

Serre's obstruction for genus 3 curves

Christophe Ritzenthaler

Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy, CNRS

Geocrypt, Guadeloupe, April 27 - May 1, 2009

- 1 Geometric versus arithmetic Torelli theorem
- 2 Siegel modular forms
- 3 A special modular form : χ_h
- 4 Main result and ingredients of the proof
- 5 Explicit computations and application to optimal curves
- 6 Primes dividing χ_{18}
- 7 New strategies ?

Geometric Torelli theorem

k algebraically closed field.

A_g : space of (isom. classes of) g -dimensional p.p.a.v.

M_g : space of (isom. classes of) curves of genus g .

j canonical principal polarization on $\text{Jac } X$.

Geometric Torelli Theorem (Weil)

Torelli's morphism θ :

$$X \quad \mapsto \quad (\text{Jac } X, j)$$

$$M_g \quad \longrightarrow \quad A_g$$

is injective.

Arithmetic Torelli theorem

k arbitrary field. $(A, a)/k \in A_g$ such that $(A, a) \simeq_{\bar{k}} (\text{Jac } X_0, j_0)$.

Arithmetic Torelli theorem (Serre)

There is a model X/k of X_0 such that :

- ① If X_0 is hyperelliptic, there is a k -isomorphism

$$(\text{Jac } X, j) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A, a).$$

- ② If X_0 is not hyperelliptic, there is a quadratic character ε of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$, and a k -isomorphism

$$(\text{Jac } X, j) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A, a)_{\varepsilon}$$

where $(A, a)_{\varepsilon}$ is the twist of A by ε

(if ε is not trivial, then (A, a) is not a Jacobian).

Jacobians in dimension 2 and 3

$$g = 2 \text{ (resp. } g = 3)$$

$$\dim M_g = 3g - 3 = \dim A_g = g(g + 1)/2 = 3 \text{ (resp. } 6).$$

$(A, a)/\bar{k}$ *indecomposable* : (A, a) is not isomorphic to a product of p.p.a.v.

Curve of genus $g \leq 3$
over \bar{k}

Jac

*abelian variety of
dimension g , with an
indecomposable
principal polarization*

Applications to maximal curves of genus $g \leq 3$

To construct a genus g curve with many points N over \mathbb{F}_q :

- find an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q with trace of Frobenius $q + 1 - N$;

Applications to maximal curves of genus $g \leq 3$

To construct a genus g curve with many points N over \mathbb{F}_q :

- find an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q with trace of Frobenius $q + 1 - N$;
- prove that A has an indecomposable principal polarization ;

Applications to maximal curves of genus $g \leq 3$

To construct a genus g curve with many points N over \mathbb{F}_q :

- find an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q with trace of Frobenius $q + 1 - N$;
- prove that A has an indecomposable principal polarization ;
- use arithmetic Torelli to conclude.

Applications to maximal curves of genus $g \leq 3$

To construct a genus g curve with many points N over \mathbb{F}_q :

- find an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q with trace of Frobenius $q + 1 - N$;
- prove that A has an indecomposable principal polarization ;
- use arithmetic Torelli to conclude.

$g = 2$: all curves are hyperelliptic : ok.

$g = 3$: curves can be non hyperelliptic \rightsquigarrow the quadratic twist is a Jacobian and its number of points is minimum.

Theorem (Lauter 2002)

Let $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$. For all q there exists a genus 3 curve C over \mathbb{F}_q such that

$$|\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) - q - 1| \geq 3m - 3.$$

Applications to maximal curves of genus $g \leq 3$

To construct a genus g curve with many points N over \mathbb{F}_q :

- find an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q with trace of Frobenius $q + 1 - N$;
- prove that A has an indecomposable principal polarization ;
- use arithmetic Torelli to conclude.

$g = 2$: all curves are hyperelliptic : ok.

$g = 3$: curves can be non hyperelliptic \rightsquigarrow the quadratic twist is a Jacobian and its number of points is minimum.

Theorem (Lauter 2002)

Let $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$. For all q there exists a genus 3 curve C over \mathbb{F}_q such that

$$|\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) - q - 1| \geq 3m - 3.$$

Serre's Question (letter to Top, February 2003) : how to compute the twist ε ?

