

①

This talk is about symplectic mappings and their integrability in the Liouville sense

One ~~gives~~ has a ~~symplectic variety~~ ~~complex algebraic smooth variety~~ ~~dim 2n~~
~~with a closed non degenerate 2-form~~
 (V, ω)

and $f: V \dashrightarrow V$ birational.

we want to find Rational first integrals $H: V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 with $H \circ f = H$.

Following Morales-Ramis theorems, we want to find ~~a~~ obstruction to the existence of first integrals by using The Galois groups of the linearization of f along some particular solution.

- * particular solutions will be an invariant projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset V$ in V such that $f|_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is not periodic
- * linearization of f along $\mathbb{P}^1$ will be a difference system.

because of this it is a application of previous talk.
 and it is a joint work with Julien Raques -

Discrete dynamical system (algebraic).

let V be an algebraic complex variety (smooth).
as we will use only rational functions, rational transformation ---, smoothness will be assumed.

and $f: V \dashrightarrow V$ a rational map.
we will assume f is birational but dominant might be enough.

Basically We want to understand the dynamic of f . This is a huge problem.
There is a ~~extensive~~ lot of works and results ~~using~~ complex geometry and complex analysis on this problem ~~and~~ Scarfed.
~~The point of view really~~

to understand the dynamics is to understand the orbits of point $p \in V$
 $\rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{f} P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_2 \dots$) equation
 $P_i \xrightarrow{f} P_{i+1}$ and if the map is not birational. ~~$P_n \xrightarrow{f} P_{n+1}$~~
This is de really discrete point of view.

~~Discrete~~ Equation
 $P_{n+1} = f(P_n)$ i.e. ^{discrete} Solutions are mps $\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\Delta} V$
such that $s(n+1) = f \circ s$.

- for more than 1 ban f you get more than 1 index
and your equation is a lattice equation.

Our point of view in this talk is to look at "continuous" solution.

we will only look at the first two types.
and $q \neq 1$

$\left[\begin{array}{l} s(z+1) = f(s) \quad s: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow V \text{ for the additive time mathematician.} \\ s(qz) = f(s) \quad \# \text{ for the multiplicative } \text{---} \\ s(ez) = f(s) \quad s: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V \text{ for the elliptic mathematician.} \end{array} \right.$

③

These are homogeneous non linear difference equations.
as it was presented in numbers of talk before this one.

// a solution is an invariant Riemann surface V
~~such that f/g is not periodic.~~

For this ~~case~~ the curve will be algebraic ^{& rational} and f/g not periodic.
i.e. $\mathbb{C}$
the hyperalgebraic hypothesis

Isotopic Integrability of a symplectic map. (non commutative, Tischent-Formen).

A map is called integrable when there is enough invariants."

→ symplectic V and symplectic gradient.

IF There ~~are~~ a close non degenerate 2 form on V^{2n} and ω
 $H_1, \dots, H_{n+l}$ $n+l$ rational functions on V (Folj)
 $(l \geq 0)$ such that $f^* \omega = \omega$ (symplectic)
 and $f^* H_i (= H_i \circ f) = H_i$

and H_i first integrals.
 $\bigcap dH_i$ is generated by $X_{H_i} - X_{H_i}^{n.e.}$ over $\mathbb{C}[V]$.
 The f is said to be integrable in the H_i over $\mathbb{C}[V]$.

• The last condition says that the level set $H_i = c_i$ are
 in isotopic sub manifold.

• when $l=0$ it is the usual Liouville integrability.

Some remarks on this definition.

• Tisch form conjectured that it is equivalent to usual Liouville.
 → there is proofs in some cases by Sadleir or Betsimov.

• ~~this in the continuous cases for a red field~~

Now we want a direct ^{analogue} version of Poyblyshai - Maciejewski's
 version of Morait-Poincaré Theorem.

To state this statement and for peoples who didn't absent
 in previous talk I will ~~give~~ ^{recall} some definitions -

Some Definition

$f: V^{2n} \rightarrow V^{2n}$ and $Tf: TV \rightarrow TV$
on tangent bundle.

let $C: \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow V$ be a ϕ adapted curve.

② then $Tf|_C$ is f invariant $\Rightarrow T_V|_C$ is Tf invariant.

and $Tf|_C$ can be restriction i^*TV a rank $2n$ vector bundle on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and it is fiberwise linear. so using a rational "gauge transform" this bundle is trivial.

* and $Tf|_C$ is $(z, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}) \rightarrow (\phi z, A(x) \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix})$.

where ϕ is $z \rightarrow z+1$ and $A(x) \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$.
or $z \rightarrow qz$.

This is the discrete variational invariant equation.

④ and H such a rational function such that $H \circ f = H$ and $H(p)$ defined.

if $p \in V$ is initial point of H at p : H_p^0 is the first non zero homogeneous polynomial in its Taylor series as a function on $T_p V$

if $H = \frac{F}{G}$ then we define H_p^0 by $\frac{F_p^0}{G_p^0}$.

