

Brownian motion, moving metrics and entropy formulas

Anton Thalmaier
Université du Luxembourg

Workshop
Stochastic Differential Geometry
Centre Henri Lebesgue
Rennes

May 30, 2013

Brownian motion, moving metrics and entropy formulas

Anton Thalmaier
Université du Luxembourg

Joint with: Hongxin Guo, Robert Philipowski

Workshop
Stochastic Differential Geometry
Centre Henri Lebesgue
Rennes

May 30, 2013

I. $g(t)$ -Brownian motion

Riemannian metrics evolving as functions of time

- In Stochastic Analysis one traditionally studies processes on a space with a given metric or geometry, e.g., Brownian motion on a manifold M equipped with a Riemannian metric g .

I. $g(t)$ -Brownian motion

Riemannian metrics evolving as functions of time

- In Stochastic Analysis one traditionally studies processes on a space with a given metric or geometry, e.g., Brownian motion on a manifold M equipped with a Riemannian metric g .
- Through the work of Perelman, deformation of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ under certain evolution equations gained a lot of attention.

I. $g(t)$ -Brownian motion

Riemannian metrics evolving as functions of time

- In Stochastic Analysis one traditionally studies processes on a space with a given metric or geometry, e.g., Brownian motion on a manifold M equipped with a Riemannian metric g .
- Through the work of Perelman, deformation of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ under certain evolution equations gained a lot of attention.
- This approach provides **analytic and geometric tools** for studying **topological properties** of manifolds.

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

- Start with a given metric g_0 on M and let it evolve under

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

- Start with a given metric g_0 on M and let it evolve under

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}, \quad g(0) = g_0$$

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

- Start with a given metric g_0 on M and let it evolve under

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}, \quad g(0) = g_0$$

- What is the idea behind Ricci flow?

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

- Start with a given metric g_0 on M and let it evolve under

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}, \quad g(0) = g_0$$

- What is the idea behind Ricci flow?
- Ricci flow should be seen as heat equation on the space of Riemannian metrics.

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

- Start with a given metric g_0 on M and let it evolve under

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}, \quad g(0) = g_0$$

- What is the idea behind Ricci flow?
- Ricci flow should be seen as heat equation on the space of Riemannian metrics.
- For instance, in terms of local coordinates x_i , if $\Delta x_i = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta g_{ij} + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Prominent example Ricci flow (R. Hamilton, 1982)

- Start with a given metric g_0 on M and let it evolve under

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}, \quad g(0) = g_0$$

- What is the idea behind Ricci flow?
- Ricci flow should be seen as heat equation on the space of Riemannian metrics.
- For instance, in terms of local coordinates x_i , if $\Delta x_i = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta g_{ij} + \text{lower order terms.}$$

- The scalar curvature $R := \operatorname{trace Ric}$ satisfies the reaction-diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R = \Delta R + 2|\operatorname{Ric}|^2.$$

Ricci solitons

Ricci solitons

A complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a **gradient Ricci soliton** if there exists $f \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}(f) = \rho g$$

for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. The function f is called a **potential function** of the Ricci soliton.

Ricci solitons

A complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a **gradient Ricci soliton** if there exists $f \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}(f) = \rho g$$

for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. The function f is called a **potential function** of the Ricci soliton.

- $\rho = 0$: **steady soliton**;
- $\rho > 0$: **shrinking soliton**;
- $\rho < 0$: **expanding soliton**.

Ricci solitons

A complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a **gradient Ricci soliton** if there exists $f \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}(f) = \rho g$$

for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. The function f is called a **potential function** of the Ricci soliton.

- $\rho = 0$: **steady soliton**;
- $\rho > 0$: **shrinking soliton**;
- $\rho < 0$: **expanding soliton**.

Note that if $f = \text{const}$, then (M, g) is Einstein.

Ricci solitons are special solutions to the Ricci flow

Ricci solitons are special solutions to the Ricci flow

- If (M, g) is Einstein with

$$\text{Ric} = \rho g,$$

then

$$g(t) := (1 - 2\rho t) g$$

solves the Ricci flow equation.

Ricci solitons are special solutions to the Ricci flow

- If (M, g) is Einstein with

$$\text{Ric} = \rho g,$$

then

$$g(t) := (1 - 2\rho t) g$$

solves the Ricci flow equation.

- Likewise, if (M, g, f) is a gradient Ricci soliton with

$$\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}(f) = \rho g,$$

then

$$g(t) := (1 - 2\rho t) \varphi_t^* g$$

solves the Ricci flow equation. Here φ_t is the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by $\nabla f / (1 - 2\rho t)$.

Depending on the sign \pm in

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = \pm 2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}, \quad g(0) = g_0$$

we talk about **backward**/**forward Ricci flow**.

Brownian motion with respect to a time varying metric

Let $g(t)$ be a C^1 family of Riemannian metrics on M .

