
A SAGE IMPLEMENTATION OF DRINFELD'S ARGUMENTS, AND SOME VARIATIONS

by

David Bourqui & Julien Sebag

Let us recall the following theorem, due to M. Grinberg and D. Kazhdan in case the base field is of characteristic 0 and to V. Drinfeld in general (see [5, 4] and also [1]).

Theorem 0.1. — *Let k be a field. Let V be a k -variety, with no connected component isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(V)(k)$ be a rational point of the associated arc scheme, not contained in $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V_{\mathrm{sing}})$. If $(\mathcal{L}_\infty(V))_\gamma$ denotes the formal neighborhood of the k -scheme $\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)$ at the point γ , there exists an affine k -scheme S of finite type, with $s \in S(k)$, and an isomorphism of formal k -schemes:*

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(V)_\gamma \cong S_s \hat{\otimes}_k k[[(T_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}]]. \quad (1)$$

1. A basic SAGE code in the case of affine plane curves

In [1], we show that it transpires from a detailed analysis of Drinfeld's arguments that they provide an explicit procedure for computing a pair (S, s) realizing isomorphism (1), once one has chosen an embedding of an affine neighborhood of $\gamma(0)$ into an explicitly presented complete intersection and once one knows explicitly a suitable truncation of the arc γ .

In this section, we illustrate this by providing a SAGE code ([3]) which computes a suitable presentation of a pointed affine k -scheme (S, s) realizing isomorphism (1) in case V is an affine plane curve defined by a polynomial $F \in k[X, Y]$. In fact, we shall implement a slightly modified version of the algorithm suggested by Drinfeld's arguments which is somewhat better suited for effective computation.

More precisely, using the same notations as in section 4 of [1], let us write

$$\tilde{x}(T) = \tilde{x}_1(T)T^d + \tilde{x}_0(T)$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 14E18, 14B05.

Key words and phrases. — Arc scheme, curve singularity.

where $\tilde{x}_1(T), \tilde{x}_0(T) \in (k[T]_{\leq d-1})^N$. Now for any test-ring A we consider the set $\mathcal{B}'(A)$ whose elements are of the form

$$(z_A(T), \tilde{x}_{0,A}(T), \tilde{x}_{1,A}(T), \tilde{y}_A(T), q_A(T))$$

in the set $A[[T]]^N \times A[T]_{\leq d-1}^N \times A[T]_{\leq d-1}^N \times A[T]_{\leq d-1} \times \mathcal{W}(A, d)$ and satisfy the relations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_A(T) = z(T) \pmod{\mathfrak{M}_A[[T]]}; \\ \tilde{x}_{0,A}(T) = \tilde{x}_1(T) \pmod{\mathfrak{M}_A[T]_{\leq d-1}}; \\ \tilde{x}_{1,A}(T) = \tilde{x}_0(T) \pmod{\mathfrak{M}_A[T]_{\leq d-1}}; \\ \tilde{y}_A(T) = y(T) \pmod{\langle T^d, \mathfrak{M}_A \rangle}; \\ q_A(T) \text{ divides } (\partial_Y F)(\tilde{x}_{0,A}(T), \tilde{y}_A(T)); \\ q_A(T)^2 \text{ divides} \\ q_A(T) \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \tilde{x}_{1,A}(T)^{(i)} (\partial_{X_i} F)(\tilde{x}_{0,A}(T), \tilde{y}_A(T)) \right) + F(\tilde{x}_{0,A}(T), \tilde{y}_A(T)), \end{array} \right.$$

where $\tilde{x}_{1,A}(T)^{(i)}$ is the i -th component of $\tilde{x}_{1,A}(T)$. Using Taylor's formula, it is easy to see that the map which associates with

$$(z_A(T), \tilde{x}_{1,A}(T), \tilde{x}_{0,A}(T), \tilde{y}_A(T), q_A(T))$$

the element

$$(z_A(T), q_A(T) \tilde{x}_{1,A}(T) + \tilde{x}_{0,A}(T), \tilde{y}_A(T), q_A(T))$$

is a natural bijection $\mathcal{B}'(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A)$. The conditions defining $\mathcal{B}'(A)$ have the computational advantage to depend only linearly on $\tilde{x}_{1,A}(T)$, that is to say, on the "higher order coefficient" of $\tilde{x}_A(T)$. Let us emphasize that even with this modification the algorithm c does not seem very efficient. In the case of the affine cusp $X^3 = Y^2$, the computation is very fast, even over the rational field. Other cases, including the plane curve given by $X^5 = Y^3$, take much more time, even over finite fields, and by increasing the multiplicity, things turn even worse. For example, on our computer and over $k = \mathbf{F}_7$, the computation took less than 0.1 second for the plane curve defined by $X^3 = Y^2$ and approximatively 40 minutes with $X^5 = Y^2$. With $X^4 = Y^3$, the computation is not finished after 12 hours.