Analytic Siegel modular forms

Siegel upper half space of genus g

$$\mathbb{H}_g = \{ \tau \in \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t \tau = \tau, \operatorname{Im} \tau > 0 \}.$$

$\mathbf{R}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C})$: space of *analytic Siegel modular forms* of weight h on \mathbb{H}_g , i.e. holomorphic functions $\phi(\tau)$ on \mathbb{H}_g satisfying

$$\phi(M.\tau) = \det(c\tau + d)^h \phi(\tau)$$

for any $M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Geometric Siegel modular forms

A_g : moduli stack of p.p.a.s. of relative dimension g

$$\pi : V_g \longrightarrow A_g \quad (\text{universal p.p.a.s.})$$

Ω^1 : rank g bundle induced by relative regular differential forms on V_g/A_g .

Relative canonical line bundle $\omega_{V_g/A_g} = \bigwedge^g \pi_* \Omega^1$ over A_g :

$$\begin{array}{c} \omega_{V_g/A_g} \\ \downarrow \\ A_g \end{array} \quad \Omega_k^1[A] = H^0(A, \Omega_A^1 \otimes k), \quad \omega_k[A] = \bigwedge^g \Omega_k^1[A].$$

Space of geometric Siegel modular forms of weight h over a ring R :

$$\mathbf{S}_{g,h}(R) = \Gamma(A_g \otimes R, \omega^{\otimes h})$$

Analytic and geometric modular forms

$A = (A, a)$ p.p.a.v. of dimension g defined over $k \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Analytic and geometric modular forms

$A = (A, a)$ p.p.a.v. of dimension g defined over $k \subset \mathbb{C}$.

$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ basis of $\Omega_k^1[A]$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}$ symplectic basis (for a) such that

$$\Omega_a = [\Omega_1 \ \Omega_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1 & \cdots & \int_{\gamma_{2g}} \omega_1 \\ \dots & & \dots \\ \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_g & \cdots & \int_{\gamma_{2g}} \omega_g \end{bmatrix} \quad (A, a) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^g / \Omega_a \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, J_{2g})$$

satisfies $\tau_a = \Omega_2^{-1} \Omega_1 \in \mathbb{H}_g$.

Analytic and geometric modular forms

$A = (A, a)$ p.p.a.v. of dimension g defined over $k \subset \mathbb{C}$.

$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ basis of $\Omega_k^1[A]$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}$ symplectic basis (for a) such that

$$\Omega_a = [\Omega_1 \ \Omega_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1 & \cdots & \int_{\gamma_{2g}} \omega_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_g & \cdots & \int_{\gamma_{2g}} \omega_g \end{bmatrix} \quad (A, a) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^g / \Omega_a \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, J_{2g})$$

satisfies $\tau_a = \Omega_2^{-1} \Omega_1 \in \mathbb{H}_g$.

Proposition

Let $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_g \in \omega_k[A]$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathbf{R}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$f((A, a)) = (2i\pi)^{gh} \frac{\tilde{f}(\tau_a)}{(\det \Omega_2)^h} \cdot \omega^{\otimes h}.$$

Then the map $\tilde{f} \mapsto f$ is an isomorphism $\mathbf{R}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C})$.

Analytic and geometric modular forms

$A = (A, a)$ p.p.a.v. of dimension g defined over $k \subset \mathbb{C}$.

$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ basis of $\Omega_k^1[A]$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}$ symplectic basis (for a) such that

$$\Omega_a = [\Omega_1 \ \Omega_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1 & \cdots & \int_{\gamma_{2g}} \omega_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_g & \cdots & \int_{\gamma_{2g}} \omega_g \end{bmatrix} \quad (A, a) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^g / \Omega_a \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, J_{2g})$$

satisfies $\tau_a = \Omega_2^{-1} \Omega_1 \in \mathbb{H}_g$.

Proposition

Let $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_g \in \omega_k[A]$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathbf{R}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$f((A, a)) = (2i\pi)^{gh} \frac{\tilde{f}(\tau_a)}{(\det \Omega_2)^h} \cdot \omega^{\otimes h}.$$

Then the map $\tilde{f} \mapsto f$ is an isomorphism $\mathbf{R}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C})$.

Notation : $f((A, a), \omega) = f((A, a)) / \omega^{\otimes h} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Thetanullwerte and the form $\tilde{\chi}_h$

Thetanullwerte of characteristic $(\varepsilon, \eta) \in \{0, 1\}^g \times \{0, 1\}^g$:

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \left[i\pi \left(n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \cdot \tau \cdot \left(n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right] \exp \left[i\pi \eta \cdot \left(n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right].$$

Even characteristics : $\varepsilon \cdot \eta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Theorem (Igusa-Ichikawa)

If $g \geq 3$, then

$$\tilde{\chi}_h(\tau) = \frac{(-1)^{gh/2}}{2^{2g-1}(2^g-1)} \cdot \prod_{\text{even}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \in \mathbf{R}_{g,h}(\mathbb{C}), \quad h = 2^{g-2}(2^g + 1).$$

$$\chi_h((A, a)) = (2i\pi)^{gh} \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\tau_a)}{(\det \Omega_2)^h} \cdot \omega^{\otimes h} \in \mathbf{S}_{g,h}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Main result

Theorem (Lachaud-R.-Zykin)

Let $A = (A, a)/k$ be a p.p.a.t. defined over a field $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Assume that a is indecomposable. Let $\omega \in \omega_k[A]$.

