of (65), we first notice that the symbol of the operator $\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}-\varepsilon}$ belongs to the class

$$
S\left(1, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)
$$

Indeed, the symbol of the operator $\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}$ belongs to $S\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{2 \sigma}, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)$, the symbol of the operator $\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}-\varepsilon}$ belongs to $S\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}-\varepsilon}, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)$ and $\langle v\rangle^{m} \in S\left(\langle v\rangle^{m}, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)$ when $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Symbolic calculus shows that the symbol of the commutator $\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right]$ belongs to $S\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{2 \sigma-1}\langle v\rangle^{-k}, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)$ and therefore that the symbol of the operator $\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}-\varepsilon}$ belongs to the class

$$
S\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{2 \sigma-1-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}-\varepsilon}, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right) \subset S\left(1, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)
$$

Recalling that by assumption $a \in C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|a(t, x, v)\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right] M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{66}\\
\lesssim & \left\|\left[\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-(2 \sigma-1)_{+}-\varepsilon}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling that $M_{\delta} \in S^{s+\varepsilon}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$ and that by assumption $a \in S^{0}$, symbolic calculus shows that the symbol of the operator

$$
\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left[a(t, x, v), M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]
$$

writes as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\langle v\rangle^{-k} \sum_{1 \leq|\alpha| \leq[s+\varepsilon]+N_{0}+2} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\left(\partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} M_{\delta}\right) D_{z}^{\alpha} a  \tag{67}\\
= & -\sum_{1 \leq|\alpha| \leq[s+\varepsilon]+N_{0}+2} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha} a\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

up to a term in $S^{-N_{0}-2}$. This latter term in the class $S^{-N_{0}-2}$ gives rise to a term bounded by

$$
\left\|\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{-N_{0}-2} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
$$

because $0<\sigma<1$, while estimating from above the term

$$
\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left[a(t, x, v), M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

By coming back to (67), we deduce from (59) that this symbol reduces to a term $A_{\delta}(z, \zeta)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}$ with $A_{\delta}$ belonging to $S^{\varepsilon-1}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. By using Lemma 10, we notice that

$$
\operatorname{Op}\left(A_{\delta}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)-\tilde{A}_{\delta}\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) \in \operatorname{Op}\left(S^{-N_{0}-2}\right),
$$

with $\tilde{A}_{\delta}$ a new symbol belonging to the class $S^{\varepsilon-1}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\operatorname{Op}\left(A_{\delta}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim & \left\|\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{-N_{0}-2}  \tag{68}\\
& +\left\|\tilde{A}_{\delta}\left(z, D_{z}\right)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left[M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right),\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]=0$ and $\tilde{A}_{\delta} \in S^{\varepsilon-1}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$, we deduce from (68) that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\mathrm{Op}\left(A_{\delta}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\right)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{\varepsilon-1}  \tag{69}\\
\lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\langle v\rangle^{k}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon-1} .
\end{array}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\langle v\rangle^{k}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}( \left.t, x, D_{z}\right) u\left\|_{\varepsilon-1} \leq\right\|\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u \|_{\varepsilon-1}  \tag{70}\\
&+\left\|\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k},\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

We may write
(71) $\left\|\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma+1-\varepsilon}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma-1+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma-1+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle=\left(1+D_{t}^{2}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{2}+\left|D_{v}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, since the operator

$$
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma+1-\varepsilon},
$$

is bounded on $L^{2}$. Notice also that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k},\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon-1}  \tag{72}\\
\lesssim & \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k},\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k},\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma+1-\varepsilon}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma-1+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma-1+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, we just need to check that the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k}\right. & \left.,\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma+1-\varepsilon} \\
& =\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{1-\varepsilon}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-1+\varepsilon}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k},\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma+1-\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

is bounded on $L^{2}$. This is actually the case since the operator

$$
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{1-\varepsilon},
$$

is obviously bounded on $L^{2}$ since $0<1-\varepsilon<1$, and that symbolic calculus easily shows that the symbol of the operator

$$
\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-1+\varepsilon}\left[\langle v\rangle^{-k},\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma}\right]\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{-2 \sigma+1-\varepsilon},
$$