Here is the SAGE code. The arguments are, still using the same notations as before, the polynomial F , the contact order d , and the truncated Puiseux expansions $\tilde{x}_0(T)$, $\tilde{x}_1(T)$ and $y(T) \pmod{T^d}$, denoted in the code respectively by `F`, `cont_ord`, `puiseux_X_0`, `puiseux_X_1` and `puiseux_Y`. The output is the ideal of relations `I` defining the k -scheme S .

```
# An implementation of a slightly modified version
# of Drinfeld's algorithm for plane curves
```

```
# field characteristic
```

```
p = 7
field = GF(p)
# field = QQ
```

```
R.<X,Y,T>=field[]
```

```

F = X^3-Y^2
cont_ord = 3
puiseux_X_0 = T^2
puiseux_X_1 = 0
puiseux_Y = 0

variables = [ 'x%i' % i for i in [0..cont_ord-1] ]
variables = variables + [ 'xx%i' % i for i in [0..cont_ord-1] ]
variables = variables + [ 'y%i' % i for i in [0..cont_ord-1] ]
variables = variables + [ 'q%i' % i for i in [0..cont_ord-1] ]
R1 = PolynomialRing(field,variables)
variables = variables + ['T','u','X','Y']
R2 = PolynomialRing(field,variables)
R2.inject_variables()
F=F.substitute({R.0:X})
puiseux_X_0=puiseux_X_0.substitute({R.2:T})
puiseux_X_1=puiseux_X_1.substitute({R.2:T})
puiseux_Y=puiseux_Y.substitute({R.2:T})

x=puiseux_X_0+sum([R2.gen(i)*T^i for i in [0..cont_ord-1]])
xx=puiseux_X_1+sum([R2.gen(i+cont_ord)*T^i for i in [0..cont_ord-1]])
y=puiseux_Y+sum([R2.gen(i+2*cont_ord)*T^i for i in [0..cont_ord-1]])
q=T^(cont_ord)+sum([R2.gen(i+3*cont_ord)*T^i for i in [0..cont_ord-1]])

Fxy=F.subs(X=x,Y=y)
div_deg=Fxy.degree(T)-cont_ord

# the following new variables will be used
# when dealing with the condition "q(T) divides F(x(T),y(T))"

variables = variables + [ 'p%i' % i for i in [0..div_deg] ]
R3 = PolynomialRing(field,variables)
R3.inject_variables()
x=x.substitute({R2.0:x0})
xx=xx.substitute({R2.gen(cont_ord):xx0})
y=y.substitute({R2.gen(2*cont_ord):y0})
q=q.substitute({R2.gen(3*cont_ord):q0})
F=F.substitute({R2.gen(4*cont_ord+2):X})
Fxy=F.subs(X=x,Y=y)
dXF = F.derivative(X)
dYF = F.derivative(Y)
dYFxy=dYF.subs(X=x,Y=y)

```

```

dXFxy=dXF.subs(X=x,Y=y)

# Computation of the ideal defined by the conditions
# q(T) divides (\partial_Y F)(x(T),y(T))
# and
# q(T) divide F(x(T),y(T))
# and
# q(T) divide xx(T)*(\partial_X F)(x(T),y(T))+F(x(T),y(T))/q(T)

# First step:
# q(T) divides (\partial_Y F)(x(T),y(T))

N = q.degree(T)
qq = T^N-q
rem = dYFxy
while rem.degree(T)>N-1:
L1 = [rem.coefficient(T^n)*T^(n-N)*u for n in range(N, rem.degree(T)+1)]
L2 = [rem.coefficient(T^n)*T^n for n in range(0, N)]
L2[0] = rem.substitute({T:0})
rem = sum(L1)+sum(L2)
rem = rem.substitute({u:qq})
L=[rem.coefficient(T^n) for n in range(0, rem.degree(T)+1)]
L[0] = rem.substitute({T:0})
I=R1.ideal(L)

# Second step:
# q(T) divides F(x(T),y(T))
# and computation of the quotient

pp=sum([R3.gen(i+4*cont_ord+4)*T^i for i in [0..div_deg]])
h = expand (Fxy-pp*q)
L = [h.coefficient(T^n) for n in range (0,h.degree(T)+1)]
L[0] = h.substitute({T:0})

# one eliminates the p_i

ppp = [0 for n in range (0,div_deg+1)]
for i in [div_deg..0, step=-1]:
    numer = -L[h.degree(T)+i-div_deg].substitute({R3.gen(i+4*cont_ord+4):0})
    denom = L[h.degree(T)+i-div_deg].coefficient(R3.gen(i+4*cont_ord+4))
    ppp[i] = numer/denom
    L = [L[n].substitute({R3.gen(i+4*cont_ord+4):ppp[i]})
        \ for n in range(0, h.degree(T)+1)]
I=I+R1.ideal(L)

