# LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR THE SUPREMUM OF AN EMPIRICAL PROCESS FOR I.I.D. RANDOM VARIABLES

# J.-Ch. Breton

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Université de La Rochelle Avenue Michel Cr'epeau 17042 La Rochelle cedex, France (e-mail: jcbreton@univ-lr.fr)

## Y. Davydov

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Paul Painlevé, Université Lille I 59655 Villeneuve d'Acsq cedex France (e-mail: davydov@univ-lille1.fr)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish the convergence in total-variation norm of the law of the supremum of an empirical process constructed from a sequence of i.i.d. random variables to the law of the supremum of a (generalized) Brownian bridge.

Keywords: convergence in variation, empirical process, local limit theorem.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Let  $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with rather smooth distribution function *F*. We consider the empirical process given by

$$\zeta_n^F(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,t]}(\xi_i) - F(t) \right].$$
(0.1)

It is well known that, for uniformly distributed random variables  $\xi_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , the empirical process  $\zeta_n^U$  weakly converges, as  $n \to +\infty$ , to a standard Brownian bridge  $W_U^\circ$  on [0, 1]. In fact, the same result holds for a sequence of i.i.d. random variables  $(\xi_i)_i$  with smooth distribution function F. In this case, the limit process is a generalized Brownian bridge  $W_F^\circ$ , i.e., a continuous Gaussian process with independent increments with the covariance function

$$F(t) \wedge F(s) - F(t)F(s).$$

0363-1672/05/4504-0368 © 2005 Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.

Translated from Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkinys, Vol. 45, No. 4, pp. 457–478, October–December, 2005. Original article submitted June 7, 2005.

More precisely, denoting by  $\implies$  the weak convergence, we have (see [1], Theorem 14.3):

$$\mathcal{L}(\zeta_n^F) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(W_F^0), \quad n \to +\infty, \tag{0.2}$$

where the convergence in (0.2) holds in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$  (the space of *cadlag* functions equipped with a Skorokhod-type topology), and  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  denotes the distribution of *X*.

In this paper, we study the behavior of the suprema of empirical processes and their asymptotic distributions. Clearly, (0.2) immediately implies the weak convergence

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\zeta_n^F(t)\right)\Longrightarrow\mathcal{L}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}W_F^0(t)\right),\quad n\to+\infty.$$

Our aim is to strengthen this convergence to the convergence in variation. In the sequel, we denote the latter by  $\xrightarrow{var}$ ;  $\|\mu\|$  denotes the (total) variation of a signed measure  $\mu$ , while  $\mu_A$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to a measurable set *A*.

Note that the set  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R})$  of all signed measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Banach space, provided that it is equipped with the variation norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . We also denote by  $\xrightarrow{\mu}$  the convergence in measure  $\mu$ .

Since the distribution of the supremum of a Brownian bridge is absolutely continuous (see [6]), the convergence in variation of  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \zeta_n^F(t)$  is equivalent to the convergence in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  of the densities of  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \zeta_n^F(t)$ . Thus, this implies a local limit theorem for this distribution.

In the setting of the classical invariance principle of Donsker–Prokhorov (i.e., in the case of linear piecewise processes  $X_n$  constructed on the basis of  $(\xi_i)_i$ ), such a stronger convergence in variation  $f(X_n) \xrightarrow{var} f(X_\infty)$  is also proved in [5], Section 20, and in [2], [3] for a large class of functionals f for which the key is the existence and nondegeneracy of some directional derivatives. For example, the smooth functionals and supremum- or integral-type functionals belong to this class. However, in the case of empirical processes, the problem is much more complicated. For instance, in the uniform case, even for the simplest functionals of estimation  $f_{t_0}(x) = x(t_0)$  (with  $t_0 \neq 0, 1$ ), the law of estimated empirical process  $f_{t_0}(\zeta_n)$  is atomic and cannot, in principle, converge in variation to the Gaussian law of  $W_F^0(t_0)$ .

For this reason, we deal with specific functionals of interest and begin, in this paper, with supremum-type functionals. The main result is:

THEOREM 1. Let  $(\xi_i)_{i>0}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with continuous distribution function F, and let  $\zeta_n^F$  be the related empirical process given by (0.1). Then, as  $n \to +\infty$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\zeta_n^F(t)\right)\xrightarrow{var}\mathcal{L}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}W_F^0(t)\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\zeta_{n}^{F}(t)\right|\right)\xrightarrow{var}\mathcal{L}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left|W_{F}^{0}(t)\right|\right).$$

As far as we know, these limit theorems are the first results stating a local limit theorem for suprema of empirical processes.

The proof of the second part of Theorem 1 is similar to that of the first part with easy modifications and will be omitted.

Since the laws of  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \zeta_n^F(t)$  and  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} W_F^0(t)$  do not depend on the distribution F, it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for a Gaussian i.i.d. sequence, for which the calculations are easier. We denote by  $\Phi$  the distribution function of the standard normal law, and by  $p(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-t^2/2)$  its density.

The sequel is thus devoted to the (long) proof of Theorem 1 for an i.i.d. standard Gaussian sequence  $(\xi_i)_{i>0}$ . In order to derive such a convergence in variation, we apply the so-called superstructure method relying on the behavior of the laws of empirical processes near admissible directions for the limit law (of a Brownian bridge). For a complete description of this method, we refer to [5]. In particular, we fundamentally use the following result: THEOREM A ([5], Theorem 18.4). Let  $\{P_n, n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}\}$  be a sequence of probability measures defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}$  of a complete separable metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Suppose that  $P_n \Rightarrow P_{\infty}$ . Moreover, suppose that, for  $P_{\infty}$ -almost all x, there exist an open ball V centered at x, a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a family  $(G_{n,c}, n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}, c \in (0, \varepsilon])$  of measurable transformations of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that the following five conditions are fulfilled:

(i) for all  $c \in (0, \varepsilon)$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} P_n(z \mid d(G_{n,c} z, G_{\infty,c} z) \ge \delta) = 0;$$

(ii) for every  $c \in (0, \varepsilon)$ , the mapping  $G_{\infty,c}$  is  $P_{\infty}$ -almost everywhere continuous; moreover, suppose that

$$\rho(S,c) = \sup_{z \in S} d\left(z, G_{\infty,c}z\right) \to 0 \quad as \ c \to \ 0$$

for all open balls S;

- (iii)  $\lim_{c\to 0} \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|P_n G_{n,c}^{-1} P_n\| = 0;$
- (iv) for all  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{V(x)} \left\| \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{n,z}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,z}^{-1} \right\| P_n(\mathrm{d}z) = 0,$$

where  $\varphi_{n,z}(c) = f(G_{n,c}z)$  with  $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $c \in (0, \varepsilon]$ , and  $\lambda_{[0,\delta]}$  is the restriction of the Lebesgue measure to the interval  $[0, \delta]$ ;

(v) for all  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ , the mapping  $z \mapsto \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,z}^{-1}$  of V into  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R})$ , the Banach space of signed measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the total-variation norm, is  $P_{\infty}$ -almost everywhere continuous.