Brownian motion with respect to a time varying metric

Let $g(t)$ be a C^1 family of Riemannian metrics on M .

- A continuous adapted process X is called **Brownian motion with respect to $g(t)$** if

$$\forall f \in C^\infty(M),$$

$$d(f(X_t)) - \Delta_{g(t)} f(X_t) dt = 0 \quad (\text{mod loc mart})$$

Brownian motion with respect to a time varying metric

Let $g(t)$ be a C^1 family of Riemannian metrics on M .

- A continuous adapted process X is called **Brownian motion with respect to $g(t)$** if

$$\forall f \in C^\infty(M),$$

$$d(f(X_t)) - \Delta_{g(t)} f(X_t) dt = 0 \quad (\text{mod loc mart})$$

- We call X shortly a **$g(t)$ -Brownian motion** on M .

Evolution equation for densities

Let $X_t(x)$ be a $g(t)$ -Brownian motion with starting point x .

Evolution equation for densities

Let $X_t(x)$ be a $g(t)$ -Brownian motion with starting point x .

- Consider the smooth density

$$p \in C^\infty(]0, \infty[\times M \times M)$$

defined by

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_t(x) \in dy\} = p(t, x, y) \text{vol}_t(dy), \quad t > 0,$$

where $\text{vol}_t(dy)$ is the Riemannian volume on $(M, g(t))$.

Evolution equation for densities

Let $X_t(x)$ be a $g(t)$ -Brownian motion with starting point x .

- Consider the smooth density

$$p \in C^\infty(]0, \infty[\times M \times M)$$

defined by

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_t(x) \in dy\} = p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_t(dy), \quad t > 0,$$

where $\operatorname{vol}_t(dy)$ is the Riemannian volume on $(M, g(t))$.

- We have for $p_t := p(t, x, \cdot)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} p_t + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{trace} \dot{g}(t)) p_t = \Delta_{g(t)} p_t \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} p_t(y) \operatorname{vol}_t(dy) \rightarrow \delta_x \quad \text{in law as } t \downarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the special case of the Ricci flow

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \quad (\text{forward Ricci flow}), \quad \text{resp.,}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = 2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \quad (\text{backward Ricci flow}).$$

For $y \in M$, denote by $R(t, y) := \operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}(y)$ the **scalar curvature** at the point y for the metric $g(t)$.

Consider the special case of the Ricci flow

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \quad (\text{forward Ricci flow}), \quad \text{resp.,}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = 2 \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \quad (\text{backward Ricci flow}).$$

For $y \in M$, denote by $R(t, y) := \operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}(y)$ the **scalar curvature** at the point y for the metric $g(t)$.

Corollary

For the *forward Ricci flow*, we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt}p(t, x, \cdot) = \Delta_{g(t)}p(t, x, \cdot) + R(t, \cdot)p(t, x, \cdot).$$

For the *backward Ricci flow*, we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt}p(t, x, \cdot) = \Delta_{g(t)}p(t, x, \cdot) - R(t, \cdot)p(t, x, \cdot).$$

Typical question: Gradient estimates

Heat equation with respect moving Riemannian metrics

Typical question: Gradient estimates

Heat equation with respect moving Riemannian metrics

- Heat equation under Ricci flow

Typical question: Gradient estimates

Heat equation with respect moving Riemannian metrics

- Heat equation under Ricci flow
- Consider **positive solutions** u of the following heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \Delta_{g(t)} u = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = \pm \text{Ric}_{g(t)} \end{cases}$$

Typical question: Gradient estimates

Heat equation with respect moving Riemannian metrics

- Heat equation under Ricci flow
- Consider **positive solutions** u of the following heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \Delta_{g(t)} u = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = \pm \text{Ric}_{g(t)} \end{cases}$$

or of the **conjugate heat equation** under Ricci flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta_{g(t)} u \pm R(t, \cdot) u = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = \pm \text{Ric}_{g(t)}, \end{cases}$$

Typical question: Gradient estimates

Heat equation with respect moving Riemannian metrics

- Heat equation under Ricci flow
- Consider **positive solutions** u of the following heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \Delta_{g(t)} u = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = \pm \text{Ric}_{g(t)} \end{cases}$$

or of the **conjugate heat equation** under Ricci flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta_{g(t)} u \pm R(t, \cdot) u = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = \pm \text{Ric}_{g(t)}, \end{cases}$$

- It is well-known how to use Stochastic Analysis to establish estimates of $\frac{|\nabla u|}{u}(x, T)$ as well as Harnack type inequalities for u .

II. Perelman's modification of Hamilton's Ricci flow

Perelman's \mathcal{F} -functional

Let M be a smooth compact manifold without boundary and let \mathcal{M} be the set of Riemannian metrics on M .