belongs to the class $S\left(1, d v^{2}+\langle\eta\rangle^{-2} d \eta^{2}\right)$. It follows from (69), (70), (71) and (72) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left[a(t, x, v), M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right]\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma} u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{73}\\
\lesssim & \left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Together with (65) and (66), we finally obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}\right)^{\sigma},\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Lemma 12
Summing up the two previous lemmas provides the following estimate:
Lemma 13. Let $0<\varepsilon<1, k \geq 1, P$ be the operator defined in (1) and $u$ the function defined in (531). Then, there exists a positive constant $C_{k, \varepsilon}>0$ such that for all $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[P,\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{k, \varepsilon} & \left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& +C_{k, \varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon}+C_{k, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}=\max (2 \sigma-1,0)$.
Resuming our proof of Corollary 1, we may first deduce from Proposition 1 that for all $w \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} w(\cdot, x, v) \subset[-3,3],(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

These estimates are maximal hypoelliptic estimates and we therefore get an upper bound for the transport term

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}} & +\left\|a(t, x, v)\left(-\tilde{\Delta}_{v}^{\sigma}\right) w\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{76}\\
& \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

since $a \in C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$. Notice from (75) and (76) that for those functions $w$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} \Lambda_{t, x}^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}} D_{t} w\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{77}\\
\lesssim & \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} \Lambda_{t, x}^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}}\left(\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} \Lambda_{t, x}^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}} v \cdot \nabla_{x} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\left(\partial_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Lambda_{t, x}=\left(1+D_{t}^{2}+\left|D_{x}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. It follows from (75) and (77) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda_{t, x}^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim & \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} \Lambda_{t, x}^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}} D_{t} w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} \Lambda_{t, x}^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma+1}} D_{x} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Another use of (75) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Lambda_{t, x}^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}} . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice furthermore that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\Lambda_{t, x}^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\Lambda_{t, x}^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
+\left\|\left[\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}},\langle v\rangle^{-1}\right] w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

because

$$
\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1}\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}}
$$

and that the operator $\left[\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}},\langle v\rangle^{-1}\right.$ ] is bounded on $L^{2}$ since symbolic calculus shows that its symbol belongs to the class $S^{0}$. We therefore obtain from (78) the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-1} w\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|P w\|_{L^{2}}+\|w\|_{L^{2}} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be extended to any function $w \in H^{2-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ satisfying (74) and

$$
v \cdot \nabla_{x} w \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\max (2 \sigma, 1) \leq 2-\varepsilon$. This is possible since $0<\sigma<1$. Take now a $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ function $\psi$ such that

$$
\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset[-3,3] \text { and } \psi(t)=1 \text { if }|t|<2
$$

Recalling that the function $u$ defined in (53) belongs to $H^{-N_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ and that for any $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\max (2 \sigma, 1) \leq 2-\varepsilon$, the symbol $M_{\delta}$ belongs to $S^{-N_{0}-2+\varepsilon}$ for each fixed $0<\delta \leq 1$, we notice that

$$
M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u \in H^{2-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right),
$$

for any $0<\delta \leq 1$. It follows that the estimate (79) may be applied to function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an integer $k \geq 1$. We obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \psi(t)\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma} \psi(t)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{81}\\
\lesssim\left\|P \psi(t)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\psi(t)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the choice of function $\chi$ in (56) implies that the symbol

$$
(1-\psi(t))\langle v\rangle^{-l} M_{\delta}(t, x, \zeta),
$$

with $l \geq 0$, belongs to the class $S^{-N_{0}-2}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $0<\delta \leq 1$. Recalling that $0<\sigma<1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}(1-\psi(t))\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{82}\\
& \lesssim\left\|(1-\psi(t))\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}(1-\psi(t))\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{83}\\
\lesssim\left\|(1-\psi(t))\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{2 \sigma} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}},
\end{array}
$$

uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. Moreover, since the commutator