Then

$$P_n f^{-1} \xrightarrow{var} P_{\infty} f^{-1}, \quad n \to +\infty.$$

Since the empirical processes  $\zeta_n$  lie in the Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , we are going to apply Theorem A to  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R})$ , the subspace of  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$  defined below), to the laws  $P_n$  of  $\zeta_n$  and  $P_\infty$  of a Brownian bridge  $W_{\Phi}^0$ , and to the functional  $f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} x(t)$ .

We first define suitable transformations  $(G_{n,c})_c$  and a single  $\varepsilon > 0$  for  $P_{\infty}$ -almost every x. Then we show that the conditions of Theorem A are satisfied.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We begin with a precise description of a setting for application of Theorem A and then check that all the conditions are satisfied. The proof of conditions (i) and (iv) in Sections 1 and 4 are lengthy and complicated.

## Notation and setting

We recall some notation for the Skorokhod space. The space of sample paths of the empirical processes (0.1) is the Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . However, since  $\zeta_n(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \pm \infty$ , we rather consider the subspace

$$\mathbb{D}_{0}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} x(t) = 0 \right\}$$

as the sample space.

We equip this space with a complete separable topology brought from the usual Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1])$  by the bijection  $\Phi$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to ]0, 1[. We refer to [1] for a detailed study of the Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1])$ . We

denote by  $\Psi$  its inverse and define the following Skorokhod metrics on  $\mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = d_0(x \circ \Psi, y \circ \Psi),$$
  

$$d_{\mathbb{R}}(x, y) = d(x \circ \Psi, y \circ \Psi),$$
 for  $x, y \in \mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R}),$ 

where  $d_0$  and d are the usual Skorokhod metrics on  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1])$  given by

$$d_0(x, y) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| x(\lambda(t)) - y(t) \right| + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \lambda(t) - t \right| \right),$$
  
$$d(x, y) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| x(\lambda(t)) - y(t) \right| + \sup_{s < t, \\ s, t \in [0,1]} \log \left| \frac{\lambda t - \lambda s}{t - s} \right| \right),$$

 $x, y \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1]), \Lambda = \{\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ nondecreasing continuous bijection}\}$ . Moreover, by convention, we set

$$x \circ \Psi(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} x \circ \Psi(t) = \lim_{s \to -\infty} x(s) = 0,$$

$$x \circ \Psi(1) = \lim_{t \to 1} x \circ \Psi(t) = \lim_{s \to +\infty} x(s) = 0.$$

Since *d* is a complete metric for  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1])$ , one easily sees that  $(\mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R}), d_{\mathbb{R}})$  also is a complete separable metric space. But, since  $d_0$  and *d* define the same convergence on  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1])$ , we will henceforth work with the simpler metric  $d_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ .

The first step consists of the definition of the transformations  $G_{n,c}$  and  $G_{\infty,c}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we consider

$$G_{n,c}\zeta_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,t]}(\xi_i + c/\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(t) \right].$$
(0.3)

More precisely, since the support of  $P_n$  is measurable, we define  $G_{n,c}$  globally as follows:  $G_{n,c}x$  is given by (0.3) if  $x = \zeta_n(\omega) \in \text{Supp}(P_n)$  and equals 0 if  $x \notin \text{Supp}(P_n)$ . Note that, in fact,  $G_{n,c}$  act as translations on the underlying Gausian variables  $\xi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

The asymptotic transformation is chosen to be the mere translation

$$G_{\infty,c}x(t) = x(t) - c\Phi'(t).$$

Applying the Skorokhod representation theorem (Theorem 6.7 of [1]), we can suppose that we are in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  where the weak convergence (0.2) turns into the almost sure one:  $\zeta_n \longrightarrow W_{\Phi}^0$  a.s. in  $\mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R}), n \to +\infty$ . Moreover, since  $W_{\Phi}^0$  is a continuous process, the convergence also holds for the uniform metric (see [1], p. 124).

However, note that when we apply the Skorokhod theorem, the *n*th empirical process now is constructed on the basis of the *n*th line of a random array  $(\tilde{\xi}_{1,1}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{n,n})$ , instead of the *n*th first terms of the sequence  $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In our study, this is not a cumbersome point, since we work with the triangular arrays of order statistics.

Anyway, when we deal with probabilities involving the vectors  $(\xi_{1,1}, \ldots, \xi_{n,n})$ , the probabilities remain the same if we replace  $(\tilde{\xi}_{1,1}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{n,n})$  by  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ , since the laws of these vectors coincide. Henceforth, we forget the tildas in the array  $(\tilde{\xi}_{1,1}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{n,n})$  and denote by  $(\xi_1^n, \ldots, \xi_n^n)$  the triangular array of order statistics of  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ .

#### Localization

For a function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , we denote  $\operatorname{Argmax}(f) = \{t \in X, f(t) = \max_{s \in X} f(s)\}$ . If  $\operatorname{Argmax}(f)$  consists of a single point, we denote the latter by  $\operatorname{argmax}(f)$ .

The study of Argmax of  $G_{n,c}x$  and  $G_{\infty,c}x$  for  $x = \zeta_n$  or  $x = W_{\Phi}^0$  has some importance in the proof of Theorem 1. In order to ensure the argmax to occur at finite points, we use the following localization procedure of choosing, in Theorem A, an open ball V(x) and a single  $\varepsilon > 0$  for  $P_{\infty}$ -almost all x.

For  $P_{\infty}$ -almost all x, #Argmax (x) = 1 and  $\operatorname{argmax}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  (i.e., the maximum does not occur at  $\pm \infty$ ; see [6]). For such x, we define

$$\varepsilon := \varepsilon(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} x(t), \qquad V(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{D}_0 \mid d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(x, y) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then, for  $\omega \in \Omega(x) := (W_{\Phi}^0)^{-1} \{ V(x) \}$ , we have that  $W_{\Phi}^0(\omega) \in V(x)$  and  $d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(W_{\Phi}^0(\omega), x) < \varepsilon$ . This ensures that  $G_{\infty,c}(W_{\Phi}^0(\omega))$  takes positive values, so that its argmax does not occur at  $\pm \infty$ .

Since by the Skorokhod representation theorem  $\zeta_n \to W^0_{\Phi}$  in  $\mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R})$  almost surely as  $n \to +\infty$ , for  $n = n(\omega)$  large enough, we have that  $\zeta_n(\omega) \in V(x)$  for  $x \in \Omega(x)$  and that  $G_{\infty,c}(\zeta_n(\omega))$  takes positive values, so that its argmax does not occur at  $\pm\infty$ .

The following sections are devoted to the study of conditions (i)-(v) of Theorem A.