II. Perelman's modification of Hamilton's Ricci flow

Perelman's \mathcal{F} -functional

Let M be a smooth compact manifold without boundary and let \mathcal{M} be the set of Riemannian metrics on M .

Consider

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{M} \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(g, f) := \int_M (R + |\nabla f|^2) e^{-f} d\text{vol}$$

where $R = \text{trace Ric}$ denotes the scalar curvature of (M, g) .

Gradient flow to Perelman's \mathcal{F} -functional

The gradient flow of \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{M} \times C^\infty(M)$, under the constraint that

$$e^{-f} d\text{vol} \equiv \text{const} \text{ (static measure),}$$

is given by the modified Ricci flow

$$\text{(MRF)} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2(\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}f), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f - R. \end{cases}$$

Gradient flow to Perelman's \mathcal{F} -functional

The gradient flow of \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{M} \times C^\infty(M)$, under the constraint that

$$e^{-f} d\text{vol} \equiv \text{const} \text{ (static measure),}$$

is given by the modified Ricci flow

$$\text{(MRF)} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2(\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}f), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f - R. \end{cases}$$

If g and f evolve according to MRF, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(g, f) = 2 \int_M |\text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g f|_g^2 e^{-f} d\text{vol}_g.$$

MRF modulo time dependent diffeomorphisms

Modulo diffeomorphisms the evolution of the metric is Ricci flow. More precisely, let ϕ_t be the flow generated by the (time-dependent) vector field ∇f , and let

$$\hat{g}(t) := \phi_t^* g(t), \quad \hat{f}(t) := \phi_t^* f(t) \equiv f(t) \circ \phi_t.$$

MRF modulo time dependent diffeomorphisms

Modulo diffeomorphisms the evolution of the metric is Ricci flow. More precisely, let ϕ_t be the flow generated by the (time-dependent) vector field ∇f , and let

$$\hat{g}(t) := \phi_t^* g(t), \quad \hat{f}(t) := \phi_t^* f(t) \equiv f(t) \circ \phi_t.$$

Then, by a straightforward calculation,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{g} = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{\hat{g}}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{f} = -\hat{\Delta} \hat{f} - \hat{R} + |\hat{\nabla} \hat{f}|_{\hat{g}}^2, \end{cases}$$

where \hat{R} and $\hat{\Delta}$ are taken with respect to the metric $\hat{g}(t)$.

MRF modulo time dependent diffeomorphisms

Modulo diffeomorphisms the evolution of the metric is Ricci flow. More precisely, let ϕ_t be the flow generated by the (time-dependent) vector field ∇f , and let

$$\hat{g}(t) := \phi_t^* g(t), \quad \hat{f}(t) := \phi_t^* f(t) \equiv f(t) \circ \phi_t.$$

Then, by a straightforward calculation,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{g} = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{\hat{g}}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{f} = -\hat{\Delta} \hat{f} - \hat{R} + |\hat{\nabla} \hat{f}|_{\hat{g}}^2, \end{cases}$$

where \hat{R} and $\hat{\Delta}$ are taken with respect to the metric $\hat{g}(t)$. Perelman's \mathcal{F} -functional is invariant under diffeomorphisms, hence

$$\mathcal{F}(g(t), f(t)) = \mathcal{F}(\hat{g}(t), \hat{f}(t)).$$

Conclusion Let g and f evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f - R + |\nabla f|^2, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(g, f) = 2 \int_M |\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess} f|^2 e^{-f} d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Conclusion Let g and f evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f - R + |\nabla f|^2, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(g, f) = 2 \int_M |\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess} f|^2 e^{-f} d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}(g(t), f(t))$ is non-decreasing in time and the monotonicity is strict unless

$$\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess} f = 0 \quad (\text{steady Ricci soliton}).$$

Proposition (Ricci flow under conjugate backward heat equation)

Let

$$u := e^{-f}.$$

Using $\Delta \log u = \frac{\Delta u}{u} - |\nabla \log u|^2$, then g and u evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta u + Ru. \end{cases}$$

Proposition (Ricci flow under conjugate backward heat equation)

Let

$$u := e^{-f}.$$

Using $\Delta \log u = \frac{\Delta u}{u} - |\nabla \log u|^2$, then g and u evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta u + Ru. \end{cases}$$

For $\mathcal{F}(g, u) = \int_M (R + |\nabla \log u|^2) u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(g, u) = 2 \int_M |\operatorname{Ric} - \operatorname{Hess} \log u|^2 u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Proposition (Ricci flow under conjugate backward heat equation)

Let

$$u := e^{-f}.$$

Using $\Delta \log u = \frac{\Delta u}{u} - |\nabla \log u|^2$, then g and u evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta u + Ru. \end{cases}$$

For $\mathcal{F}(g, u) = \int_M (R + |\nabla \log u|^2) u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(g, u) = 2 \int_M |\operatorname{Ric} - \operatorname{Hess} \log u|^2 u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

The integral $\int_M u(t, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy)$ stays constant under the flow.