$$
[P, \psi(t)]=\psi^{\prime}(t)
$$

is bounded on $L^{2}$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P \psi(t)\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & \left\|\psi(t) P\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|[P, \psi(t)]\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|P\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce from (81), (82), (83) and the triangular inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{84}\\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|P\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. Let $0<\varepsilon_{0}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\varepsilon_{0}<\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1} \text { and }(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon_{0}<2 \sigma \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may use Lemma 13 to obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{86}\\
\leq & \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left[P,\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)\right] u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\quad & +\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{\varepsilon_{0}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}},
\end{align*}
$$

which holds uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. It follows from (84) and (86) that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{87}\\
& \lesssim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad+\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. Since for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left\|\left\langle D_{v}\right\rangle^{2 \sigma}\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+C_{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $(2 \sigma-1)_{+}+\varepsilon_{0}<2 \sigma$, and
$\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}$, because $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, we deduce from (87) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{88}\\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. We shall need the following instrumental lemma:

Lemma 14. Let $s_{1}<s_{2}, N \geq 0$ and an integer $l \geq 2$. Then, there exists an integer $m \geq 2$ such that

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists C_{\varepsilon}>0, \forall w \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right),\left\|\langle v\rangle^{l} w\right\|_{s_{1}} \leq \varepsilon\|w\|_{s_{2}}+C_{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{m} w\right\|_{-N} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 14 Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the symbol of the operator $\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m}$ belongs to the class $S\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}, d z^{2}+\langle\zeta\rangle^{-2} d \zeta^{2}\right)$ and $\langle v\rangle^{m} \in S\left(\langle v\rangle^{m}, d z^{2}+\langle\zeta\rangle^{-2} d \zeta^{2}\right)$, symbolic calculus shows that the symbol of the operator

$$
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-s_{2}}\langle v\rangle^{l}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{2 s_{1}}\langle v\rangle^{-l},
$$

belongs to the class $S\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{2 s_{1}-s_{2}}, d z^{2}+\langle\zeta\rangle^{-2} d \zeta^{2}\right)$. It follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\langle v\rangle^{l} w\right\|_{s_{1}}^{2} & =\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s_{1}}\langle v\rangle^{l} w\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left(\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s_{2}} w,\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-s_{2}}\langle v\rangle^{l}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{2 s_{1}}\langle v\rangle^{l} w\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& =\left(\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s_{2}} w,\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-s_{2}}\langle v\rangle^{l}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{2 s_{1}}\langle v\rangle^{-l}\langle v\rangle^{2 l} w\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s_{2}} w\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-s_{2}}\langle v\rangle^{l}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{2 s_{1}}\langle v\rangle^{-l}\langle v\rangle^{2 l} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|w\|_{s_{2}}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{2 l} w\right\|_{s_{1}-\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon\|w\|_{s_{2}}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{2 l} w\right\|_{s_{1}-\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that by assumption $s_{1}-\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)<s_{1}$. Lemma 14 then follows by $k_{0}$ iterations of this procedure with $s_{1}-k_{0}\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)<-N$.

Recalling (85), we may apply Lemma 14 with $l=2, s_{1}=\varepsilon_{0}, s_{2}=2 \sigma /(2 \sigma+1)$ and $N=N_{0}+s+1$. There therefore exists an integer $m \geq 2$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C_{\varepsilon}>0, \forall w \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right),\left\|\langle v\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{\varepsilon_{0}} \leq \varepsilon\|w\|_{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{m} w\right\|_{-N_{0}-s-1} . \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then choose the integer $k=m-1 \geq 1$ in (80). Recalling that the symbol $M_{\delta}$ belongs to the class $S^{s+1}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$, we obtain that

$$
\left\|\langle v\rangle^{m} w\right\|_{-N_{0}-s-1}=\left\|M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{-N_{0}-s-1} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}},
$$

uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$ with $w=\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u$. The estimate (89) extends by density. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\varepsilon_{0}}\langle v\rangle^{-k+1} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|\langle v\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{\varepsilon_{0}} \leq \varepsilon\|w\|_{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}  \tag{90}\\
& +C_{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{m} w\right\|_{-N_{0}-s-1} \lesssim \varepsilon\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+C_{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{-N_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce from (88) and (90) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}, \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. Notice from (55) and (56) that the symbol of the operator

$$
\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)(1-\varphi(t, x))\langle v\rangle,
$$