## **1. FIRST CONDITION**

In our setting, condition (i) of Theorem A can be rewritten in terms of the process  $\zeta_n$ :

$$\forall \mathbf{e} > 0, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(d_{0,\mathbb{R}}\left(G_{n,c}(\zeta_n), G_{\infty,c}(\zeta_n)\right) > \mathbf{e}\right) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $d_{0,\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the Skorokhod metric on  $\mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\zeta_n \circ \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \left( \Phi(\xi_i) \right) - t \right),$$
$$G_{n,c} \zeta_n \circ \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \left( \Phi(\xi_i + c/\sqrt{n}) \right) - t \right).$$

We have

$$d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(G_{n,c}(\zeta_n), G_{\infty,c}(\zeta_n)) = d_0(G_{n,c}(\zeta_n) \circ \Psi, G_{\infty,c}(\zeta_n) \circ \Psi)$$
  
$$= \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbf{1}_{[0,\lambda t]} \left( \Phi(\xi_i + c/\sqrt{n}) \right) - \lambda t - \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \left( \Phi(\xi_i) \right) + t \right) + c \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right\|_{\infty} + \|\lambda t - t\|_{\infty} \right\}.$$
 (1.2)

We estimate  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  by the appropriate  $\lambda_n$  that cancels the indicator terms in (1.3). Precisely, let  $\lambda_n$  be the piecewise linear function in  $\Lambda$  given by

$$\lambda_n \Phi(\xi_i^n) = \Phi\left(\xi_i^n + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n(0) = 0, \quad \lambda_n(1) = 1,$$

so that

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,\lambda_n t]}\left(\Phi\left(\xi_i^n + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}\left(\Phi(\xi_i^n)\right) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Moreover,  $\|\lambda_n t - t\|_{\infty}$  is obviously reached at some  $t = \Phi(\xi_i^n)$  and can be estimated by

$$\|\lambda_n t - t\|_{\infty} = \sup_{1 \le i \le n} \left|\lambda_n \Phi(\xi_i^n) - \Phi(\xi_i^n)\right|$$

$$= \sup_{1 \le i \le n} \left| \Phi(\xi_i^n + c/\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) \right|$$
$$\leq c/\sqrt{n},$$

since  $\Phi$  obviously is 1-Lipschitz. Thus, almost surely

$$\|\lambda_n t - t\|_{\infty} \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \to +\infty.$$
(1.3)

Using (1.1), (1.3), and (1.3), it now suffices to show that, for all e > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n} \left\| \lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right\|_{\infty} > \mathbf{e} \right) = 0.$$

First, note that

$$(\Phi' \circ \Psi)(t) = \frac{e^{-\Psi(t)^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \to 0 \text{ or } t \to 1$$

Fix  $[\alpha, 1 - \alpha] \subset [0, 1[$  such that, for  $t \in [0, 1[ \setminus [3\alpha, 1 - 3\alpha],$ 

$$(\Phi' \circ \Psi)(t) < e/(2c). \tag{1.4}$$

Denoting, for simplicity,

$$A_n(t) = \lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t)),$$

we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |A_n(t)| > \varepsilon\right) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in[0,\alpha]} |A_n(t)| > \varepsilon\right) \\
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in[\alpha,1-\alpha]} |A_n(t)| > \varepsilon\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in[1-\alpha,1]} |A_n(t)| > \varepsilon\right) \tag{1.5}$$

and we begin to study three terms on the right-hand side of the inequality. We need the following:

LEMMA 1. We have

$$\mathbb{P} - \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) \right| = 0.$$

Proof. The statement follows from the inequality

$$\sup_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \left| \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) \right| \leqslant \frac{1}{n} + 2 \sup_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \left| \Phi(\xi_i^n) - \frac{i}{n} \right|$$

and from the Glivenko–Cantelli theorem applied to the uniform order statistics  $\Phi(\xi_i^n)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ .

First, we deal with the first summand on the right-hand side of (1.6). For  $t \in [0, \alpha]$  and n large enough, we have

$$\sqrt{n} \sup_{t \in [0,\alpha]} \left| \lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right|$$
  
 
$$\leq \sup_{t \in [0,\alpha]} \sqrt{n} |\lambda_n t - t| + c \sup_{t \in [0,\alpha]} \left| \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\{i \mid \Phi(\xi_i^n) \text{ or } \Phi(\xi_{i-1}^n) \in [0,\alpha]\}} \sqrt{n} |\lambda_n \Phi(\xi_i^n) - \Phi(\xi_i^n)| + \varepsilon/2$$
(1.6)

$$< \sup_{\{i \mid \Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [0, 2\alpha]\}} \sqrt{n} \left| \Phi\left(\xi_i^n + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) \right| + \varepsilon/2$$
(1.7)

where, by Lemma 1, for *n* large enough, the supremum in (1.6) is bounded by that in (1.7). Now note that, for  $n > (c/\alpha)^2$  and for indices *i* such that  $\Phi(\xi_i^n) < 2\alpha$ , we have

$$\Phi(t) \leqslant \Phi\left(\xi_i^n + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leqslant \Phi(\xi_i^n) + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant 2\alpha + \alpha = 3\alpha, \quad t \in \left[\xi_i^n, \xi_i^n + c/\sqrt{n}\right].$$

Therefore, by the choice of  $\alpha$  in (1.4), we have that  $\Phi' \circ \Psi(\Phi(t)) \leq \varepsilon/(2c)$  and we can estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (1.7) by

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n} \left| \Phi\left(\xi_{i}^{n} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi(\xi_{i}^{n}) \right| &= \sqrt{n} \left| \int_{\xi_{i}^{n}}^{\xi_{i}^{n} + c/\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(t) dt \right| \\ &= \sqrt{n} \left| \int_{\xi_{i}^{n}}^{\xi_{i}^{n} + c/\sqrt{n}} \Phi' \circ \Psi(\Phi(t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \left| \int_{\xi_{i}^{n}}^{\xi_{i}^{n} + c/\sqrt{n}} \frac{\varepsilon}{2c} dt \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2. \end{split}$$
(1.8)

For n large enough, (1.7) and (1.9) imply that

$$\sqrt{n} \sup_{t \in [0,\alpha]} \left| \lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right| < e$$

Thus, the probability

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in[0,\alpha]}\left|\lambda_{n}t-t-\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi'(\Psi(t))\right|>e\right\}$$

is zero for n large enough as the probability of an empty set.

The same is true for the third summand relative to the probability of the supremum over  $\{t \in [1 - \alpha, 1]\}$ . It remains to deal with the second term relative to the supremum over  $\{t \in [\alpha, 1 - \alpha]\}$ . Roughly speaking, note that, for  $t = \Phi(\xi_i^n)$ ,

$$\lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t)) = \Phi(\xi_i^n + c/\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n) \simeq \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 \Phi''(\xi_i^n).$$

Let us do this more precisely. First, the extremum of  $\lambda_n t - t$  over  $[\Phi(\xi_i^n), \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n)]$  is achieved at  $\Phi(\xi_i^n)$  or at  $\Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n)$ . Using the previous notation  $A_n(t)$ , we rewrite

$$A_n(t) = \lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n) + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n) - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t))$$

and first take the supremum over  $[\Phi(\xi_i^n), \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n)]$ :

$$\sqrt{n} \|A_n(t)\|_{\infty,i} \leq \sqrt{n} \|\lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n)\|_{\infty,i} + c \|\Phi'(\xi_i^n) - \Phi'(\Psi(t))\|_{\infty,i}$$
(1.9)

where we denote

$$\|\cdot\|_{\infty,i} = \|\cdot\|_{\infty,[\Phi(\xi_i^n),\Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n)]} = \sup_{x \in [\Phi(\xi_i^n),\Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n)]} \{\cdot\}.$$

Then we take the supremum over the indices i such that  $\Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [\alpha, 1-\alpha]$ . We need the following:

LEMMA 2. For every finite interval [a, b], we have

$$\mathbb{P}-\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\\xi_i^n,\xi_{i+1}^n\in[a,b]}}\left|\xi_{i+1}^n-\xi_i^n\right|=0.$$

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$\sup_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ \xi_i^n, \xi_{i+1}^n \in [a,b]}} \left| \xi_{i+1}^n - \xi_i^n \right| = \sup_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ \xi_i^n, \xi_{i+1}^n \in [a,b]}} \left| \Phi^{-1} \left( \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) \right) - \Phi^{-1} \left( \Phi(\xi_i^n) \right) \right| \\
\leq w_{\Phi^{-1}, [\Phi(a), \Phi(b)]} \left( \sup_{1 \le i \le n} \left| \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) \right| \right),$$
(1.10)

where  $r \mapsto w_{f,\Delta}(r)$  denotes the modulus of uniform continuity of a function f on an interval  $\Delta$ . Since  $\Phi^{-1}$  is continuous on  $[\Phi(a), \Phi(b)]$ , (2) follows from (1.11) and Lemma 1.

