

# Singularity confinement for a class of recursion relations

Joint work with Mark Adler and Pierre van Moerbeke

Pol Vanhaecke

Université de Poitiers

Luminy, December 1, 2006

# Unitary integrals & combinatorics

Object of interest:

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) = \int_{U(n)} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Tr}(t_j M^j - s_j M^{-j}) \right) dM$$

- ▶  $U(n)$  unitary group
- ▶  $dM$  Haar measure
- ▶  $t_j, s_j$  “time variables”

Basic examples:

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{U(n)} e^{t \text{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM$$

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{U(n)} e^{t \text{Tr}(M^2+M^{-2})} dM$$

## Example 1: increasing subsequences

Gessel's Theorem (1990)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{t \operatorname{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{k!} P_k(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_k$  permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ▶  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$

## Example 1: increasing subsequences

### Gessel's Theorem (1990)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{t \operatorname{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{k!} P_k(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_k$  permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ▶  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$

3 6 5 2 7 8 9 4 1

## Example 1: increasing subsequences

### Gessel's Theorem (1990)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{t \operatorname{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{k!} P_k(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_k$  permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ▶  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$

3 6 5 2 7 8 9 4 1

## Example 1: increasing subsequences

### Gessel's Theorem (1990)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{t \operatorname{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{k!} P_k(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_k$  permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ▶  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$
- ▶  $P_k$  uniform probability on  $\mathcal{S}_k$

## Example 1: increasing subsequences

### Gessel's Theorem (1990)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{t \operatorname{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{k!} P_k(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_k$  permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ▶  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$
- ▶  $P_k$  uniform probability on  $\mathcal{S}_k$

$$P_2(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_2 \mid \ell(\pi) \leq 1) = \frac{1}{2}; P_2(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_2 \mid \ell(\pi) \leq 2) = 1.$$

## Example 1: increasing subsequences

### Gessel's Theorem (1990)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{t \operatorname{Tr}(M+M^{-1})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{k!} P_k(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_k$  permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- ▶  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$
- ▶  $P_k$  uniform probability on  $\mathcal{S}_k$

$$P_3(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_3 \mid \ell(\pi) \leq 1) = \frac{1}{6}; P_3(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_3 \mid \ell(\pi) \leq 2) = \frac{5}{6}.$$



## Example 2: odd permutations

Rains (1998); Tracy-Widom (1999)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{s \operatorname{Tr}(M^2 + M^{-2})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{2s})^{2k}}{k!} P_{2k}(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}} \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

1.  $\mathcal{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$  permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{-k, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, k\}$  with  $\sigma(-i) = -\sigma(i)$
2.  $P_{2k}$  uniform probability on  $\mathcal{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$
3.  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$

## Example 2: odd permutations

Rains (1998); Tracy-Widom (1999)

$$\int_{U(n)} e^{s\text{Tr}(M^2+M^{-2})} dM = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{2s})^{2k}}{k!} P_{2k}(\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}} \mid \ell(\pi) \leq n)$$

1.  $\mathcal{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$  permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{-k, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, k\}$  with  $\sigma(-i) = -\sigma(i)$
2.  $P_{2k}$  uniform probability on  $\mathcal{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$
3.  $\ell(\pi)$  length of the longest increasing subsequence of  $\pi$
4. In the case of

$\mathcal{S}_{2k+1}^{\text{odd}}$  = odd permutations of  $\{-k, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, k\}$

the unitary integral involves

$$e^{t\text{Tr}(M+M^{-1}) \pm s\text{Tr}(M^2+M^{-2})}$$

## Unitary integrals & integrable systems

$$\tau_n(t, s) = \int_{U(n)} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Tr}(t_j M^j - s_j M^{-j}) \right) dM$$

- ⇒ an inner product on functions on the circle
- ⇒ (bi-)orthogonal polynomials
- ⇒ an integrable system  $\subset$  2-Toda lattice
- ⇒ recursion relations

## Unitary integrals & integrable systems

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) = \int_{U(n)} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Tr}(t_j M^j - s_j M^{-j}) \right) dM$$

⇒ an inner product on functions on the circle

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{s,t} = \int_{S^1} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} f(z) g(z^{-1}) \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (t_j z^j - s_j z^{-j}) \right) dz$$

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) = \det \left( \langle z^k, z^l \rangle_{s,t} \right)_{0 \leq k, l \leq n-1}$$