Theorem (Boltzmann-Shannon entropy) Let

$$\mu_t(dy) := u(t, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy).$$

be the measure on M with density $u(t, \cdot)$ with respect to the volume measure to $g(t)$ as reference measure.

Theorem (Boltzmann-Shannon entropy) Let

$$\mu_t(dy) := u(t, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy).$$

be the measure on M with density $u(t, \cdot)$ with respect to the volume measure to $g(t)$ as reference measure.

Let $\mathcal{E}(t)$ be the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy of μ_t ,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_M (u \log u)(t, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy).$$

Theorem (Boltzmann-Shannon entropy) Let

$$\mu_t(dy) := u(t, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy).$$

be the measure on M with density $u(t, \cdot)$ with respect to the volume measure to $g(t)$ as reference measure.

Let $\mathcal{E}(t)$ be the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy of μ_t ,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_M (u \log u)(t, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy).$$

Then the first two derivatives of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = \int_M (R + |\nabla \log u|^2) u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g \equiv \mathcal{F}(g, u),$$

$$\mathcal{E}''(t) = 2 \int_M |\operatorname{Ric} - \operatorname{Hess} \log u|^2 u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

III. A probabilistic version: first examples

Backward Ricci flow under backward heat equation

Now M not necessarily compact. Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = 2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = 0. \end{cases}$$

III. A probabilistic version: first examples

Backward Ricci flow under backward heat equation

Now M not necessarily compact. Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = 2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $X_t(x)$ be a $g(t)$ -Brownian motion on M starting from x , and let $p(t, x, y)$ be the density of $X_t(x)$ with respect to $\operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}$. Instead of $\operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}$ take the heat kernel measure

$$m_t(dy) := p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy) = \mathbb{P} \{X_t(x) \in dy\}$$

as reference measure.

III. A probabilistic version: first examples

Backward Ricci flow under backward heat equation

Now M not necessarily compact. Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = 2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $X_t(x)$ be a $g(t)$ -Brownian motion on M starting from x , and let $p(t, x, y)$ be the density of $X_t(x)$ with respect to $\operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}$. Instead of $\operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}$ take the heat kernel measure

$$m_t(dy) := p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy) = \mathbb{P} \{X_t(x) \in dy\}$$

as reference measure. Note that the integral

$$\int_M u(t, y) m_t(dy) = \int_M u(t, y) p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy) = \mathbb{E}[u(t, X_t(x))]$$

stays constant under the flow, taking into account that $u(t, X_t(x))$ is a martingale.

Theorem

The entropy of $\mu_t := u(t, \cdot) dm_t \equiv u(t, X_t(x)) d\mathbb{P}$ calculates as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(t) &= \int_M (u \log u)(t, y) p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(u \log u)(t, X_t(x))].\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

The entropy of $\mu_t := u(t, \cdot) dm_t \equiv u(t, X_t(x)) d\mathbb{P}$ calculates as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(t) &= \int_M (u \log u)(t, y) p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(u \log u)(t, X_t(x))].\end{aligned}$$

The first two derivatives of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}(t, X_t(x)) \right] \equiv \mathbb{E} [(|\nabla \log u|^2 u)(t, X_t(x))]$$

$$\mathcal{E}''(t) = 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(u |\operatorname{Hess} \log u|^2 \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right].$$

Theorem

The entropy of $\mu_t := u(t, \cdot) dm_t \equiv u(t, X_t(x)) d\mathbb{P}$ calculates as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(t) &= \int_M (u \log u)(t, y) p(t, x, y) \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(dy) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(u \log u)(t, X_t(x))].\end{aligned}$$

The first two derivatives of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}'(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u}(t, X_t(x)) \right] \equiv \mathbb{E} [(|\nabla \log u|^2 u)(t, X_t(x))] \\ \mathcal{E}''(t) &= 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(u |\operatorname{Hess} \log u|^2 \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Now the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} takes the role as reference measure, and $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is the entropy of the measure $\mu_t = u(t, X_t(x)) d\mathbb{P}$.

Application to ancient solutions of the heat equation

Hongxin Guo, Robert Philipowski, ATh. (2013)

- With the substitution $\tau := -t$ we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \Delta_{g(-\tau)}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} = -2\text{Ric}, \quad \tau \leq 0.$$

Application to ancient solutions of the heat equation

Hongxin Guo, Robert Philipowski, ATh. (2013)

- With the substitution $\tau := -t$ we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \Delta_{g(-\tau)}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} = -2\text{Ric}, \quad \tau \leq 0.$$

- Thus solutions to the original equation defined for all $t \geq 0$ correspond with ancient solutions of the heat equation, $\tau \leq 0$, under forward Ricci flow.