- ① $\chi_{18}((A, a)) = 0$ if and only if (A, a) is hyperelliptic.
- ② With the previous notation

$$\chi_{18}((A, a), \omega) = \frac{(2\pi)^{54}}{2^{28}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\text{even}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} (\tau_a)}{\det(\Omega_2)^{18}}$$

is a square in k^* if and only if (A, a) is a non hyperelliptic Jacobian.

Quadratic character given on $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ by

$$\varepsilon(\sigma) = \frac{d^\sigma}{d}, \quad d = \sqrt{\chi_{18}((A, a), \omega)}$$

First ingredient of the proof : action of twists

Let $\phi : (A', a')/k \longrightarrow (A, a)/k$ be a \bar{k} -isomorphism of p.p.a.v.

First ingredient of the proof : action of twists

Let $\phi : (A', a')/k \longrightarrow (A, a)/k$ be a \bar{k} -isomorphism of p.p.a.v.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g \in \Omega_k^1[A]$, $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_g \in \omega_k[A]$.

First ingredient of the proof : action of twists

Let $\phi : (A', a')/k \longrightarrow (A, a)/k$ be a \bar{k} -isomorphism of p.p.a.v.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g \in \Omega_k^1[A]$, $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_g \in \omega_k[A]$.

Let $\gamma_i = \phi^*(\omega_i)$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_g \in \omega_{\bar{k}}[A']$.

First ingredient of the proof : action of twists

Let $\phi : (A', a')/k \longrightarrow (A, a)/k$ be a \bar{k} -isomorphism of p.p.a.v.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g \in \Omega_k^1[A]$, $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_g \in \omega_k[A]$.

Let $\gamma_i = \phi^*(\omega_i)$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_g \in \omega_{\bar{k}}[A']$.

Let $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_g \in \Omega_k^1[A']$ and $\omega' = \omega'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega'_g$.

Let $M_\phi \in \text{GL}_g(\bar{k})$ the matrix of the basis (γ_i) in the basis (ω'_i) .

First ingredient of the proof : action of twists

Let $\phi : (A', a')/k \longrightarrow (A, a)/k$ be a \bar{k} -isomorphism of p.p.a.v.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g \in \Omega_k^1[A]$, $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_g \in \omega_k[A]$.

Let $\gamma_i = \phi^*(\omega_i)$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_g \in \omega_{\bar{k}}[A']$.

Let $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_g \in \Omega_k^1[A']$ and $\omega' = \omega'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega'_g$.

Let $M_\phi \in \mathrm{GL}_g(\bar{k})$ the matrix of the basis (γ_i) in the basis (ω'_i) .

Proposition

$$f \in \mathbf{S}_{g,h}(k), \quad f((A, a), \omega) = \det(M_\phi)^h \cdot f((A', a'), \omega').$$

Assume g odd, h even and $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$. Let $f \in \mathbf{S}_{g,h}(k)$ and $\phi : A' \longrightarrow A$ a non trivial quadratic twist. There exists $c \in k \setminus k^2$ such that

$$f((A, a), \omega) \equiv c^{h/2} \cdot f((A, a), \omega') \pmod{k^{*h}}.$$

Second ingredient : Teichmüller modular forms

M_g : moduli stack of smooth and proper curves of genus g

$$\pi : C_g \longrightarrow M_g \quad (\text{universal curve})$$

Ω^1 : rank g bundle induced by relative regular differential forms on C_g/M_g .

Relative canonical line bundle $\lambda_{C_g/M_g} = \bigwedge^g \pi_* \Omega^1$ over M_g :

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{C_g/M_g} \\ \downarrow \\ M_g \end{array} \quad \Omega_k^1[X] = H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes k), \quad \lambda_k[X] = \bigwedge^g \Omega_k^1[X].$$

Space of Teichmüller modular forms over R , genus g , weight h :

$$\mathbf{T}_{g,h}(R) = \Gamma(M_g \otimes R, \lambda^{\otimes h}).$$

As for Siegel modular forms, we define

$$f(X, \lambda) = f(X)/\lambda^{\otimes h} \in k$$

if $f \in \mathbf{T}_{g,h}(k)$ and λ is a basis of $\lambda_k[X]$.