⇒ (bi-)orthogonal polynomials

⇒ an integrable system  $\subset$  2-Toda lattice

⇒ recursion relations

# Unitary integrals & integrable systems

$$\tau_n(t, s) = \int_{U(n)} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Tr}(t_j M^j - s_j M^{-j}) \right) dM$$

⇒ an inner product on functions on the circle

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{s,t} = \int_{S^1} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} f(z) g(z^{-1}) \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (t_j z^j - s_j z^{-j}) \right) dz$$

$$\tau_n(t, s) = \det \left( \langle z^k, z^l \rangle_{s,t} \right)_{0 \leq k, l \leq n-1}$$

⇒ (bi-)orthogonal polynomials  $p_n^{(1)}(t, s; z)$ ,  $p_n^{(2)}(t, s; z)$

$$\langle p_n^{(1)}, p_m^{(2)} \rangle = \delta_{n,m} \frac{\tau_{n+1}(t, s)}{\tau_n(t, s)}$$

⇒ an integrable system  $\subset$  2-Toda lattice

⇒ recursion relations

# The Toeplitz lattice

The constant coefficients of the bi-orthogonal polynomials

$$x_n(t, s) := p_n^{(1)}(t, s; 0) \quad y_n(t, s) := p_n^{(2)}(t, s; 0)$$

satisfy for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  the differential equations

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt_i} = (1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(1)}}{\partial y_k}, \quad \frac{dy_k}{dt_i} = -(1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(1)}}{\partial x_k}$$

and

$$\frac{dx_k}{ds_i} = (1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(2)}}{\partial y_k}, \quad \frac{dy_k}{ds_i} = -(1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(2)}}{\partial x_k}$$

# The Toeplitz lattice

The constant coefficients of the bi-orthogonal polynomials

$$x_n(t, s) := p_n^{(1)}(t, s; 0) \quad y_n(t, s) := p_n^{(2)}(t, s; 0)$$

satisfy for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  the differential equations

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt_i} = (1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(1)}}{\partial y_k}, \quad \frac{dy_k}{dt_i} = -(1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(1)}}{\partial x_k}$$

and

$$\frac{dx_k}{ds_i} = (1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(2)}}{\partial y_k}, \quad \frac{dy_k}{ds_i} = -(1 - x_k y_k) \frac{\partial H_i^{(2)}}{\partial x_k}$$

- ▶ almost standard Poisson structure  $\{x_k, y_l\} = (1 - x_k y_l) \delta_{kl}$
- ▶ all  $H_i^{(k)}$  and  $H_j^{(l)}$  are in involution,  $\{H_i^{(j)}, H_j^{(l)}\} = 0$
- ▶  $H_i^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{i} \text{Tr } L^i$  and  $H_i^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{i} \text{Tr } M^i$

## The Toeplitz matrices

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 y_0 & 1 - x_1 y_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -x_2 y_0 & -x_2 y_1 & 1 - x_2 y_2 & 0 & \dots \\ -x_3 y_0 & -x_3 y_1 & -x_3 y_2 & 1 - x_3 y_3 & \dots \\ -x_4 y_0 & -x_4 y_1 & -x_4 y_2 & -x_4 y_3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -x_0 y_1 & -x_0 y_2 & -x_0 y_3 & -x_0 y_4 & \dots \\ 1 - x_1 y_1 & -x_1 y_2 & -x_1 y_3 & -x_1 y_4 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 - x_2 y_2 & -x_2 y_3 & -x_2 y_4 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - x_3 y_3 & -x_3 y_4 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Tr } L = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_{i+1} y_i,$$

$$\text{Tr } M = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i y_{i+1}.$$



## The first Toeplitz vector field(s)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_k}{dt_1} &= (1 - x_k y_k) x_{k+1} & \frac{dy_k}{dt_1} &= -(1 - x_k y_k) y_{k-1} \\ \frac{dx_k}{ds_1} &= (1 - x_k y_k) x_{k-1} & \frac{dy_k}{ds_1} &= -(1 - x_k y_k) y_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

**duality:**  $x_i \leftrightarrow y_i$   $L \leftrightarrow M^T$   $\{\cdot, \cdot\} \leftrightarrow -\{\cdot, \cdot\}$   $s_i \leftrightarrow -t_i$

