Imaging Critical Zone Processes

Kamini Singha Hydrologic Science and Engineering Colorado School of Mines

With contributions from: Xavier Comas, Jorden Hayes, and Andy Parsekian



Key critical zone processes

- Delivery of water/energy to the subsurface
- 2. Transformation of rock into soil
- 3. Links between vegetation and hydrology
- 4. Controls on terrestrial carbon
- 5. Changes in CZ services with disturbance

[Sullivan et al., 2017, New Opportunities for Critical Zone Science]



[Chorover et al., 2007, *Elements*]

Geophysical observations provide a "macroscope" into subsurface

- Minimally: a better way to interpolate
- Better: a way to explore CZ controls, processes



A lot has happened since 2015

AGU PUBLICATIONS



Reviews of Geophysics

REVIEW ARTICLE

10.1002/2014RG000465

Key Points:

- Geophysics as a tool for imaging of critical zone processes
- Can image deep critical zone where direct measurements are limited
- Examples of recent successes and opportunities for the future

Correspondence to:

A. D. Parsekian, aparseki@uwyo.edu

Citation:

Parsekian, A. D., K. Singha, B. J. Minsley, W. S. Holbrook, and L. Slater (2015), Multiscale geophysical imaging of the critical zone, *Rev. Geophys.*, *53*, doi:10.1002/2014RG000465.

Multiscale geophysical imaging of the critical zone

A. D. Parsekian¹, K. Singha², B. J. Minsley³, W. S. Holbrook¹, and L. Slater⁴

¹Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming, USA, ²Department of Geology and Geological Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, USA, ³U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado, USA, ⁴Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, USA

Abstract Details of Earth's shallow subsurface—a key component of the critical zone (CZ)—are largely obscured because making direct observations with sufficient density to capture natural characteristic spatial variability in physical properties is difficult. Yet this inaccessible region of the CZ is fundamental to processes that support ecosystems, society, and the environment. Geophysical methods provide a means for remotely examining CZ form and function over length scales that span centimeters to kilometers. Here we present a review highlighting the application of geophysical methods to CZ science research questions. In particular, we consider the application of geophysical methods to map the geometry of structural features such as regolith thickness, lithological boundaries, permafrost extent, snow thickness, or shallow root zones. Combined with knowledge of structure, we discuss how geophysical observations are used to understand CZ processes. Fluxes between snow, surface water, and groundwater affect weathering, groundwater resources, and chemical and nutrient exports to rivers. The exchange of gas between soil and the atmosphere

What CZ scientists would like

Macroscopic distributions of:

- Porosity
- Bulk density
- Chemical/mineralogical composition
- Mineral surface area
- Root distributions
- Subsurface "connectivity"
- ..

Key critical zone processes

- Delivery of water/energy to the subsurface
- 2. Transformation of rock into soil
- 3. Links between vegetation and hydrology
- 4. Controls on terrestrial carbon
- 5. Changes in CZ services with disturbance

[Sullivan et al., 2017, New Opportunities for Critical Zone Science]



[Chorover et al., 2007, *Elements*]



1) Delivery of water into the subsurface*

- Controls a variety of ecosystem services
- Moisture is generally an easy geophysical target
 △ electrical resistivity
 △ dielectric permittivity
 - $\Box \Delta$ seismic velocity

Moving beyond simple conservative tracers



[Wehrer et al., 2016, WRR]



Importance of the right rock physics relations



[Altdorff et al., 2017, EES]

What are controls on water movement through a hillslope? \bigstar

m.a.s.l



- MR: mobile regolith
- WB: weathered bedrock
- B: bedrock

[Thayer et al., 2018, WRR]

Water tracks in the Arctic

Mapping flowpaths in permafrost



[Voytek et al., 2016, Geophysics]

Issues to think about

- Testing conceptual models of hydrologic, geochemical processes
- Imaging seasonally changing flow paths
- Developing rock physics relations between geophysics and other key properties

2) Transformation of rock into soil



- Weathering of rock at the bedrock-saprolite interface is key to critical zone processes
- Weathered material: prone to landslides, impacting landscape evolution; provides the medium for plant growth
- A good geophysical target

How does weathering vary over a landscape?



[Hayes et al., in prep]

Seismic anisotropy & fracturing







[Pommer et al., in prep]

- Soil layer is isotropic;
 ~500 m/s on N- and Sfacing hillslopes
- North-facing regolith:
 - less pronounced anisotropy
 - velocities from ~800-1500 m/s
- South-facing regolith:
 - more pronounced anisotropy
 - velocities from ~1000-2000 m/s

Fracture orientation is similar on both aspects



North-facing



South-facing

No. boreholes	2	5
Total fractures	42	138
Mean strike/dip of significant clusters	282°/52°N	054°/40°S 283°/51°N

[Pommer et al., in prep]

Importance of foliation

S-facing slopes are more deeply weathered, is this because of foliation alignment with topography?



[[]Pommer et al., in prep]

Chemical vs. physical weathering



Estimate porosity from seismic refraction velocities; transform to strain





[Hayes et al., in review, *Science Advances*]

Issues to think about

- How to parse physical and chemical weathering?
- What are the controls of regional stress, freeze-thaw processes, physical and chemical heterogeneity on the measured weathering signal?
- Trees!