③ Definition of the Galois group of the Δ difference system.

(5)

Thm.

IF $g: V \rightarrow V$ is integrable then its variational Equation.
has a almost commutative Galois Group.

||

means that its Lie algebra is commutative.

P

The first proof follows M-R theorem with some

with 2 changes

1st - change Lieville to non comm.
but here most of the work was done
by Andrei & Raica.

2nd - the Picard Vessiot Ring is not
a domain, and we have to be
careful.

Step 1. IF H is a PI of g the H_{10}^0 is a 1st I of $TS|_i$

expt 2 IF $H_i^0(z, Y)$ is a ^{notional} integral of $TS|_i$

then $H_i^0(z, F \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix})$ with F a fundamental matrix of $TS|_i$
and $\begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}$ are constants.

is Galois invariant for the usual action on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

⚠ Because PV extension is not a domain this function is not
always define.

lemme (S. and P). There is a $g \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the PV ring of $TS|_{ig}$ is
a domain.

Italgance groupoid Approach.

$$f: V^{\otimes n} \dashrightarrow V^{\otimes n}$$

Let $R_q V$ be the bundle of order q frame on V .

$$= J_q^*(\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V) \quad \xrightarrow{f^*}$$

$$R_0 V = V \quad \text{just the target}$$

$$R_1 V = \text{open set of } \mathbb{R}^n$$

$TV \times_V TV \times_V \dots \times_V TV$ def basis of $T_p V$ for all $p \in V$.

R_q order q frames are frame a point $p \in V$ a frame of $T_p V$
are one way to move this frame around

but the important formula is $J_q^*(\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V)$

- order q diffeomorphisms act on $J_q^*(\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n)$ acts by composition.

and R_q is a G_q -principal bundle. G_q

- order q diffeomorphisms of V $J_q^*(V \rightarrow V)$ acts by composition

on R_q

Def propagation

Remark that f itself action R_q

let call $R_q f: R_q V \dashrightarrow R_q V$ this action.

$$J_q(z) \mapsto J_q(f \circ z).$$

→ Def field.

Def A first integral of $R_q f$ is called a differential invariant of f .

Prop Tensor invariants are particular order q diff invariant.

- The meigrange groupoid of f is the subvariety of $J_0^*(V \rightarrow V)$
 $\Rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} J_q^*(V \rightarrow V)$ given by the equation.

$$H \circ f_q(s) = H \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and all } H \text{ order } q\text{-invariant of } f.$$

This definition is equivalent to original definition from B. Malgrange when V is algebraic.

- Its (sheaf of) differential algebra is the sheaf of analytic vector fields X on transcendental open set of V such that

$$(R_q X) \cdot H = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \text{ and order } q\text{-invariant of } f.$$

Then ~~if~~ IF f is Mich-Form Integrable then its Malgrange groupoid is infinitesimally commutative.
 $\leadsto$ more or less already done by J-P RATTIS.

How does it imply commutativity for the Galois group of the linearized?

The ~~total~~ linearization of f is $R_1 f: R_1 V \rightarrow R_1 V$.

If $c: P' \rightarrow V$ is an f -invariant curve then one can restrict $R_1 f$ above $c(P')$.

Let B_q be the pull back $c^* R_q V$ then B_q is the frame bundle or $c^* TV$.

$R_1 f|_{B_1}$ is the variation

equation on the fundamental forms. $(z, (Y_i^j)) \mapsto (\phi(z, A(s)), Y)$
 it can be written

(9)

now fix a point $P \in V$ then the fiber of R, V is $J_1((C, \sigma) \rightarrow (V, P))$.
 write action of $J_1(C, \sigma) \rightarrow (C, \sigma)$ at source.
 and action of $J_1(V, P) \rightarrow (V, P)$ at target
 (these two groups are $G \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$) but I will need
 Among all the equations $H \circ J_1(s) = H$ and its

Pb understand the restrictions above $\mathcal{C}$ of all the differential invariants of f - because some of them ~~are~~ are order 1 above $\mathcal{C}$.
 And in particular ~~these~~



let X be a ~~vector space~~ ^{field} on B_q in the Lie algebra of the Halg groupoid of the variational equation.
 then X preserves all the restriction of invariant of f above $\mathcal{C}$ if when the restriction is defined.
 ~~X is $J_1(f)$ for some formal vector field $\tilde{X}$ on V at p~~

~~the prolongations of X preserve all the $\tilde{q}^i$ invariants~~

(6)

let $J_q(TV)$ denote the ~~space~~ order q jet of vector field on V .

order q jet of vector fields in the Lie algebra of Helmholtz groupoid are solutions of a linear subspace $L_q \subset J_q(TV)$.

because invariants are ~~not~~ rational and not always independent.
 the dimensions of fibers L_q ~~are~~ ~~is not~~ are not constant.

are ~~gets~~ there is a fiberwise bracket on L_q $[j_q(X), j_q(Y)] = j_{q-1}[X, Y]$.

~~we prove~~ Even if the bracket is zero above.