**self-dual:**  $x_i = y_i$   $L = M^T$   $\{\cdot, \cdot\} = ?$   $s_i = -t_i$

## The first Toeplitz vector field(s)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx_k}{dt_1} &= (1 - x_k y_k) x_{k+1} & \frac{dy_k}{dt_1} &= -(1 - x_k y_k) y_{k-1} \\ \frac{dx_k}{ds_1} &= (1 - x_k y_k) x_{k-1} & \frac{dy_k}{ds_1} &= -(1 - x_k y_k) y_{k+1}\end{aligned}$$

**duality:**  $x_i \leftrightarrow y_i \quad L \leftrightarrow M^T \quad \{\cdot, \cdot\} \leftrightarrow -\{\cdot, \cdot\} \quad s_i \leftrightarrow -t_i$

**self-dual:**  $x_i = y_i \quad L = M^T \quad \{\cdot, \cdot\} =? \quad s_i = -t_i$

The first **self-dual** Toeplitz vector field

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt} = (1 - x_k^2)(x_{k+1} - x_{k-1})$$

Similar to, but different from, the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt} = x_k(x_{k+1} - x_{k-1})$$

## The recursion relations

$(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  also satisfy a recursion relation  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In the self-dual case:

$$\Gamma_k = kx_k - \frac{1 - x_k^2}{x_k} \sum_{i=1}^N it_i \left( L_{k+1, k+1}^i + L_{k, k}^i - 2L_{k+1, k}^{i-1} \right)$$

## The recursion relations

$(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  also satisfy a recursion relation  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In the self-dual case:

$$\Gamma_k = kx_k - \frac{1 - x_k^2}{x_k} \sum_{i=1}^N it_i \left( L_{k+1, k+1}^i + L_{k, k}^i - 2L_{k+1, k}^{i-1} \right)$$
$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 x_0 & 1 - x_1^2 & 0 & 0 & & \\ -x_2 x_0 & -x_2 x_1 & 1 - x_2^2 & 0 & & \\ -x_3 x_0 & -x_3 x_1 & -x_3 x_2 & 1 - x_3^2 & & \\ -x_4 x_0 & -x_4 x_1 & -x_4 x_2 & -x_4 x_3 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}$$

## The recursion relations

$(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  also satisfy a recursion relation  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In the self-dual case:

$$\Gamma_k = kx_k - \frac{1 - x_k^2}{x_k} \sum_{i=1}^N it_i \left( L_{k+1, k+1}^i + L_{k, k}^i - 2L_{k+1, k}^{i-1} \right)$$

- ▶  $\Gamma_k$  is a polynomial in  $x_{k-N}, x_{k-N+1}, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_{k+N}$  (only!)
- ▶  $\Gamma_k$  is of degree 1 in  $x_{k+N}$
- ▶  $(2N + 1)$ -step recursion relation  $x_n = F_n(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-2N})$

## The recursion relations

$(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  also satisfy a recursion relation  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In the self-dual case:

$$\Gamma_k = kx_k - \frac{1 - x_k^2}{x_k} \sum_{i=1}^N it_i \left( L_{k+1, k+1}^i + L_{k, k}^i - 2L_{k+1, k}^{i-1} \right)$$

- ▶  $\Gamma_k$  is a polynomial in  $x_{k-N}, x_{k-N+1}, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_{k+N}$  (only!)
- ▶  $\Gamma_k$  is of degree 1 in  $x_{k+N}$
- ▶  $(2N + 1)$ -step recursion relation  $x_n = F_n(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-2N})$

Example (Borodin,  $N = 1$ ):

$$kx_k + t(1 - x_k^2)(\underline{x_{k+1}} + x_{k-1}) = 0$$

## The recursion relations

$(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  also satisfy a recursion relation  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In the self-dual case:

$$\Gamma_k = kx_k - \frac{1 - x_k^2}{x_k} \sum_{i=1}^N it_i \left( L_{k+1, k+1}^i + L_{k, k}^i - 2L_{k+1, k}^{i-1} \right)$$

- ▶  $\Gamma_k$  is a polynomial in  $x_{k-N}, x_{k-N+1}, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_{k+N}$  (only!)
- ▶  $\Gamma_k$  is of degree 1 in  $x_{k+N}$
- ▶  $(2N + 1)$ -step recursion relation  $x_n = F_n(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-2N})$

Example (Borodin,  $N = 1$ ):

$$kx_k + t(1 - x_k^2)(\underline{x_{k+1}} + x_{k-1}) = 0$$

Example (Adler-van Moerbeke,  $N = 2$ ,  $v_i := 1 - x_i^2$ ):

$$kx_k + tv_k(x_{k+1} + x_{k-1}) + 2sv_k(\underline{x_{k+2}}v_{k+1} + x_{k-2}v_{k-1} - x_k(x_{k+1} + x_{k-1})^2) = 0$$

## Main result

Theorem (Adler, van Moerbeke and V.)