with $k \geq 1$, belongs to the class $S^{-N_{0}-2}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$. Recalling from (58) that $M_{\delta} \in \tilde{S}^{s}$ uniformly with respect to $0<\delta \leq 1$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) P u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{92}\\
\leq & \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) \varphi P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)(1-\varphi) P u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & \left\|M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) \varphi P u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-k} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right)(1-\varphi)\langle v\rangle\langle v\rangle^{-1} P u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \|\varphi P u\|_{s}+\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} P u\right\|_{-N_{0}-2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since obviously $\left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1} P u\right\|_{-N_{0}-2} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}$, we deduce from (91) and (92) that for all $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|\varphi P u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}<+\infty, \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

since by assumption $u \in H^{-N_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ and $\varphi P u \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$. Let $\phi$ be $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x}^{n+1}\right)$ function such that supp $\phi \subset\{|(t, x)|<1\}$. Since the commutator $\left[\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}, \phi(t, x)\right]$ is obviously bounded on $L^{2}$, we deduce from (93) that for all $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \phi(t, x)\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{94}\\
\leq & \left\|\left[\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}, \phi(t, x)\right]\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad+\left\|\phi(t, x)\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\langle v\rangle^{-(k+1)} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\|\varphi P u\|_{s}+\|u\|_{-N_{0}}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice from (56) that

$$
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u=\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}} \frac{\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s}}{\left(1+\delta\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle\right)^{N_{0}+s+2}} u
$$

and that

$$
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}} M_{\delta}\left(t, x, D_{z}\right) u \longrightarrow\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s} u
$$

in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right)$ when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Because of the weak compactness of the unit ball in $L^{2}$, it follows from (94) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{s} u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x}^{n+1}\right)$ function $\phi$ such that supp $\phi \subset U_{0}=\{|(t, x)|<1\}$.
To complete the proof of Corollary 1 , we notice that the following two assertions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{0}\right), \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}} u \in H^{r+m}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{0}\right), \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} u \in H^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

are equivalent if $u \in H_{-N_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)$ and $m, r \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume first that (96) holds. Let $\phi$ be a $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{0}\right)$ function and $\tilde{\phi}$ another $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{0}\right)$ function such that $\tilde{\phi}=1$ on a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp} \phi$. Symbolic calculus allows to write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m}-\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}  \tag{98}\\
= & -\sum_{0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)+R \\
= & -\sum_{0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right) \tilde{\phi}+\tilde{R}
\end{align*}
$$

with $R, \tilde{R} \in \mathrm{Op}\left(S^{-N_{0}-[r]-1}\right)$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{R} u\|_{r} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}-[r]-1+r} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}<+\infty \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove that (97) holds, it is sufficient to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right) \tilde{\phi} u\right\|_{r} \\
& \quad=\left\|\operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-m}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}} u\right\|_{r}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

when $0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1$. This is actually the case since symbolic calculus shows that the symbol of the operator

$$
\operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)\langle v\rangle^{k}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-m},
$$

belongs to $S^{0}$ when $0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1$ and that by (96),

$$
\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}} u \in H^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)
$$

It follows that (96) implies (97). Conversely, we may write for any function $\phi$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m}-\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} \frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}} \\
= & -\sum_{0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-m}\langle v\rangle^{k}\langle v\rangle^{-k}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m}+R \\
= & -\sum_{0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-m}\langle v\rangle^{k} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m}+\tilde{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $R, \tilde{R} \in \operatorname{Op}\left(S^{-N_{0}-[r]-1}\right)$ if $\tilde{\phi}$ is a $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U_{0}\right)$ function satisfying $\tilde{\phi}=1$ on a neighborhood of supp $\phi$. This implies that

$$
\|\tilde{R} u\|_{r} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}-[r]-1+r} \lesssim\|u\|_{-N_{0}}<+\infty .
$$