The second term in (1.9) can now be handled as follows:

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \mid \Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}]} & \left\| \Phi'(\xi_i^n) - \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right\|_{\infty, i} = \sup_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \mid \Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}]} \sup_{s \in [\xi_i^n, \xi_{i+1}^n]} \left| \Phi'(\xi_i^n) - \Phi'(s) \right| \\ & \le w_{\Phi', \mathbb{R}} \left( \sup_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ i \mid \Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}]}} \left| \xi_{i+1}^n - \xi_i^n \right| \right), \end{split}$$

where the latter tends to 0 by (2), since  $\Phi'$  is uniformly continuous.

Next, we deal with the first term on the right-hand side of (1.9). The supremum is achieved

– either at  $\Phi(\xi_i^n)$  and is equal to

$$\sqrt{n} \left| \lambda_n \Phi(\xi_i^n) - \Phi(\xi_i^n) - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n) \right| = \sqrt{n} \left| \int_{\xi_i^n}^{\xi_i^n + c/\sqrt{n}} \left( \Phi'(t) - \Phi'(\xi_i^n) \right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right|;$$

since  $\Phi'$  is uniformly continuous, the latter term is arbitrarily small for large values of *n* uniformly with respect to  $1 \le i \le n$ ;

– either at  $\Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n)$  and is equal to

$$\sqrt{n} \left| \lambda_n \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) - \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n) \right|$$

J.-Ch. Breton and Y. Davydov

$$\begin{split} &= \sqrt{n} \bigg| \Phi \bigg( \xi_{i+1}^n + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \bigg) - \Phi(\xi_{i+1}^n) - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\xi_i^n) \\ &= \sqrt{n} \bigg| \int_{\xi_{i+1}^n}^{\xi_{i+1}^n + c/\sqrt{n}} \bigg( \Phi'(t) - \Phi'(\xi_i^n) \bigg) dt \bigg| \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \int_{\xi_{i+1}^n}^{\xi_{i+1}^n + c/\sqrt{n}} w_{\Phi',\mathbb{R}} \bigg( \big| \xi_{i+1}^n - \xi_i^n \big| + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \bigg) dt \\ &= c \, w_{\Phi',\mathbb{R}} \bigg( \big| \xi_{i+1}^n - \xi_i^n \big| + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \bigg). \end{split}$$

Since  $\Phi'$  is uniformly continuous, by Lemma 2 the latter expression tends to 0 as  $n \to +\infty$ , uniformly with respect to the indices  $1 \le i \le n$  for which  $\Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}]$ .

Finally, (1.9) tends to zero uniformly with respect to all *i* for which  $\Phi(\xi_i^n) \in [\alpha/2, 1 - \alpha/2]$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n} \sup_{t \in [\alpha, 1-\alpha]} \left| \lambda_n t - t - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi'(\Psi(t)) \right| \ge \mathbf{e} \right) = 0.$$

Gathering all the intermediate results from (1.6), we get that condition (i) of Theorem A is satisfied.

## 2. ITEM (ii)

In our setting, this point is straightforward. The function  $G_{\infty,c}$  is the translation  $z \mapsto z - c\Phi'$  and, thus, is continuous. Moreover, since  $\Phi'$  is bounded, we have

$$d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(G_{\infty,c}x,x) = d(G_{\infty,c}x \circ \Psi, x \circ \Psi) \leqslant \|G_{\infty,c}x \circ \Psi - x \circ \Psi\|_{\infty} = c\|\Phi' \circ \Psi\|_{\infty} \to 0$$

as  $c \to 0$ , uniformly in  $x \in \mathbb{D}_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### 3. ITEM (iii)

The purpose of this section is to prove that

$$\lim_{c \to 0} \overline{\lim}_{n \to +\infty} \| P_n G_{n,c}^{-1} - P_n \| = 0.$$

But from expressions (0.1) and (0.3) we have

$$P_n = \mathcal{L}\big(\Theta_n(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)\big), \qquad P_n G_{n,c}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}\big(\Theta_n(\xi_1+c/\sqrt{n},\ldots,\xi_n+c/\sqrt{n})\big),$$

where  $\Theta_n: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$\Theta_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbf{1}_{]-\infty,t} \right] (x_i) - \Phi(t) \Big).$$

We then derive

$$\|P_nG_{n,c}^{-1}-P_n\| \leqslant \left\|\mathcal{L}(\xi_1+c/\sqrt{n},\ldots,\xi_n+c/\sqrt{n})-\mathcal{L}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)\right\|$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n p\left( x_i - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \, \mathrm{d}x_n$$
$$\leq c$$

where the last majorization follows from the following lemma (Lemma 20.1 of [5]) applied to the function l(t) = t:

LEMMA 3. For l in the Cameron–Martin space  $H_1$ , define

$$l_n^i = \sqrt{n} \left( l\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) - l\left(\frac{i-1}{n}\right) \right), \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Then we have the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i - cl_n^i) - \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \right| dx_1 \cdots dx_n \leq c ||l'||_{L^2([0,1])}.$$

From this, condition (iii) immediately follows.

## 4. ITEM (iv)

In this section, we study condition (iv) of Theorem A. We have to prove that, for  $P_{\infty}$ -almost all x and all  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{V} \|\lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{n,z}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,z}^{-1}\| P_n(dz) = 0$$
(4.1)

where

$$\varphi_{n,z}(c) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( G_{n,c} z(t) \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \ c \in (0, \delta],$$

and V = V(x) is defined in the localization procedure.

Since  $P_n \Rightarrow P_\infty$ , we obtain (4.1) if we show that, for  $P_\infty$ -almost all z, the convergence  $z_n \to z$  implies

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{n,z_n}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,z_n}^{-1}\| = 0.$$
(4.2)

LEMMA 4. Let  $h_n$  and h be measurable mappings of X into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $P_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , be probability measures on X. Suppose that  $P_n \Rightarrow P_\infty$  and  $h_n(x) \to h(x)$  for  $P_\infty$ -almost all x. Then

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X h_n \, \mathrm{d}P_n = \int_X h \, \mathrm{d}P_\infty.$$

To show the convergence in variation (4.2), we shall use the following result of Davydov [4] for one-dimensional image measures:

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $f_n: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , be a sequence of absolutely continuous functions such that

$$- f_n(0) \to f_\infty(0),$$
  

$$- f'_n \to f'_\infty \text{ in } L^1([0, 1]),$$
  

$$- f'_\infty \neq 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Then  $\lambda_{[0,1]} f_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{var} \lambda_{[0,1]} f_{\infty}^{-1}$ .