*The recursion relations  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  have the singularity confinement property (in its strongest form).*



## Main result

Theorem (Adler, van Moerbeke and V.)

*The recursion relations  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  have the singularity confinement property (in its strongest form).*

**Singularity confinement:**

- ▶ **weak** form: there exist formal Laurent solutions  $(x_k(\epsilon))_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  that only blow up for a few  $k$
- ▶ **stronger** form: such a solution exists, depending on  $(2N + 1) - 1 = 2N$  free parameters
- ▶ **strongest** form: for every  $k$  there exists such a solution with at least  $x_k$  blowing up (and depending on  $2N$  free parameters)

## Main result

Theorem (Adler, van Moerbeke and V.)

*The recursion relations  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  have the singularity confinement property (in its strongest form).*

**Singularity confinement:**

- ▶ **weak** form: there exist formal Laurent solutions  $(x_k(\epsilon))_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  that only blow up for a few  $k$
- ▶ **stronger** form: such a solution exists, depending on  $(2N + 1) - 1 = 2N$  free parameters
- ▶ **strongest** form: for every  $k$  there exists such a solution with at least  $x_k$  blowing up (and depending on  $2N$  free parameters)

In a sense: discrete version of the **Kowalevski-Painlevé property**

## The examples $N = 1$ and $N = 2$

- ▶ Singularity confinement and integrability seem to go hand in hand ...
- ▶ ... but the precise relation is not properly understood

## The examples $N = 1$ and $N = 2$

- ▶ Singularity confinement and integrability seem to go hand in hand ...
- ▶ ... but the precise relation is not properly understood

For  $N = 1$

$$kx_k + t(1 - x_k^2)(\underline{x_{k+1}} + x_{k-1}) = 0$$

invariant (first shown by Borodin):

$$\Phi_n(y, z) = (1 - y^2)(1 - z^2) - \frac{n}{t}yz$$

invariance:

$$\Phi_n(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \Phi_n(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

## The examples $N = 1$ and $N = 2$

- ▶ Singularity confinement and integrability seem to go hand in hand ...
- ▶ ... but the precise relation is not properly understood

For  $N = 2$

$$kx_k + tv_k(x_{k+1} + x_{k-1}) + 2sv_k(\underline{x_{k+2}}v_{k+1} + x_{k-2}v_{k-1} - x_k(x_{k+1} + x_{k-1})^2) = 0$$

invariant:

$$\Phi_n(x, y, z, u) = nyz - (1 - y^2)(1 - z^2)(t + 2s(x(u - y) - z(u + y)))$$

invariance:

$$\Phi_n(x_{n+3}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, x_n) = \Phi_n(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, x_n, x_{n-1})$$

## The examples $N = 1$ and $N = 2$

- ▶ Singularity confinement and integrability seem to go hand in hand ...
- ▶ ... but the precise relation is not properly understood

For  $N = 2$

$$kx_k + tv_k(x_{k+1} + x_{k-1}) + 2sv_k(\underline{x_{k+2}}v_{k+1} + x_{k-2}v_{k-1} - x_k(x_{k+1} + x_{k-1})^2) = 0$$

invariant:

$$\Phi_n(x, y, z, u) = nyz - (1 - y^2)(1 - z^2)(t + 2s(x(u - y) - z(u + y)))$$

invariance:

$$\Phi_n(x_{n+3}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, x_n) = \Phi_n(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, x_n, x_{n-1})$$

**Conjecture:** there is for any  $N$  an invariant (self-dual case and general case)

The theorem is in support of this conjecture

# The theorem: outline of the proof

## Theorem

*The recursion relations  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  satisfy the singularity confinement property (in its strongest form).*

## Setup:

1. bi-infinite Toeplitz lattice (semi-infinite:  $x_i = y_i = \delta_{i0}$  for  $i \leq 0$ )
2.  $L$  and  $M$  becomes bi-infinite matrices