In order to prove that (96) holds, it is sufficient to check that

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-m}\langle v\rangle^{k} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} u\right\|_{r}<+\infty
$$

when $0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1$. This is actually the case since symbolic calculus shows that the symbol of the operator

$$
\operatorname{Op}\left(D_{z}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\right) \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\langle\zeta\rangle^{m}\right)\right)\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{-m}\langle v\rangle^{k}
$$

belongs to $S^{0}$ when $0<|\alpha|<m+N_{0}+[r]+1$ and that by (97),

$$
\frac{\tilde{\phi}(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k}}\left\langle D_{z}\right\rangle^{m} u \in H^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)
$$

It follows that (97) implies (96).
By finally coming back to (95), we deduce that the function defined in (53) satisfies

$$
\frac{\phi(t, x)}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}} u \in H^{s+\frac{2 \sigma}{2 \sigma+1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}\right),
$$

for any $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x}^{n+1}\right)$ function $\phi$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset U_{0}=\{|(t, x)|<1\}$, that is

$$
\frac{u}{\langle v\rangle^{k+1}} \in H_{\mathrm{loc},\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}^{s+\frac{2 \sigma}{2+1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, x, v}^{2 n+1}\right)
$$

with $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=(0,0)$. This ends the proof of Corollary $\mathbb{1}$

## 5. Appendix

5.1. The Boltzmann equation. The Boltzmann equation [13, 14] describes the behavior of a dilute gas when the only interactions taken into account are binary collisions. It reads as the evolution equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} f+v \cdot \nabla_{x} f=Q(f, f)  \tag{100}\\
\left.f\right|_{t=0}=f_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

for the density distribution of the particles $f=f(t, x, v) \geq 0$ at time $t$, having position $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. The term appearing in the right-hand-side of this equation $Q(f, f)$ is the so-called quadratic Boltzmann collision operator associated to the Boltzmann bilinear operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(g, f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)\left(g_{*}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-g_{*} f\right) d \sigma d v_{*}, \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \times L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), d \geq 2$, where $f_{*}^{\prime}=f\left(t, x, v_{*}^{\prime}\right), f^{\prime}=f\left(t, x, v^{\prime}\right), f_{*}=$ $f\left(t, x, v_{*}\right), f=f(t, x, v)$,

$$
v^{\prime}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{2}+\frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2} \sigma, \quad v_{*}^{\prime}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{2}-\frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2} \sigma,
$$

for $\sigma$ belonging to the unit sphere $S^{d-1}$. Those relations between pre and post collisional velocities follow from the conservations of momentum and kinetic energy

$$
v+v_{*}=v^{\prime}+v_{*}^{\prime}, \quad|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}=\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{*}^{\prime}\right|^{2},
$$

in the binary collisions. The Boltzmann operator has the fundamental properties of conserving mass, momentum and energy

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} Q(f, f) \phi(v) d v=0, \quad \phi(v)=1, v,|v|^{2}
$$

and to satisfy to the so-called Boltzmann's H theorem

$$
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f \log f d v=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} Q(f, f) \log f d v \geq 0
$$

The functional - $\int f \log f$ is the entropy of the solution and the Boltzmann's H theorem implies that at some point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, any equilibrium distribution function, i.e., any function maximizing the entropy, has the form of a locally Maxwellian distribution

$$
M(\rho, u, T)(v)=\frac{\rho}{(2 \pi T)^{d / 2}} e^{-\frac{|u-v|^{2}}{2 T}}
$$

where $\rho, u, T$ are respectively the density, mean velocity and temperature of the gas at the point $x$, defined by

$$
\rho=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(v) d v, \quad u=\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} v f(v) d v, \quad T=\frac{1}{N \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|u-v|^{2} f(v) d v .
$$

For further details on the physical background and derivation of the Boltzmann equation, we refer the reader to the extensive expositions [13, 14, 39].

For monatomic gas, the non-negative cross section $B(z, \sigma)$ defining the collision operator (101) depends only on $|z|$ and the scalar product $\frac{z}{|z|} \cdot \sigma$. Furthermore, the cross section is assumed to be supported in the set where $\frac{z}{|z|} \cdot \sigma \geq 0$. This condition is not a restriction when dealing with the quadratic Boltzmann operator $Q(f, f)$. As noticed in [3], one may indeed reduce to this case after a symmetrization of the cross section since the term $f^{\prime} f_{*}^{\prime}$ appearing in the Boltzmann operator is invariant under the mapping $\sigma \rightarrow-\sigma$.