Unfortunately, Proposition 1 cannot be directly applied in our setting, since (4.2) is concerned with the asymptotic distance in variation of two sequences of measures, whereas Proposition 1 deals with a single converging sequence. Hence, we introduce an auxiliary image measure  $\lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1}$  and split the study of (4.2) into two parts

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \left\| \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1} \right\| = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \left\| \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1} \right\| = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

Proposition 1 (or, at least, Proposition 2) is applicable for both. In this section,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the variation norm on  $\Omega(x)$ , the sub-probability space related to V(x) in the localization procedure.

## 4.1. Derivatives

In order to apply Proposition 1 (or Proposition 2), the first step consists in calculating the derivatives of the functions  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$ ,  $\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}$ , and  $\varphi_{\infty,W_0^0}$ . This is the purpose of this section.

4.1.1. Derivative of  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$ . First,  $\zeta_n$  obviously reaches its maximum at some a.s. unique point  $\xi_i$ . Similarly, for almost every c,  $G_{n,c}\zeta_n$  reaches its maximum once at another point  $\xi_i + c/\sqrt{n}$  (with a priori different index j).

We now calculate the derivative of  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  at almost all *c* for which the uniqueness at Argmax holds. Let  $i_0$  be the index (almost surely well defined) realizing the argmax, that is,

$$\operatorname{argmax} G_{n,c}\zeta_n = \xi_{i_0}^n + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

For d near enough to c,  $G_{n,d}\zeta_n$  reaches its maximum at  $\xi_{i_0}^n + d/\sqrt{n}$  with the same index  $i_0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{n,\zeta_{n}}'(c) &= \lim_{d \to c} \frac{\varphi_{n,\zeta_{n}}(d) - \varphi_{n,\zeta_{n}}(c)}{d - c} \\ &= -\lim_{d \to c} \sqrt{n} \frac{\Phi(\xi_{i_{0}}^{n} + d/\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(\xi_{i_{0}}^{n} + c/\sqrt{n})}{d - c} \\ &= -\Phi'(\xi_{i_{0}}^{n} + c/\sqrt{n}) \\ &= -\Phi'(\operatorname{argmax}(G_{n,c}\zeta_{n})). \end{aligned}$$
(4.5)

4.1.2. Derivative of  $\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}$ . In order to calculate the derivative of  $\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}$ , we rewrite

$$\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \zeta_n(t) - c \Phi'(t) \right) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{]-\infty,t} \right] (\xi_i^n) - \Phi(t) \right\} - c \Phi'(t) \right).$$

The function  $G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n = \zeta_n - c\Phi'$  reaches its maximum almost surely once at a finite point. Indeed, since  $\omega \in \Omega(x)$ , the supremum must occur at a finite point. Since, for  $t \ge \sqrt{n/c}$ ,  $G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n$  is an increasing function with zero limit at  $+\infty$ , the maximum must occur at some  $t \le \sqrt{n/c}$ . However, for all  $t \le \sqrt{n/c}$ ,  $G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n$  is obtained by adding positive jumps at the points  $\xi_i$  to a decreasing function. Therefore, the local maxima occur at the points  $\xi_i$ . Next, it is easy to see that, for almost all c, the local maxima do not coincide and that the global maximum is thus unique. Therefore, argmax  $(G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n)$  is well defined for almost all c.

For such  $c_0$ , denote  $t_0 = \xi_{i_0}^n = \operatorname{argmax} (G_{\infty,c_0}\zeta_n)$ . Then we have

$$\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c_0) = G_{\infty,c_0}\zeta_n(t_0) = \frac{i_0}{\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{n}\Phi(t_0) - c_0\Phi'(t_0).$$

LEMMA 5. For any fixed n, let  $c_p \to c_0$  and  $t_p \in \operatorname{Argmax}(G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n)$ . Then  $t_p \to t_0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $t_p$  belongs to the finite set  $\{\xi_1^n, \ldots, \xi_n^n\}$ , from any subsequence  $(t_{p'})_{p'}$ , we can extract another subsequence  $(t_{p''})_{p''}$  converging to some  $t_{\infty}$ . To simplify the notation, we always write  $t_p$  instead of  $t_{p''}$ . We first show that  $t_{\infty} = t_0$ .

Note that  $(\zeta_n(t_p))_p$  must converge to  $\zeta_n(t_\infty)$ : this is obvious if  $\zeta_n$  is continuous at  $t_\infty$  or, by the rightcontinuity, if  $(t_p)_p$  decreases to  $t_\infty$ . Since we can always suppose that  $t_p \nearrow t_\infty$  or  $t_p \searrow t_\infty$  (by passing, if necessary, to a subsubsequence), it remains to consider the case where  $t_p$  increasingly converges to  $t_\infty$ . In this case, we have

$$\zeta_n(t_p) \to \zeta_n(t_\infty^-) = \zeta_n(t_\infty) - 1/\sqrt{n}$$
 as  $p \to +\infty$ 

and, for *p* large enough,

$$\zeta_n(t_p) - c_p \Phi'(t_p) < \zeta_n(t_\infty) - c_p \Phi'(t_\infty).$$

This is a contradiction to  $t_p \in \operatorname{argmax}(G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n)$ . Thus,  $(\zeta_n(t_p))_p$  must converge to  $\zeta_n(t_\infty)$ . Now, since

$$G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n(t_p) = \zeta_n(t_p) - c_p \Phi'(t_p) \ge \zeta_n(t) - c_p \Phi'(t_p)$$

for all t, taking the limit as  $p \to \infty$  yields, for all t,

$$\zeta_n(t_\infty) - c\Phi'(t_\infty) \ge \zeta_n(t) - c\Phi'(t),$$

that is,  $t_{\infty} \in \operatorname{argmax} \{G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n\} = \{t_0\}$ . This justifies the convergence of the whole sequence  $(t_p)_p$  to  $t_0$ .

Now

$$\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c_p) = G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n(t_p) \ge G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n(t_0)$$

implies

$$\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c_p) - \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) \ge G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n(t_0) - G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n(t_0) = (c - c_p)\Phi'(t_0).$$

Similarly,  $\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) \ge G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n(t_p)$  implies

$$\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c_p) - \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) \leqslant G_{\infty,c_p}\zeta_n(t_p) - G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n(t_p) = (c - c_p)\Phi'(t_p).$$

From both inequalities we get

$$(c-c_p)\Phi'(t_0) \leqslant \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c_p) - \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) \leqslant (c-c_p)\Phi'(t_p).$$

Finally, for almost all *c*, we have

$$\varphi'_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) = -\Phi'(t_0) = -\Phi'\left(\operatorname{argmax}\left(G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n\right)\right).$$
(4.6)

4.1.3. Derivative of  $\varphi_{\infty, W_{\Phi}^0}$ . In this section, the first step consists in proving that  $\#\text{Argmax} \{G_{\infty, c} W_{\Phi}^0\} = 1$  for almost all *c*. Calculations similar to those for  $\varphi_{\infty, \zeta_n}$  allow us to to derive the expression of the derivative given in (4.7).