Application to ancient solutions of the heat equation

Hongxin Guo, Robert Philipowski, ATh. (2013)

- With the substitution $\tau := -t$ we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \Delta_{g(-\tau)}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} = -2\text{Ric}, \quad \tau \leq 0.$$

- Thus solutions to the original equation defined for all $t \geq 0$ correspond with ancient solutions of the heat equation, $\tau \leq 0$, under forward Ricci flow.
- Let

$$\theta := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}'(t) \in [0, +\infty].$$

Application to ancient solutions of the heat equation

Hongxin Guo, Robert Philipowski, ATh. (2013)

- With the substitution $\tau := -t$ we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \Delta_{g(-\tau)}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} = -2\text{Ric}, \quad \tau \leq 0.$$

- Thus solutions to the original equation defined for all $t \geq 0$ correspond with ancient solutions of the heat equation, $\tau \leq 0$, under forward Ricci flow.
- Let

$$\theta := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}'(t) \in [0, +\infty].$$

Example Consider $u(t, y) = e^{y-t}$ on \mathbb{R} with the standard metric. Then

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = t \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = 1.$$

Proposition

Assume that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 2\text{Ric}$ (or more generally $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \leq 2\text{Ric}$) and let u be a positive solution of the backward heat equation.

Proposition

Assume that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 2\text{Ric}$ (or more generally $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \leq 2\text{Ric}$) and let u be a positive solution of the backward heat equation.

- Then u is constant if and only if $\theta = 0$.

Proposition

Assume that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 2\text{Ric}$ (or more generally $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \leq 2\text{Ric}$) and let u be a positive solution of the backward heat equation.

- Then u is constant if and only if $\theta = 0$.
- If the entropy $\mathcal{E}(t)$ grows sublinearly, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(t)/t = 0,$$

then $\theta = 0$ and hence u is constant.

Proposition

Assume that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 2\text{Ric}$ (or more generally $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \leq 2\text{Ric}$) and let u be a positive solution of the backward heat equation.

- Then u is constant if and only if $\theta = 0$.
- If the entropy $\mathcal{E}(t)$ grows sublinearly, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(t)/t = 0,$$

then $\theta = 0$ and hence u is constant.

- If $2\text{Ric} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}$ is strictly positive everywhere, then nonconstant solutions to the backward heat equation cannot have linear entropy.

IV. Ricci flow under conjugate backward heat equation

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru. \end{cases}$$

IV. Ricci flow under conjugate backward heat equation

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru. \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \int_0^t R(s, X_s(x)) ds \right) u(t, X_t(x)) \right] = u(0, x) \text{ indep. of } t.$$

IV. Ricci flow under conjugate backward heat equation

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru. \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \int_0^t R(s, X_s(x)) ds \right) u(t, X_t(x)) \right] = u(0, x) \text{ indep. of } t.$$

Take

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x) := \exp \left(- \int_0^t R(s, X_s(x)) ds \right) d\mathbb{P}$$

as reference measure and to consider the entropy of the measure

$$\mu_t(x) := u(t, X_t(x)) d\mathbb{P}_t(x).$$

The entropy of

$$\mu_t(x) := u(t, X_t(x)) d\mathbb{P}_t(x)$$

reads as

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} [(u \log u)(t, X_t(x))].$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{t,x} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t(x)}$ denotes expectation w/r to $\mathbb{P}_t(x)$.

The derivative of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \left[\left((R + |\nabla \log u|^2) u \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right].$$

Theorem

Consider the following entropy functional

$$\text{Ent}(g, u, t) := \mathbb{E}_{t,x} [(u \log u)(t, X_t(x))] - 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{s,x} [\Delta u(s, X_s(x))] ds.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(g, u, t) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \left[\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} - 2\Delta u + Ru \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right],$$
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \text{Ent}(g, u, t) = 2 \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \left[\left(|\text{Ric} - \text{Hess} \log u|^2 u \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right].$$

In terms of the martingale

$$M_t := u(t, X_t(x)) \exp\left(-\int_0^t R(s, X_s(x)) ds\right)$$

(mild conditions on u and R are required to make this local martingale a true martingale) and the measure \mathbb{P}^* defined via

$$\mathbb{P}^*|_{\mathcal{F}_t} := (M_t \cdot \mathbb{P})|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \equiv (M_T \cdot \mathbb{P})|_{\mathcal{F}_t}, \quad (t \leq T),$$

In terms of the martingale

$$\mathbb{M}_t := u(t, X_t(x)) \exp\left(-\int_0^t R(s, X_s(x)) ds\right)$$

(mild conditions on u and R are required to make this local martingale a true martingale) and the measure \mathbb{P}^* defined via

$$\mathbb{P}^*|_{\mathcal{F}_t} := (\mathbb{M}_t \cdot \mathbb{P})|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \equiv (\mathbb{M}_T \cdot \mathbb{P})|_{\mathcal{F}_t}, \quad (t \leq T),$$

the above derivative formulas for $\text{Ent}(g, u, t)$ write intrinsically as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}(g, u, t) &= \mathbb{E}^* \left[\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - 2\frac{\Delta u}{u} + R \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right], \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \text{Ent}(g, u, t) &= 2 \mathbb{E}^* \left[\left(|\text{Ric} - \text{Hess} \log u|^2 \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

V. Relative entropies – Perelman's \mathcal{W} -functional

Let M again be a compact manifold. To study shrinking solitons, Perelman introduced the so-called \mathcal{W} -functional.