More specifically, we consider cross sections of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right)=\Phi\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right) b\left(\frac{v-v_{*}}{\left|v-v_{*}\right|} \cdot \sigma\right), \quad \cos \theta=\frac{v-v_{*}}{\left|v-v_{*}\right|} \cdot \sigma, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a kinetic factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi\left(\left|v-v_{*}\right|\right)=\left|v-v_{*}\right|^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in\right]-d,+\infty[, \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a factor related to the collision angle with a singularity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sin \theta)^{d-2} b(\cos \theta) \sim K \theta^{-1-2 s}, \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\theta \rightarrow 0, \theta>0$, for some constants $K>0$ and $0<s<1$. Notice that this singularity is not integrable

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\sin \theta)^{d-2} b(\cos \theta) d \theta=+\infty .
$$

This non-integrability property plays a major rôle regarding the qualitative behavior of the solutions of the Boltzmann equation. Indeed, as first observed by L. Desvillettes for the Kac equation [18], grazing collisions that account for the non-integrability of the angular factor near $\theta \sim 0^{+}$, do induce smoothing effects for the solutions of the non-cutoff Kac equation, or more generally for the solutions of the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation; whereas those solutions are at most as regular as the initial data, see e.g. 40, when the collision cross section is assumed to be integrable, or after removing the singularity by using a cutoff function (Grad's angular cutoff assumption).

Being concerned with a close to equilibrium framework, the setting of the problem can be formulated as follows. First of all, without loss of generality, we consider the fluctuation around

$$
\mu(v)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^{2}}{2}},
$$

the unique normalized equilibrium with mass 1 , momentum 0 and temperature 1 , by setting

$$
f=\mu+\sqrt{\mu} g
$$

Since $Q(\mu, \mu)=0$ by the conservation of the kinetic energy

$$
|v|^{2}+\left|v_{*}\right|^{2}=\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{*}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

the Boltzmann collision operator can be split into three terms

$$
Q(\mu+\sqrt{\mu} g, \mu+\sqrt{\mu} g)=Q(\mu, \sqrt{\mu} g)+Q(\sqrt{\mu} g, \mu)+Q(\sqrt{\mu} g, \sqrt{\mu} g)
$$

whose linearized part is

$$
Q(\mu, \sqrt{\mu} g)+Q(\sqrt{\mu} g, \mu) .
$$

Define

$$
\mathcal{L} g=\mathcal{L}_{1} g+\mathcal{L}_{2} g
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1} g=-\mu^{-1 / 2} Q\left(\mu, \mu^{1 / 2} g\right), \quad \mathcal{L}_{2} g=-\mu^{-1 / 2} Q\left(\mu^{1 / 2} g, \mu\right)
$$

the original Boltzmann equation is reduced to the Cauchy problem for the fluctuation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} g+v \cdot \nabla_{x} g+\mathcal{L} g=\mu^{-1 / 2} Q(\sqrt{\mu} g, \sqrt{\mu} g) \\
\left.g\right|_{t=0}=g_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This linearized Boltzmann operator $\mathcal{L}$ is known (see e.g. [13) to be an unbounded symmetric operator on $L^{2}$ (acting in the velocity variable) such that its Dirichlet form satisfies to

$$
\mathbf{D} g=(\mathcal{L} g, g)_{L^{2}} \geq 0
$$

and that

$$
\mathbf{D} g=0 \Leftrightarrow g=\mathbf{P} g
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{P} g=\left(a+b \cdot v+c|v|^{2}\right) \mu^{1 / 2}
$$

with $a, c \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, being the orthogonal projection onto the space of the so-called collisional invariants

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left\{\mu^{1 / 2}, v_{1} \mu^{1 / 2}, \ldots, v_{d} \mu^{1 / 2},|v|^{2} \mu^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