## general Toeplitz lattice

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_k &= (1 - x_k y_k)(x_{k+1} - x_{k-1}) \\ \dot{y}_k &= (1 - x_k y_k)(y_{k+1} - y_{k-1}) \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

$$\text{recursion relations } \Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

## self-dual Toeplitz lattice

$$\dot{x}_k = (1 - x_k^2)(x_{k+1} - x_{k-1}) \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

$$\text{recursion relations } \Gamma_k = 0, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

# The theorem: outline of the proof

## Theorem

*The recursion relations  $\Gamma_k = \Delta_k = 0$  satisfy the singularity confinement property (in its strongest form).*

## Setup:

1. bi-infinite Toeplitz lattice (semi-infinite:  $x_i = y_i = \delta_{i0}$  for  $i \leq 0$ )
2.  $L$  and  $M$  becomes bi-infinite matrices

**idea:** construct singular solutions for the recursion relations from principal balances for the Toeplitz lattice

principal balances: formal Laurent solutions depending on *many* free parameters



## Step 1: Invariant manifold

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the submanifold, defined by  $\Gamma_k(x, y) = \Delta_k(x, y) = 0$ .  
Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an invariant submanifold for the (first) Toeplitz flow(s) of the Toeplitz lattice.

### Proof.

The recursion relations satisfy differential equations.

**General case:**

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Gamma}_k &= (1 - x_k y_k)(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{k-1}) + (x_{k+1} - x_{k-1})(x_k \Delta_k - y_k \Gamma_k), \\ \dot{\Delta}_k &= (1 - x_k y_k)(\Delta_{k+1} - \Delta_{k-1}) - (y_{k+1} - y_{k-1})(x_k \Delta_k - y_k \Gamma_k).\end{aligned}$$

**Self-dual case:**  $\dot{\Gamma}_k = (1 - x_k^2)(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{k-1})$ . □

Corollary : every formal power series solution to Toeplitz that starts out on  $\mathcal{M}$  stays on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Step 2: Painlevé analysis for the Toeplitz lattice

A few extra features wrt standard Painlevé analysis

- ▶ not weight-homogeneous
- ▶ infinite number of variables (? how many free parameters)
- ▶ existence of all terms, rather than convergence

## Step 2: Painlevé analysis for the Toeplitz lattice

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt} = (1 - x_k^2)(x_{k+1} - x_{k-1}) \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

### Theorem

For any  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , the *self-dual* Toeplitz lattice admits a formal Laurent solution  $x(t)$ , with only  $x_n(t)$  having a pole, given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t) &= 1 \left( a_k + (1 - a_k^2)(a_{k+1} - a_{k-1})t + O(t^2) \right), \quad |k - n| \geq 2, \\ x_{n\pm 1}(t) &= 1 \left( \mp 1 + 4a_{\pm}t + 4a_{\pm}(2a_{n\pm 2} \mp (a_- + a_+))t^2 + O(t^3) \right), \\ x_n(t) &= -\frac{1}{2t} \left( 1 + (a_+ - a_-)t + \frac{1}{3}((a_+ - a_-)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4(a_+a_{n+2} - a_-a_{n-2} + 1 - 2a_+a_-))t^2 + O(t^3) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_+$ ,  $a_-$  and all  $a_i$ , with  $i \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{n-1, n, n+1\}$  are arbitrary free parameters; also,  $1^2 = 1$ .

## Step 2': Painlevé analysis for the Toeplitz lattice

For the **general** Toeplitz lattice:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_k &= (1 - x_k y_k)(x_{k+1} - x_{k-1}) \\ \dot{y}_k &= (1 - x_k y_k)(y_{k+1} - y_{k-1})\end{aligned}\quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

### Features

- ▶ not weight-homogeneous
- ▶  $2\infty - 1 \neq 2(\infty - 1)$  free parameters
- ▶  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  have a pole at the same time ( $n$  arbitrary)

## Step 3: Tangency of the Laurent solutions

In the **self-dual case**, recall  $\dot{\Gamma}_k = (1 - x_k^2)(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{k-1})$ .