V. Relative entropies – Perelman's \mathcal{W} -functional

Let M again be a compact manifold. To study shrinking solitons, Perelman introduced the so-called \mathcal{W} -functional. Instead of the \mathcal{F} -functional one considers

$$\mathcal{W}: \mathcal{M} \times C^\infty(M) \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$
$$\mathcal{W}(g, f, \tau) := \int_M \left[\tau (R + |\nabla f|^2) + f - n \right] \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} d\text{vol}_g$$

V. Relative entropies – Perelman's \mathcal{W} -functional

Let M again be a compact manifold. To study shrinking solitons, Perelman introduced the so-called \mathcal{W} -functional. Instead of the \mathcal{F} -functional one considers

$$\mathcal{W}: \mathcal{M} \times C^\infty(M) \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$
$$\mathcal{W}(g, f, \tau) := \int_M \left[\tau (R + |\nabla f|^2) + f - n \right] \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} d\text{vol}_g$$

One studies the gradient flow of $\mathcal{W}(g, f, \tau)$. This leads to evolutions $g(t)$, $f(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ where τ is then a strictly positive smooth function $\tau(t)$.

Theorem (Perelman 2002)

Let $f(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ develop according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f - R + |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{n}{2\tau}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau = -1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Perelman 2002)

Let $f(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ develop according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = -\Delta f - R + |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{n}{2\tau}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g, f, \tau) = 2\tau \int_M \left| \operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess} f - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{W}(g, f, \tau)$ is non-decreasing in time and monotonicity is strict unless (M, g) satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess} f = \frac{g}{2\tau} \quad (\text{shrinking Ricci soliton}).$$

Let

$$u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} \quad \text{or} \quad f = - \left(\log u + \frac{n}{2} \log(4\pi\tau) \right).$$

Let

$$u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} \quad \text{or} \quad f = -\left(\log u + \frac{n}{2} \log(4\pi\tau)\right).$$

Then $g(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \text{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau = -1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let

$$u := \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} \quad \text{or} \quad f = - \left(\log u + \frac{n}{2} \log(4\pi\tau) \right).$$

Then $g(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau = -1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{W}(g, u, \tau) := \int_M \left[\tau (R + |\nabla \log u|^2) - \log u - \frac{n}{2} \log(4\pi\tau) - n \right] u \, d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g, u, \tau) = 2\tau \int_M \left| \text{Ric} - \text{Hess} \log u - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 u \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(g, u, \tau) = 2\tau \int_M \left| \text{Ric} - \text{Hess} \log u - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 u \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{W}(g, u, \tau)$ is non-decreasing in time and monotonicity is strict unless

$$\text{Ric} - \text{Hess} \log u = \frac{g}{2\tau}.$$

Entropy of the Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^n

Let

$$d\mu_t(y) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-|y|^2/4t} dy =: \gamma_t(y) dy$$

be the standard Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^n . The Boltzmann-Shannon entropy of μ_τ is given as

$$\mathcal{E}_0(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\gamma_\tau \log \gamma_\tau)(y) dy = -\frac{n}{2} [1 + \log(4\pi\tau)].$$

Theorem (Relative entropy)

Let $g(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ evolve according to Eq. (1). We normalize u such that

$$\int_M u(t) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \equiv 1.$$

Let

$$H(g, u, t) := \mathcal{E}(t) - \mathcal{E}_0(t) \equiv \int_M u \log u d\text{vol}_g + \frac{n}{2} [1 + \log(4\pi\tau)].$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(g, u, t) = \int_M \left[R + |\nabla \log u|^2 - \frac{n}{2\tau} \right] u d\text{vol}_g$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tau H(g, u, t) = \mathcal{W}(g, u, \tau).$$

Remark Compare with Lei Ni's entropy formula for positive solutions of the heat equation on a Riemannian static manifold.

Remark Compare with Lei Ni's entropy formula for positive solutions of the heat equation on a Riemannian static manifold.