For c = 0,  $G_{\infty,0}W_{\Phi}^0 = W_{\Phi}^0$  has an almost surely unique argmax (see [6]).

Since  $\Phi' \in H_0^1$  (the subspace of Cameron–Martin space of functions vanishing at infinity), the function  $\Phi$  is an admissible direction for  $W_{\Phi}^0$ , and we have  $\mathcal{L}(W_{\Phi}^0 - c\Phi') \ll \mathcal{L}(W_{\Phi}^0)$ . Since

$$1 = \mathbb{P}(\#\operatorname{Argmax}(W_{\Phi}^{0}) = 1) = \mathbb{P}_{W_{\Phi}^{0}}(x \mid \#\operatorname{Argmax}(x) = 1)$$

and  $\{x \mid \# \text{Argmax}(x) = 1\}$  is measurable in the space  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  of real continuous functions, we also have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\#\operatorname{Argmax}(W_{\Phi}^{0} - c\Phi') = 1) = \mathbb{P}_{W_{\Phi}^{0} - c\Phi'}(x \mid \#\operatorname{Argmax}(x) = 1) = 1$$

that is,  $W_{\Phi}^0 - c \Phi'$  has a unique argmax,

Since

$$(\omega, c) \mapsto G_{\infty,c} W^0_{\Phi}(\omega) = W^0_{\Phi}(\omega) - c \Phi'$$

is bimeasurable, using the Fubini Theorem, we derive that, almost surely,

$$\#\operatorname{argmax}\left\{G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\right\} = 1$$

for almost all  $c \in [0, \delta]$ .

To adopt the calculations for  $\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}$  to the function  $\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}$ , we need to revise Lemma 5. Let  $t_0 = \operatorname{argmax}(G_{\infty,c_0}W_{\Phi}^0)$ .

LEMMA 6. Let 
$$c_p \to c_0$$
 and  $t_p \in \operatorname{Argmax}(G_{\infty,c_p}W_{\Phi}^0)$ . Then  $t_p \to t_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I(t_0) = [t_0 - 1, t_0 + 1]$  be a nighborhood of  $t_0$ . One easily sees that, for c sufficiently near to  $c_0$ ,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left( W^0_{\Phi}(t) - c\Phi'(t) \right) = \sup_{t\in I(t_0)} \left( W^0_{\Phi}(t) - c\Phi'(t) \right).$$

For p large enough, we thus have  $t_p \in I(t_0)$  and from any subsequence  $(t_{p'})$  we can extract another subsequence  $(t_{p''})$  which converges to  $t_{\infty}$ . We finish the proof as in Lemma 5.

Finally, we finish the calculations as those for  $\varphi'_{\infty,\zeta_n}$  and obtain that, for almost all c,

$$\varphi'_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^{0}}(c) = -\Phi'\left(\operatorname{argmax}\left(G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\right)\right).$$
(4.7)

### 4.2. Convergence of image measures in (4.3) and (4.4)

4.2.1. The case of  $\lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}^{-1}$ . In this section, we apply Proposition 1 to derive (4.3). Recall that we work on a probability space given by the Skorokhod representation theorem on which the weak convergence (0.2) is strengthened to the  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost sure

$$\|\zeta_n - W^0_{\Phi}\|_{\infty,\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \to +\infty.$$
(4.8)

Since the functions

$$\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n(t) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\zeta_n(t) - c\Phi'(t)\right),$$

$$\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^{0}}(c) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^{0}(t) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( W_{\Phi}^{0}(t) - c\Phi'(t) \right),$$

obviously are 1-Lipschitzian, they are absolutely continuous, with the derivatives calculated in (4.6) and (4.7).

We can now apply Proposition 1. Indeed, since, first,  $\zeta_n \to W^0_{\Phi}$  uniformly, we have that  $\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) \to \varphi_{\infty,W^0_{\Phi}}(c)$  for all c. Second, since

$$\varphi'_{\infty,\zeta_n}(c) = -\Phi' \big( \operatorname{argmax} \left( G_{\infty,c} \zeta_n \right) \big),$$
  
$$\varphi'_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}(c) = -\Phi' \big( \operatorname{argmax} \left( G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^0 \right) \big),$$

and the function  $\Phi'$  is continuous and bounded, it suffices to prove that

$$\operatorname{argmax} (G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n) \longrightarrow \operatorname{argmax} (G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0), \quad n \to +\infty$$

Now we need the following elementary result:

LEMMA 7. Let  $f_n$  and f be real functions such that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly and  $\# \operatorname{argmax} \{f\} = 1$ . Then, for any sequence  $(t_n)_n$  with  $t_n \in \operatorname{argmax} \{f_n\}$ , we have

$$t_n \to \operatorname{argmax} f, \quad n \to +\infty.$$

Moreover, on the set of functions reaching their maxima only once, argmax is a continuous function.

Since the following argmax's are unique, applying Lemma 7, we get that

$$\operatorname{argmax} \{\zeta_n - c\Phi'\} \longrightarrow \operatorname{argmax} \{W^0_{\Phi} - c\Phi'\}, \quad n \to +\infty$$

for almost all c. Using the dominated convergence theorem, we easily get the convergence of the derivatives (4.6) to (4.7) in  $L^1([0, \delta])$ .

Finally,

$$\varphi'_{\infty,W^0_{\Phi}}(c) = -\Phi'(\operatorname{argmax} G_{\infty,c} W^0_{\Phi}) \neq 0$$
 a.e.,

since  $\operatorname{argmax} G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^0$  is finite and  $\Phi'$  vanishes only at  $\pm \infty$  for  $\omega \in \Omega(x)$ .

We can thus apply Proposition 1 and derive (4.3): almost surely on  $\Omega(x)$ ,

$$\lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}^{-1} \xrightarrow{var} \lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}}^{-1}, \quad n \to +\infty.$$

$$\tag{4.9}$$

4.2.2. The case of  $\lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1}$ . Let us now prove (4.4), that is,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1}\| = 0.$$

We again use the convergence (4.8). The functions  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  and  $\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}$  are absolutely continuous with derivatives given by (4.6) and (4.7), respectively. The case of  $\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}$  has been derived in Section 4.2.1. Next, note that  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  can be specified on the subsets where argmax  $G_{n,c\zeta_n}$  is identified. More precisely,

$$\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{n} \Phi(\xi_i^n + c/\sqrt{n}) \right] \mathbf{1}_{A_i}(c), \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$A_i := \left\{ c \in [0, \delta] \mid \operatorname{argmax} G_{n, c} \zeta_n = \xi_i^n + c / \sqrt{n} \right\}$$

The set  $A_i$  is a finite union of open intervals

$$A_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_i} I_{i,j}$$

with the union of their closures equal to  $[0, \delta]$ :

$$A_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_i} I_{i,j}, \qquad [0,1] = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_i} \bar{I}_{i,j}.$$

The restrictions of  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  to those intervals are absolutely continuous (see expression (4.10). Since the values of  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  coincide on the common ends of any two adjacent intervals  $I_{i,j}$ , the absolute continuity of  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  follows from the following elementary lemma:

LEMMA 8. Let  $a_1 < \cdots < a_p$ , and let  $f: [a_1, a_p] \to \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f|_{[a_i, a_{i+1}]} = f_i$ , where every  $f_i$  is an absolutely continuous function on  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$  with derivative  $g_i$  and  $f_i(a_{i+1}) = f_{i+1}(a_{i+1})$ . Then f is absolutely continuous on  $[a_1, a_p]$  and

$$f(x) = f(a_1) + \int_{a_1}^{x} g(t) dt$$

with derivative

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_i(x) \mathbf{1}_{[a_i, a_{i+1}]}(x).$$

The last hypothesis of Proposition 1 cannot be verified (at least, easily) for the function  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  of (4.4). Therefore, we use instead the following version of Proposition 1 with the last hypothesis satisfied for  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$ . The proof of this proposition can be found in [3], p. 44–45.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let, for*  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ *,* 

$$f_n: \left(\Omega \times [0, \delta], \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}([0, \delta]), \mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Omega^* \in \mathcal{F}, \ \Omega^* \subset \Omega$$

be such that

1.  $\forall \omega \in \Omega^*, \exists N_1(\omega), \forall n \ge N_1(\omega), f_n(\omega, \cdot) \text{ is absolutely continuous;}$ 2.  $f_n(\omega, 0) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} f_{\infty}(\omega, 0) \text{ on } \Omega^*;$ 3.  $f_n(\omega, \delta) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} f_{\infty}(\omega, \delta) \text{ on } \Omega^*;$ 4.  $\forall \omega \in \Omega^*, \exists N_4(\omega), \forall n \ge N_4(\omega), \frac{\partial}{\partial c} f_n(\omega, c) > 0 \ \lambda \text{-a.e. for } c \in (0, \delta);$ 5.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial c} f_n(\omega, c) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P} \otimes \overline{\lambda}} \frac{\partial}{\partial c} f_{\infty}(\omega, c) \text{ on } \Omega^*.$ 

$$\left\|\lambda_{[0,\delta]}f_n(\omega,\cdot)^{-1}-\lambda_{[0,\delta]}f_\infty(\omega,\cdot)^{-1}\right\| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

on  $\Omega^*$ .

We apply this proposition to the functions  $f_n = \varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$  and  $f_\infty = \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}$  with  $\Omega^* = \Omega(x)$ . First note that their absolute continuity (necessary for condition 1) is already known.

Next, for c = 0, we have

$$\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}(0) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \zeta_n(t) \text{ and } \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}(0) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} W_{\Phi}^0(t).$$

Since  $\zeta_n \to W^0_{\Phi}$  uniformly, we still have  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}(0) \longrightarrow \varphi_{\infty,W^0_{\Phi}}(0)$ . For condition 3, we use condition (i) of Theorem A already justified in Section 1:

$$\mathbb{P}\text{-}\lim_{n \to +\infty} d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(G_{n,c}\zeta_n, G_{\infty,c}\zeta_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } c \in [0,\varepsilon].$$

Together with the uniform convergence of  $\zeta_n$  to  $W^0_{\Phi}$ , we derive

$$\mathbb{P}-\lim_{n \to +\infty} d_{0,\mathbb{R}} \left( G_{n,c} \zeta_n, G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^0 \right) = 0.$$
(4.11)

We obtain the same convergence for the uniform norm (instead of  $d_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ ) as follows. First, recall that by the definition of the Skorokhod metric  $d_{0,\mathbb{R}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  we have

$$d_{0,\mathbb{R}}(G_{n,c}\zeta_n,G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0)=d_0(G_{n,c}\zeta_n\circ\Psi,G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0\circ\Psi).$$

Note that

$$G_{\infty,c}W^0_{\Phi}\circ\Psi=W^0_U-c\Phi'\circ\Psi,$$

where  $W_U^0$  is a standard Brownian bridge (on [0, 1]) and that  $\Phi' \circ \Psi$  can be extended to a continuous function on [0, 1] vanishing at 0 and 1. Thus,  $G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0 \circ \Psi$  is a uniformly continuous function. By the definition of  $d_0$ , we can choose  $\lambda_n \in \Lambda([0, 1])$  such that

$$\|G_{n,c}\zeta_{n}\circ\Psi - G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\circ\Psi\circ\lambda_{n}\|_{\infty,[0,1]} + \|\lambda_{n} - id\|_{\infty,[0,1]}$$

$$\leq 2d_{0}(G_{n,c}\zeta_{n}\circ\Psi, G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\circ\Psi).$$

$$(4.12)$$

From this we derive that, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| G_{n,c}\zeta_{n} \circ \Psi(t) - G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0} \circ \Psi(t) \right| &\leq \left| G_{n,c}\zeta_{n} \circ \Psi(t) - G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0} \circ \Psi(\lambda_{n}t) \right| \\ &+ \left| G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0} \circ \Psi(\lambda_{n}t) - G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0} \circ \Psi(t) \right| \\ &\leq 2d_{n} + w_{G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0} \circ \Psi,\mathbb{R}} \left( |\lambda_{n}t - t| \right) \end{aligned}$$

where, for simplicity, we denoted

$$d_n = d_0 \big( G_{n,c} \zeta_n \circ \Psi, G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^0 \circ \Psi \big).$$

By (4.13) this gives

$$\left\|G_{n,c}\zeta_{n}\circ\Psi-G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\circ\Psi\right\|_{\infty,[0,1]}\leqslant 2d_{n}+w_{G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\circ\Psi,\mathbb{R}}(2d_{n}).$$
(4.13)

Since  $G_{\infty,c}W^0_{\Phi} \circ \Psi$  is uniformly continuous, for all e > 0, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{w_{G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^{0}\circ\Psi,\mathbb{R}}(2d_{n})>\mathsf{e}\right\} \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left\{d_{n}>\alpha/2\right\}.$$

The convergence of  $w_{G_{\infty,c}W^0_{\Phi}\circ\Psi,\mathbb{R}}(2d_n)$  in probability now follows from (4.11). Finally, from (4.11) and (4.13) we deduce that

$$\left\|G_{n,c}\zeta_n - G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0\right\|_{\infty,\mathbb{R}} = \left\|G_{n,c}\zeta_n \circ \Psi - G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0 \circ \Psi\right\|_{\infty,[0,1]} \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

$$(4.14)$$

as  $n \to +\infty$ , and condition 3 now easily follows for the functions  $\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}$ . The condition 4 follows from the expression (4.6) of  $\varphi'_{n,\zeta_n}$ . Indeed, (4.6) ensures the a.e. nondegeneracy of the derivatives for  $\omega \in \Omega(x)$ .

Next, to see the convergence of the derivatives, we first establish the convergence

$$\operatorname{argmax}(G_{n,c}\zeta_n) \longrightarrow \operatorname{argmax}(G_{\infty,c}W^0_{\Phi}), \quad n \to +\infty$$

Since both

$$G_{\infty,c}W^0_{\Phi}(t) = W^0_{\Phi}(t) - c\Phi'(t)$$

and

$$G_{n,c}\zeta_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mathbf{1}_{]-\infty,t} \right] (\xi_i + c/\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(t) \right]$$

are bimeasurable as functions of  $(\omega, c)$ , the Fubini theorem, together with (4.14), yields

$$(\lambda \otimes \mathbb{P}) \left\{ \left\| G_{n,c} \zeta_n - G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^0 \right\|_{\infty} \ge \mathbf{e} \right\} \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \to +\infty.$$

LEMMA 9. Let  $X_n$  and X be B-valued random variables, where B is a Polish space. If  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X$  in B and  $f: B \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $f(X_n) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} f(X)$ .