### Theorem

Let  $\Gamma(t) := \Gamma(x(t))$ , where  $x(t)$  is the above formal Laurent solution. Then, as formal series in  $t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_k(t) &= \Gamma_k^{(0)} + O(t), & k \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{n\}, \\ \Gamma_n(t) &= \frac{1}{4t}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{(0)} - \Gamma_{n-1}^{(0)}) + \Gamma_n^{(0)} + O(t).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\Gamma_k(t) = 0$  as a formal series in  $t$ , for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , as soon as  $x(t)$  is such that

$$\Gamma_k^{(0)} = 0, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

## Step 3': Tangency of the Laurent solutions

### Theorem

Let  $\Gamma(t) := \Gamma(x(t), y(t))$ , where  $(x(t), y(t))$  is the above formal Laurent solution. Then, as a formal series in  $t$ ,  $\Gamma_k(t) = \Gamma_k^{(0)} + O(t)$  and  $\Delta_k(t) = \Delta_k^{(0)} + O(t)$  for  $k \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{n\}$ . Also

$$\Gamma_n(t) = \frac{a_{n+1}^2}{a_-(a_{n-1} - a_{n+1})^2 t^2} \left( \Gamma_{n-1}^{(0)} - a_{n-1}^2 \Delta_{n-1}^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{t} \Gamma_n^{(-1)} + O(1),$$
$$\Delta_n(t) = \frac{a_{n+1} a_{n-1}}{a_-(a_{n-1} - a_{n+1})^2 t^2} \left( \Gamma_{n-1}^{(0)} / a_{n-1}^2 - \Delta_{n-1}^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{t} \Delta_n^{(-1)} + O(1),$$

where  $\Gamma_n^{(-1)}$  and  $\Delta_n^{(-1)}$  are both linear combinations of  $\Gamma_{n\pm 1}^{(0)}$  and  $\Delta_{n\pm 1}^{(0)}$ .

## Step 4: Parameter restriction (self-dual case)

In the **self-dual case**, recall that  $\Gamma_k(\mathbf{x}) = \Gamma_k(x_{n-N}, \dots, x_{n+N})$ . Some very tricky fishing leads to:

$\Gamma_{n-N-1}$	<u><math>a_{n-2N-1}, \dots, a_{n-1} = 1</math></u>
$\Gamma_{n-N-2}$	<u><math>a_{n-2N-2}, \dots, a_{n-2}</math></u>
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\Gamma_{n-N}$	$a_{n-2N}, \dots, a_{n-2}, \underline{a_-}$
$\Gamma_{n-N+1}$	$a_{n-2N+1}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_-, \underline{a_+}$
$\Gamma_{n-N+2}$	$a_{n-2N+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{\pm}, \underline{a_{n+2}}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\Gamma_{n-1}$	$a_{n-N-1}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{\pm}, a_{n+2}, \dots, \underline{a_{n+N-1}}$
$\Gamma_{n+1}$	$a_{n-N+1}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{\pm}, a_{n+2}, \dots, \underline{a_{n+N}}, \del{a_{n+N+1}}$
$\Gamma_n$	$a_{n-N-1}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{\pm}, a_{n+2}, \dots, \underline{a_{n+N+1}}$
$\Gamma_{n+2}$	$a_{n-N+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{\pm}, a_{n+2}, \dots, \underline{a_{n+N+2}}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$

## Step 5: Formal inverse function theorem

The equations

$$\begin{cases} x_k(t) = a_k + O(t) & k = n - 2N, \dots, n - 2 \\ x_{n-1}(t) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{n-1}^{(i)} t^i \end{cases}$$

can be inverted, as formal power series, into

$$\begin{cases} x_k(t) = \alpha_k & k = n - 2N, \dots, n - 2 \\ x_{n-1}(t) = 1 + \lambda \end{cases}$$



# Final result: Singularity confinement

## Theorem

In the *self-dual case*, there exist formal Laurent solutions to the  $(2N + 1)$ -step recursion relations  $\Gamma_k = 0$ , depending rationally on  $2N$  free parameters  $\alpha_{n-2N}, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}$  and  $\lambda$ , namely

$$x_k(\lambda, \alpha) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} x_k^{(i)}(\alpha) \lambda^i \quad k < n - 2N$$

$$x_k(\lambda, \alpha) = \alpha_k \quad n - 2N \leq k < n - 1$$

$$x_{n-1}(\lambda, \alpha) = 1 + \lambda$$

$$x_n(\lambda, \alpha) = \lambda^{-1} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} x_n^{(i)}(\alpha) \lambda^i$$

$$x_{n+1}(\lambda, \alpha) = -1 + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} x_{n+1}^{(i)}(\alpha) \lambda^i$$

$$x_k(\lambda, \alpha) = -1 + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} x_k^{(i)}(\alpha) \lambda^i \quad n + 1 < k$$