Lei Ni (2004) Let $u > 0$ be a positive solution of the heat equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) u = 0$$

on a compact static Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Let

$$H(u, t) := \int_M u \log u \, d\text{vol} + \frac{n}{2} [1 + \log(4\pi t)]$$

be the difference between the Boltzmann entropy of the measure $u(x) \text{vol}(dx)$ on M (normalized to be a probability measure) and the Boltzmann entropy of the standard Gaussian measure $\mu(dy)$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(u, t) = \int_M \left(\Delta \log u + \frac{n}{2t} \right) u \, d\text{vol}.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(u, t) = \int_M \left(\Delta \log u + \frac{n}{2t} \right) u \, d\text{vol}.$$

Observation Suppose that $\text{Ric} \geq 0$.

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(u, t) = \int_M \left(\Delta \log u + \frac{n}{2t} \right) u \, d\text{vol}.$$

Observation Suppose that $\text{Ric} \geq 0$.

Then, by the differential Harnack inequality,

$$|\nabla \log u|^2 - \frac{\Delta u}{u} \leq \frac{n}{2t},$$

equivalently

$$\Delta \log u + \frac{n}{2t} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(u, t) = \int_M \left(\Delta \log u + \frac{n}{2t} \right) u \, d\text{vol}.$$

Observation Suppose that $\text{Ric} \geq 0$.

Then, by the differential Harnack inequality,

$$|\nabla \log u|^2 - \frac{\Delta u}{u} \leq \frac{n}{2t},$$

equivalently

$$\Delta \log u + \frac{n}{2t} \geq 0.$$

In this case $H(u, t)$ non-decreasing as function of t .

VI. Probabilistic version of Perelman's \mathcal{W} -functional

From now on, M no longer necessarily compact; mild integrability have to be imposed at certain places to make terms integrable or to get true martingales out of local martingales.

VI. Probabilistic version of Perelman's \mathcal{W} -functional

From now on, M no longer necessarily compact; mild integrability have to be imposed at certain places to make terms integrable or to get true martingales out of local martingales.

We retake the Perelman's situation, i.e. $g(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ evolve according to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau = -1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

For simplicity take $\tau(t) = T - t$.

Consider again on M the entropy functional

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}(g, u, t) &:= \mathbb{E}_{t,x} [(u \log u)(t, X_t(x))] \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{s,x} [\Delta u(s, X_s(x))] ds, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding expression on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\text{Ent}_0(t) = \mathbb{E}[(\gamma_{\tau(t)} \log \gamma_{\tau(t)})(B_t)] - 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\Delta \gamma_{\tau(s)}(B_s)] ds,$$

where γ_t is the standard Gaussian kernel and B_t standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^n starting at 0.

A straightforward manipulation shows (with $\tau(t) = T - t$)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ent}_0(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma_\tau(y) \log \gamma_\tau(y) dy - 2 t \Delta \gamma_T(0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}} \left(\frac{t}{T} + \log(4\pi\tau) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}} \frac{t}{T} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}} \log(4\pi\tau).\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward manipulation shows (with $\tau(t) = T - t$)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ent}_0(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma_\tau(y) \log \gamma_\tau(y) dy - 2 t \Delta \gamma_T(0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}} \left(\frac{t}{T} + \log(4\pi\tau) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}} \frac{t}{T} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}} \log(4\pi\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Normalize u such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,x} [u(t, X_t(x))] \equiv \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^{n/2}},$$

and consider

$$\mathbb{H}(g, u, t) := \text{Ent}(g, u, t) - \text{Ent}_0(t).$$

Theorem (Relative entropy; probabilistic version)

Let $g(t)$, $u(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ solve Eq. (2) with u normalized as above. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}(t) &\equiv \mathbb{H}(g, u, t) := \text{Ent}(g, u, t) - \text{Ent}_0(t) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{W}(\tau) &\equiv \mathbb{W}(g, u, \tau) := \mathbb{E}^* \left[\left(\tau \left(R - 2 \frac{\Delta u}{u} + |\nabla \log u|^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \log u - \frac{n}{2} \log(4\pi\tau) - \frac{n}{2} \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{H}(t) &= \mathbb{E}^* \left[\left(|\nabla \log u|^2 - 2 \frac{\Delta u}{u} + R - \frac{n}{2\tau} \right) (t, X_t(x)) \right], \\ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{W}(\tau) &= 2\tau \mathbb{E}^* \left[\left| \text{Ric} - \text{Hess} \log u - \frac{g}{2\tau} \right|^2 (t, X_t(x)) \right].\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand-side of $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{H}(g, u, t)$ is non-positive due to the Harnack inequality for solutions of the conjugate heat equation under Ricci flow: if $R \geq 0$ then

$$|\nabla \log u|^2 - 2 \frac{\Delta u}{u} + R - \frac{n}{2\tau} \leq 0,$$

equivalently

$$2\Delta \log u + |\nabla \log u|^2 - R + \frac{n}{2\tau} \geq 0.$$

VII. Applications

*No breather theorems for **non-compact** manifolds*

VII. Applications

No breather theorems for non-compact manifolds

- A **breather** of a geometric flow is a **periodic** solution changing only by **diffeomorphisms** and **rescaling**.