Furthermore, recent works in particular those by P.L. Lions 28, R. Alexandre, L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, B. Wennberg [3, C. Mouhot 33], C. Mouhot, R.M. Strain [34], R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, C.-J. Xu, S. Ukai, T. Yang 9] or P.T. Gressman, R.M. Strain [19, 20] have highlighted that the non-cutoff Boltzmann operator enjoys remarkable coercive properties. Indeed, the results proved in [33, 34] show that the linearized Boltzmann operator enjoys the following coercive estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{L} g, g)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \gtrsim\left\|\langle v\rangle^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(g-\mathbf{P} g)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}+\|g-\mathbf{P} g\|_{H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\langle v\rangle=\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. This coercive estimate was improved in 9 by showing that the latter term $\|g-\mathbf{P} g\|_{H_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}$ in (105) may be substituted by the global improvement

$$
\left\|\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(g-\mathbf{P} g)\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}
$$

See [9, 19, 20 for optimal coercive estimates in appropriate weighted anisotropic Sobolev spaces. Regarding those coercive estimates, the studies [3, 28] have decisively made clear that the smoothing effect of the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation is produced by the term

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} B\left(v-v_{*}, \sigma\right) g_{*}^{\prime}\left(f-f^{\prime}\right)^{2} d \sigma d v d v_{*},
$$

thanks to the celebrated cancellation lemma, see 3 (Lemma 1 and Proposition 2). The discovery of these special features of the non-cutoff Boltzmann operator have led those authors to conjecture that this collision operator behaves and induces smoothing effects as a fractional Laplacian $-(-\Delta)^{s}$. See [3], p. 331. This conjecture accounts for the choice of the operator (11) for the linearized non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. Notice that this operator is only a simplified model which does not account for the actual anisotropic features of the linearized non-cutoff Boltzmann equation and that current investigations are led to determine the exact microlocal structure of this operator. See the first works in this direction by R. Alexandre [1, 2, , 4].
5.2. Wick calculus. The purpose of this appendix is to recall few facts and basic properties of the Wick quantization with parameter that are used in this paper. We refer the reader to the works of N. Lerner [25], [26] and [27] for thorough and extensive presentations of this quantization and some of its applications.

The main property of the Wick quantization is its property of positivity, i.e., that non-negative Hamiltonians define non-negative operators

$$
a \geq 0 \Rightarrow a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} \geq 0
$$

We recall that this is not the case for the Weyl quantization nor the standard quantization and refer to [25] for an example of non-negative Hamiltonian defining an operator which is not non-negative. Before defining properly the Wick quantization, we first need to recall the definition of the wave-packets transform with parameter $\lambda>0$ of a function $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
W_{\lambda} u(y, \eta)=\left(u, \varphi_{y, \eta}^{\lambda}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} .
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{y, \eta}^{\lambda}(x)=(2 \lambda)^{n / 4} e^{-\pi \lambda|x-y|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi(x-y) \cdot \eta}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

with $|\cdot|$ standing for the Euclidean norm and $x \cdot y$ the standard dot product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. With this definition, one can check (Lemma 1.3 in [26]) that the mapping $u \mapsto W_{\lambda} u$ is continuous from $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$, isometric from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ and that we have the reconstruction formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} W_{\lambda} u(y, \eta) \varphi_{y, \eta}^{\lambda}(x) d y d \eta \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

By denoting by $\Sigma_{Y}^{\lambda}$ the operator defined by the Weyl quantization of the symbol

$$
p_{Y}(X)=2^{n} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)}, X=(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, Y=(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

with

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}(X)=\lambda|x|^{2}+\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{\lambda}, X=(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}
$$

which is a rank-one orthogonal projection,

$$
\left(\Sigma_{Y}^{\lambda} u\right)(x)=W_{\lambda} u(Y) \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(x)=\left(u, \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(x),
$$

we define the Wick quantization with parameter $\lambda>0$ of any $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ symbol $a$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\mathrm{Wick}(\lambda)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} a(Y) \Sigma_{Y}^{\lambda} d Y \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, one can extend this definition when the symbol $a$ belongs to $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ by defining the operator $a^{\mathrm{Wick}(\lambda)}$ for any $u$ and $v$ in $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by

$$
\left\langle a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} u, \bar{v}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=\left\langle a(Y),\left(\sum_{Y}^{\lambda} u, v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right), \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ denotes the duality bracket between the spaces $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Notice from Proposition 3.1 in [26] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=W_{\lambda}^{*} a^{\mu} W_{\lambda}, 1^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=\operatorname{Id}_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a^{\mu}$ stands for the multiplication operator by $a$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. The Wick quantization with parameter $\lambda>0$ is a positive quantization