```

```

# computation of the quotient F(x(T),y(T))/q(T)

quotient = sum([ppp[i]*T^i for i in range(0,div_deg+1)])

# Third step:
# q(T) divides xx(T)*dF/dx(x(T),y(T))+F(x(T),y-T))/q(T)

q=T^(cont_ord)+sum([R3.gen(i+3*cont_ord)*T^i for i in [0..cont_ord-1]])
N = q.degree(T)
qq = T^N-q
rem = xx*dXFxy+quotient
while rem.degree(T)>N-1:
L1 = [rem.coefficient(T^n)*T^(n-N)*u for n in range(N, rem.degree(T)+1)]

L2 = [rem.coefficient(T^n)*T^n for n in range(0, N)]
L2[0] = rem.substitute({T:0})
rem = sum(L1)+sum(L2)
rem = rem.substitute({u:qq})
L=[rem.coefficient(T^n) for n in range(0, rem.degree(T)+1)]
L[0] = rem.substitute({T:0})
I=I+R1.ideal(L)

```

2. An alternative and more efficient code for the generalized cusps

In this section we present an alternative code in the case of the curve $\mathcal{C} = \{X^N = Y^M\}$ and of the arc $\gamma(T) = (T^{\mu M}, T^{\mu N})$ where the integers $N > M \geq 2$ are coprime integers. This code is based on results of [2]. Its computational efficiency is much better than the code presented in the previous section. Moreover, in case $\mu = 1$, i.e. for primitive arcs it allows an explicit computation of the nilpotency index $m_\gamma(\mathcal{C})$ (see *op. cit.* for more details). Using this code, on our computer and over $k = \mathbf{F}_7$, the computation took less than 0.4 seconds for the curve singularities $X^5 = Y^2$ and $X^4 = Y^3$ (compare with the values obtained with the previous code)

The arguments are the integers M and N , and the multiplicity μ . The output is the ideal of relations $I_1=I_2=I$ defining the affine k -scheme S . The ideals I_1 and I_2 are produced by two different methods but coincides. The first method, producing I_1 , is less efficient but has the advantage of imposing less restriction on the characteristic.

In case $\mu=1$, the nilpotency index $m_\gamma(\mathcal{C})$ turns out to be equal to the smallest integer n such that $(\sqrt{I})^n \subset I$, which is computable using SAGE or an other suitable computer algebra system.

```

# An implementation of an
# alternative to Drinfeld's algorithm
# for generalized cusps

# field characteristic

```

```

p=23
field = GF(p)
field = QQ

N = 5
M = 4
mu = 1

#####
## First method (p must be greater than M) ##
#####

h = expand(y^M-x^N)
L = [h.coefficient(T^i) for i in range(0, mu*N*M)]
L[0] = h.substitute({T:0})

# one eliminates the y_i in L

L_elim=L

for i in [mu*N-2..0, step=-1]:
    numer = L_elim[mu*N*M-mu*N+i].substitute({R.gen(i):0})
    denom = L_elim[mu*N*M-mu*N+i].coefficient(R.gen(i))
    expr = -numer/denom
    L_elim = [L_elim[n].substitute({R.gen(i):expr}) for n in range(0, mu*N*M)]

R1 = PolynomialRing(field, [ 'x%i' % i for i in [0..mu*M-2] ], order='invlex')

I1=R1.ideal(L_elim)

#####
## Second method (p must be greater than mu*M*N) ##
#####

g = expand(M*diff(y,T)*x-N*diff(x,T)*y)
K = [g.coefficient(T^i) for i in range(0, mu*(N+M)-1)]
K[0] = g.substitute({T:0})

# one eliminates the y_i in K

K_elim=K
for i in [mu*N-2..0, step=-1]:
    numer = K_elim[mu*M-1+i].substitute({R.gen(i):0})

```

```

denom = K_elim[mu*M-1+i].coefficient(R.gen(i))
expr = -numer/denom
K_elim = [K_elim[n].substitute({R.gen(i):expr}) for n in range(0, mu*(N+M)-1)]

I2=R1.ideal(K_elim)

```

References

- [1] D. Bourqui and Julien Sebag, *Drinfeld-Grinberg-Kazhdan's theorem and singularity theory*, preprint 2015.
- [2] ———, *Nilpotency in arc schemes of plane singular curves*, preprint 2015.
- [3] The Sage Developers, *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.9)*, 2015, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [4] Vladimir Drinfled, *On the Grinberg-Kazhdan formal arc theorem*, preprint.
- [5] M. Grinberg and D. Kazhdan, *Versal deformations of formal arcs*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **10** (2000), no. 3, 543–555.

DAVID BOURQUI, Institut de recherche mathématique de Rennes, UMR 6625 du CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes cedex (France)
E-mail : david.bourqui@univ-rennes1.fr

JULIEN SEBAG, Institut de recherche mathématique de Rennes, UMR 6625 du CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes cedex (France)
E-mail : julien.sebag@univ-rennes1.fr