VII. Applications

No breather theorems for non-compact manifolds

- A **breather** of a geometric flow is a **periodic** solution changing only by **diffeomorphisms** and **rescaling**.
- More precisely, a solution $(M, g(t))$ is a **breather** if there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$, a constant $c > 0$ and times $t_1 < t_2$ such that

$$g(t_2) = c \varphi^* g(t_1).$$

VII. Applications

No breather theorems for non-compact manifolds

- A **breather** of a geometric flow is a **periodic** solution changing only by **diffeomorphisms** and **rescaling**.
- More precisely, a solution $(M, g(t))$ is a **breather** if there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$, a constant $c > 0$ and times $t_1 < t_2$ such that

$$g(t_2) = c \varphi^* g(t_1).$$

- According to $c < 1$, $c = 1$ or $c > 1$, the breather is called **shrinking**, **steady** or **expanding**, respectively.

VII. Applications

No breather theorems for non-compact manifolds

- A **breather** of a geometric flow is a **periodic** solution changing only by **diffeomorphisms** and **rescaling**.
- More precisely, a solution $(M, g(t))$ is a **breather** if there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$, a constant $c > 0$ and times $t_1 < t_2$ such that

$$g(t_2) = c \varphi^* g(t_1).$$

- According to $c < 1$, $c = 1$ or $c > 1$, the breather is called **shrinking**, **steady** or **expanding**, respectively.
- A general problem is to rule out non-trivial breathers, e.g. no steady or expanding breather theorems, like **every steady breather is Ricci-flat**, **every expanding breather is a gradient soliton**, etc

VII. Applications

No breather theorems for non-compact manifolds

- A **breather** of a geometric flow is a **periodic** solution changing only by **diffeomorphisms** and **rescaling**.
- More precisely, a solution $(M, g(t))$ is a **breather** if there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$, a constant $c > 0$ and times $t_1 < t_2$ such that

$$g(t_2) = c \varphi^* g(t_1).$$

- According to $c < 1$, $c = 1$ or $c > 1$, the breather is called **shrinking**, **steady** or **expanding**, respectively.
- A general problem is to rule out non-trivial breathers, e.g. no steady or expanding breather theorems, like **every steady breather is Ricci-flat**, **every expanding breather is a gradient soliton**, etc
- The above formulas are appropriate to deal with non-compact manifolds.

The case of a surface ($\dim M = 2$)

On a surface of **positive curvature** things simplify a lot:

Instead of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2 \operatorname{Ric}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \Delta u = Ru \end{cases}$$

we may use

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -R g, \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta - R \right) R = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence R itself can take over the role of u and then there is no conjugate heat equation involved anymore in the definition of the surface entropy.

We are interested in ancient solutions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -Rg, \quad t \in]-\infty, T].$$

We are interested in ancient solutions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -Rg, \quad t \in]-\infty, T].$$

(Then either $R \equiv 0$ or $R > 0$ for all points and times).

We are interested in ancient solutions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -Rg, \quad t \in]-\infty, T].$$

(Then either $R \equiv 0$ or $R > 0$ for all points and times).

Hence after time reversal we have (without restrictions $T = 0$)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = Rg, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and in addition

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R + \Delta + R \right) R = 0.$$

We are interested in ancient solutions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -Rg, \quad t \in]-\infty, T].$$

(Then either $R \equiv 0$ or $R > 0$ for all points and times).

Hence after time reversal we have (without restrictions $T = 0$)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = Rg, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and in addition

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R + \Delta + R \right) R = 0.$$

Note that

$$R(t, X_t) \exp \left(\int_0^t R(s, X_s) ds \right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a positive local martingale, and hence a supermartingale; in particular, it has an almost sure limit, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Change the measure as above

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^*|\mathcal{F}_t}{d\mathbb{P}|\mathcal{F}_t} := R(t, X_t) \exp\left(\int_0^t R(s, X_s) ds\right),$$

and define the surface entropy under the backward Ricci flow as

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathbb{E}^* \left[\log R(t, X_t) - \int_0^t \frac{\Delta R}{R}(s, X_s) ds \right], \quad t \geq 0.$$

Change the measure as above

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^*|\mathcal{F}_t}{d\mathbb{P}|\mathcal{F}_t} := R(t, X_t) \exp\left(\int_0^t R(s, X_s) ds\right),$$

and define the surface entropy under the backward Ricci flow as

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathbb{E}^* \left[\log R(t, X_t) - \int_0^t \frac{\Delta R}{R}(s, X_s) ds \right], \quad t \geq 0.$$

The following derivative formulas hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(t) &= -\mathbb{E}^* [(R + \Delta \log R)(t, X_t)], \\ \mathcal{E}''(t) &= 2\mathbb{E}^* \left[\left| \nabla \nabla \log R + \frac{1}{2} Rg \right|^2 (t, X_t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$