3) Links between vegetation and hydrology

- Geophysics has primarily been used to explore changing moisture content
- What are sensitivities to biogeochemical changes?





Regolith under trees

- Tree roots add complexity
- Large contrast in resistivity under living trees disappears when they die



[Pawlik & Kasprzak, 2018, Geomorphology]

Early work on rooting depths

Time-lapse ER for soil moisture dynamics reveals rooting depth differences of forest and grassland.







Data enabled improved root parameterization in global climate and landscape hydrology models.

[Jayawickreme et al., 2008, GRL]

Imaging hydraulic redistribution





[Mares et al., 2016, *JoH*]



Issues to think about

- What is the geophysical signature of root behavior, associated fungi?
- How species dependent are results?
- How do we scale plant-plot measurements up to watersheds? Can we image the "wood wide web"?
- How do we make reasonable models of large-scale hydrology that incorporate plant physiology in meaningful ways?

4) Controls on terrestrial carbon

- Assessment of stocks needed to quantify carbon cycling, role in a changing climate
- Can image gases, structural constraints on carbon stores, transport



[www.e-education.psu.edu]

Distribution of free-phase gas in peatland



[Parsekian et al., 2011, JGR]

Prediction of C stocks in wetlands

Table 1

Carbon Volume and Stock Estimates

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH Biogeosciences

AN AGU JOURNAL

Volume 122 - Issue 11 - November 2017 - Pages 2717-3110





[McClellan et al., 2017, JGR-B]

JGR

@AGU PUBLICATIONS



Burning of peats—C + disturbance







- Marked lateral changes in EM wave velocity likely related to heterogeneities of burned peat with depth
- Can we infer changes in peat thickness if constrained?

[Comas et al., in prep]

Issues to think about

- What observing networks are needed to make quantitative estimates of carbon cycle status, dynamics, and evolution?
- What information, if any, can geophysics provide on carbon fate, form or reactivity?

Opportunities in CZ geophysics

- Many open questions about how to use these data quantitatively (or even qualitatively)
- Methods can be used to:
 - Test conceptual models of hydrologic processes
 - Image large-scale geometry of geologic units, permafrost, catchment-scale geomorphic events
- Needs:
 - Thinking/collaboration across disciplinary boundaries
 - Developing rock physics relations between geophysics and physical, chemical, biological properties of interest
 - Moving to larger scales, time lapse imaging

- Altdorff et al., 2017, *EES*. Potential of catchment-wide soil water content prediction using electromagnetic induction in a forest ecosystem.
- Befus et al., 2011, VZJ. Seismic constraints on critical zone architecture, Boulder Creek watershed, Front Range, Colorado.
- Chorover et al., 2007, *Elements*. Soil biogeochemical processes within the critical zone.
- Comas et al., in prep. Using ground penetrating radar (GPR) for delineating the extent of burned peat soils in Palangkaraya, Indonesia.
- Harmon et al., in prep. A field- and model-based approach to reveal connections linking hillslope transpiration, groundwater fluxes, and stream flow.
- Hayes et al., in prep. Characterizing the distribution of fractures in the deep critical zone with geophysics and drilling.
- Hayes et al., in review, *Science Advances*. Porosity production in weathered rock: Where volumetric strain dominates over chemical mass loss.
- Jayawickreme et al., 2008, GRL. Subsurface imaging of vegetation, climate, and root-zone moisture interactions.
- Mares et al., 2016, JoH. Examining diel patterns of soil and xylem moisture using electrical resistivity imaging.
- McClellan et al., 2017. *JGR-B*. Estimating belowground carbon stocks in isolated wetlands of the Northern Everglades Watershed, central Florida using ground penetrating radar and aerial imagery.
- Nyquist et al., 2018, VZJ. Testing the fill-and-spill model of subsurface lateral flow using ground-penetrating radar and dye tracing.
- Parsekian et al., 2011, JGR. Geophysical evidence for the lateral distribution of free phase gas at the peat basin scale in a large northern peatland.
- Pawlik & Kasprzak, 2018, *Geomorphology*. Regolith properties under trees and the biomechanical effects caused by tree root systems as recognized by electrical resistivity tomography.
- Pommer et al., in prep. An exploration of critical zone weathering on opposing montane hillslopes.
- Robinson et al., 2012, JoH. Evidence for spatial variability in hydraulic redistribution within an oak-pine forest from resistivity imaging.
- St. Clair et al., 2015, *Science*. Geophysical imaging reveals topographic stress control of bedrock weathering.
- Sullivan et al., 2017, New Opportunities for Critical Zone Science, CZO White Paper.
- Thayer et al., 2018, WRR. Geophysical measurements to determine the hydrologic partitioning of snowmelt on a snow-dominated subalpine hillslope.
- Wehrer et al., 2016, WRR. Characterization of reactive transport by 3-D electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) under unsaturated conditions.
- Whalley et al., 2017, *Plant and Soil*. Methods to estimate changes in soil water for phenotyping root activity in the field.
- Voytek et al., 2016, *Geophysics*. Identifying hydrologic flowpaths on arctic hillslopes using electrical resistivity and self potential.
- Voytek et al., in review, HP. Propagation of diel transpiration signals in the subsurface observed using the self-potential method.