From Lemmas 7 and 9, we derive that

$$\operatorname{argmax} G_{n,c} \zeta_n \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes \mathbb{P}} \operatorname{argmax} G_{\infty,c} W_{\Phi}^0$$

as  $n \to +\infty$ . Since  $\Phi'$  is continuous, we also have

$$\Phi'(\operatorname{argmax}(G_{n,c}\zeta_n)) \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes \mathbb{P}} \Phi'(\operatorname{argmax}(G_{\infty,c}W_{\Phi}^0)), \quad n \to +\infty,$$

that is,  $\varphi'_{n,\zeta_n} \longrightarrow \varphi'_{n,W^0_{\Phi}}$  in measure  $\lambda \otimes \mathbb{P}$ .

Finally, we can apply Proposition 2 to get a weakened version of (4.4):

$$\left\|\lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1} - \lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1}\right\| \stackrel{\mathbb{P}_{\Omega(x)}}{\longrightarrow} 0, \quad n \to +\infty,$$

$$(4.15)$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_{\Omega(x)}$  is the restriction of  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\Omega(x)$ .

#### 4.3. Conclusion on condition (iv)

Gathering convergences (4.9) and (4.15), we prove condition (iv) of Theorem A. By the dominated convergence, from (4.9) one easily derives that

$$E\Big[\big\|\lambda\varphi_{\infty,\zeta_n}^{-1}-\lambda\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1}\big\|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)}\Big]\to 0, \quad n\to+\infty.$$

Next, since

$$E\Big[\big\|\lambda\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1}-\lambda\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1}\big\|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)}\Big] \leqslant e+2\mathbb{P}\Big\{\omega\in\Omega(x)\mid \big\|\lambda\varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1}-\lambda\varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1}\big\|>e\Big\},\$$

from (4.15) we derive that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n} E\left[\left\|\lambda \varphi_{n,\zeta_{n}}^{-1} - \lambda \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^{0}}^{-1}\right\| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)}\right] \leqslant \mathbf{e}.$$

Then, letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} E \Big[ \big\| \lambda \varphi_{n,\zeta_n}^{-1} - \lambda \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}^0}^{-1} \big\| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)} \Big] = 0.$$

Finally,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n} E\Big[ \|\lambda \varphi_{n,\zeta_{n}}^{-1} - \lambda \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_{n}}^{-1} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)} \Big] \leq \overline{\lim}_{n} E\Big[ \|\lambda \varphi_{n,\zeta_{n}}^{-1} - \lambda \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_{n}}^{-1} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)} \Big] + \overline{\lim}_{n} E\Big[ \|\lambda \varphi_{\infty,\zeta_{n}}^{-1} - \lambda \varphi_{\infty,W_{\Phi}}^{-1} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)} \Big] = 0.$$

Condition (iv) of Theorem A is finally fulfilled.

## 5. CONDITION (v) OF THEOREM A

The purpose of this section is to prove the continuity of the mapping

$$z \in V(x) \longmapsto \lambda_{[0,\delta]} \varphi_{\infty,z}^{-1}$$

 $P_{\infty}$ -almost everywhere. Letting  $z_n \rightarrow z$ , we will apply once more Proposition 1 to

$$\varphi_{\infty,z_n}(c) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( z_n(t) - c \Phi'(t) \right), \qquad \varphi_{\infty,z}(c) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( z(t) - c \Phi'(t) \right).$$

First of all, in order to calculate, as in Section 4.1.3, the derivatives

$$\varphi'_{\infty,z_n}(c) = -\Phi'\left(\operatorname{argmax}\left(z_n - c\Phi'\right)\right), \qquad \varphi'_{\infty,z}(c) = -\Phi'\left(\operatorname{argmax}\left(z - c\Phi'\right)\right) \tag{5.1}$$

and to be able to apply either Proposition 1 or Proposition 2 for deriving the convergence in variation of related image measures, we have to choose  $z_n$  and z such that, for almost all c,

$$\#\operatorname{argmax} (z_n - c\Phi') = \#\operatorname{argmax} (z - c\Phi') = 1.$$

Here the condition  $\#\text{Argmax}(z_n) = 1$  cannot be directly verified. However, we can avoid this problem by replacing condition (v) of Theorem A by the following weaker one:

(v') the application  $z \in \mathcal{X}_0 \mapsto \lambda \varphi_{\infty,z}^{-1}$  is continuous for some measurable  $\mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}$  such that  $P_n(\mathcal{X}_0) = P_\infty(\mathcal{X}_0) = 1$ .

Indeed, a carefull reading of the proof of Theorem A allows one to replace condition (v) in Theorem A by (v').

Here we take  $\mathcal{X}_0$  to be the subset of functions from  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$  that reach their supremum only once. We have  $P_n(\mathcal{X}_0) = P_\infty(\mathcal{X}_0) = 1$  and we now prove (v') applying Proposition 1:

- Since  $z_n \to z$  in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , the first condition is easily satisfied.
- In order to obtain the convergence of the derivatives (5.1) in  $L^1([0, \delta])$ , it suffices to prove that, for c such that  $\# \operatorname{argmax} \{z c\Phi'\} = 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{argmax} \{z_n - c\Phi'\} \to \operatorname{argmax} (z - c\Phi'), \quad n \to +\infty.$$

However, since  $P_{\infty}$ -almost all z are continuous and since  $z_n$  converge to z in  $\mathbb{D}$ , the convergence  $z_n \rightarrow z$  also holds uniformly. Since the argmax of z is unique, the convergence of the argmax's follows from Lemma 7.

 $-\varphi'_{\infty,z}(c)$  is given by (5.1) and is thus nonzero, since  $\Phi'$  vanishes only at  $\pm \infty$ , whereas  $\arg\max(z - c\Phi')$  is necessarily finite for  $z \in V(x)$ .

Finally, Proposition 1 can be applied, yielding

$$\lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,z_n}^{-1} \xrightarrow{var} \lambda_{[0,\delta]}\varphi_{\infty,z}^{-1}$$

whenever  $z_n \rightarrow z$  in  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . Condition (v') is thus satisfied.

All five conditons of Theorem A are proved, and this proves Theorem 1.

# REFERENCES

- 1. P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, 2 ed., Wiley (1968).
- 2. J.-C. Breton and Y. A. Davydov, Principe local d'invariance pour des variables aléatoires i.i.d., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série 1, 333, 673–676 (2001).
- 3. J.-C. Breton and Y. A. Davydov, Principe local d'invariance pour des variables aléatoires i.i.d., Pub. IRMA, 55(II) (2001).
- 4. Y. A. Davydov, On the absolute continuity of images of measures, J. Soviet. Math., 36(4), 468–473 (1987).
- 5. Y. A. Davydov, M. A. Lifshits, and N. V. Smorodina, *Local Properties of Distributions of Stochastic Functionals*, American Mathematical Society (1998).
- 6. B. S. Tsirel'son, The density of the maximum of a Gaussian process, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 20, 847–856 (1975).

(Translated by V. Mackevičius)