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \geq 0 \Rightarrow a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)} \geq 0 \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, real Hamiltonians get quantized in this quantization by formally selfadjoint operators and one has (Proposition 3.1 in $[26 \mid)$ that $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ symbols define bounded operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)} \leq\|a\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)} .} . \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Proposition 3.2 in [26] (see (51) in [26]), the Wick and Weyl quantizations of a symbol $a$ are linked by the following identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=\tilde{a}^{w} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{a}(X)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} a(X+Y) e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y, X \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\operatorname{Wick}(\lambda)}=a^{w}+r_{\lambda}(a)^{w}, \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\lambda}(a)$ stands for the symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\lambda}(a)(X)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}}(1-\theta) a^{\prime \prime}(X+\theta Y) Y^{2} e^{-2 \pi \Gamma_{\lambda}(Y)} 2^{n} d Y d \theta, X \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} . \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that we use here the following normalization for the Weyl quantization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{w} u\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} e^{2 i \pi(x-y) \cdot \xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) d y d \xi \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us finally mention that the operator $\pi_{\lambda}=W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*}$ is an orthogonal projection on a closed proper subspace of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ whose kernel is given by
(116) $K_{\lambda}(X, Y)=e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)} e^{i \pi(x-y) \cdot(\xi+\eta)}, X=(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, Y=(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

Indeed, for any $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{n}\right)$ and $v \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}\right)$, we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(W_{\lambda} u, v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{x}^{n}} u(x) \overline{\varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}}(x) d x\right) \bar{v}(Y) d Y \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{x}^{n}} u(x)\left(\overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}} \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(x) v(Y) d Y\right)} d x=\left(u, W_{\lambda}^{*} v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{n}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(W_{\lambda}^{*} v\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}} \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(x) v(Y) d Y
$$

Writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\pi_{\lambda} v\right)(X)=\left(W_{\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{*} v\right)(X)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}} \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(t) v(Y) d Y\right) \overline{\varphi_{X}^{\lambda}}(t) d t \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(t) \overline{\varphi_{X}^{\lambda}}(t) d t\right) v(Y) d Y=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{Y}^{2 n}} K_{\lambda}(X, Y) v(Y) d Y
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
K_{\lambda}(X, Y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} \varphi_{Y}^{\lambda}(t) \overline{\varphi_{X}^{\lambda}}(t) d t
$$

By using the identity

$$
2|t-y|^{2}+2|t-x|^{2}=|x-y|^{2}+|x+y-2 t|^{2}
$$

an explicit computation gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\lambda}(X, Y) & =(2 \lambda)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} e^{-\pi \lambda|t-y|^{2}} e^{-\pi \lambda|t-x|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi(t-y) \cdot \eta} e^{-2 i \pi(t-x) \cdot \xi} d t \\
& =(2 \lambda)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda|x-y|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi(x \cdot \xi-y \cdot \eta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda|x+y-2 t|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi t \cdot(\eta-\xi)} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda|x+y-2 t|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi t \cdot(\eta-\xi)} d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} e^{-2 \pi \lambda\left|t-\frac{x+y}{2}\right|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi t \cdot(\eta-\xi)} d t \\
&=e^{i \pi(x+y) \cdot(\eta-\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{t}^{n}} e^{-2 \pi \lambda|t|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi t \cdot(\eta-\xi)} d t=(2 \lambda)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{i \pi(x+y) \cdot(\eta-\xi)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2 \lambda}|\eta-\xi|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\lambda}(X, Y) & =e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \lambda|x-y|^{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2 \lambda}|\eta-\xi|^{2}} e^{2 i \pi(x \cdot \xi-y \cdot \eta)} e^{i \pi(x+y) \cdot(\eta-\xi)} \\
& =e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \Gamma_{\lambda}(X-Y)} e^{i \pi(x-y) \cdot(\xi+\eta)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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