The Torelli map $\theta : M_g \longrightarrow A_g$ satisfies $\theta^*\omega = \lambda$, and induces a linear map

$$\theta^* : \mathbf{S}_{g,h}(k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{g,h}(k)$$

such that $f((\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) = [\theta^*f](X, \theta^*\omega)$.

Theorem (Ichikawa)

There exists $\mu_{h/2} \in \mathbf{T}_{g,h/2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\theta^(\chi_h) = \mu_{h/2}^2$.*

The proof

Argument 2 implies that for any X/k in M_g :

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) = \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \in k.$$

The proof

Argument 2 implies that for any X/k in M_g :

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) = \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \in k.$$

Argument 1 implies that there is a $c \in k \setminus k^2$ and

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j)_\varepsilon, \omega') \equiv c^9 \cdot \chi_{18}(\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) \equiv c^9 \cdot \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \pmod{k^{*18}}$$

is not a square. □

The proof

Argument 2 implies that for any X/k in M_g :

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) = \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \in k.$$

Argument 1 implies that there is a $c \in k \setminus k^2$ and

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j)_\varepsilon, \omega') \equiv c^9 \cdot \chi_{18}(\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) \equiv c^9 \cdot \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \pmod{k^{*18}}$$

is not a square. □

By-products :

- ① Klein's formula : $\mu_9 = \pm \text{Disc}$;

The proof

Argument 2 implies that for any X/k in M_g :

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) = \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \in k.$$

Argument 1 implies that there is a $c \in k \setminus k^2$ and

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j)_\varepsilon, \omega') \equiv c^9 \cdot \chi_{18}(\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) \equiv c^9 \cdot \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \pmod{k^{*18}}$$

is not a square. □

By-products :

- ① Klein's formula : $\mu_9 = \pm \text{Disc}$;
- ② Beyond genus 3
 - cannot work for g even ;
 - need to find forms of weight h such that $h/2$ is odd ;
 - cannot take χ_h (because it is only a square and not more) ;
 - but another Klein's formula for a genus 4 curve X

$$\frac{\tilde{\chi}_{68}(\tau_a)}{\det(\Omega_2)^{68}} = c \cdot \Delta(X)^2 \cdot T(X)^8.$$

The proof

Argument 2 implies that for any X/k in M_g :

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) = \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \in k.$$

Argument 1 implies that there is a $c \in k \setminus k^2$ and

$$\chi_{18}((\text{Jac } X, j)_\varepsilon, \omega') \equiv c^9 \cdot \chi_{18}(\text{Jac } X, j), \omega) \equiv c^9 \cdot \mu_9(X, \theta^* \omega)^2 \pmod{k^{*18}}$$

is not a square. □

By-products :

- ① Klein's formula : $\mu_9 = \pm \text{Disc}$;
- ② Beyond genus 3
 - cannot work for g even ;
 - need to find forms of weight h such that $h/2$ is odd ;
 - cannot take χ_h (because it is only a square and not more) ;
 - but another Klein's formula for a genus 4 curve X

$$\frac{\tilde{\chi}_{68}(\tau_a)}{\det(\Omega_2)^{68}} = c \cdot \Delta(X)^2 \cdot T(X)^8.$$

- ③ Still works over finite fields of char $\neq 2 \dots$

... but how to compute it? An example

R. 09 : worked out the procedure in the case $A = E^3$ where E is an elliptic curve with CM.

- $\exists?$ optimal curve $C/\mathbb{F}_{47} : A = \text{Jac } C \sim E^3$ with E CM by $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ where $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$.

... but how to compute it? An example

R. 09 : worked out the procedure in the case $A = E^3$ where E is an elliptic curve with CM.

- $\exists?$ optimal curve $C/\mathbb{F}_{47} : A = \text{Jac } C \sim E^3$ with E CM by $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ where $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$.
- $Cl(\mathcal{O}) = 1 \Rightarrow A = E^3$.

... but how to compute it? An example

R. 09 : worked out the procedure in the case $A = E^3$ where E is an elliptic curve with CM.

- $\exists?$ optimal curve $C/\mathbb{F}_{47} : A = \text{Jac } C \sim E^3$ with E CM by $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ where $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$.
- $Cl(\mathcal{O}) = 1 \Rightarrow A = E^3$.
- a_0 the product polarization on A :

$$\{a \text{ p.p. on } A\} \longleftrightarrow \{M = a_0^{-1}a \in M_3(\mathcal{O}) \text{ positive definite hermitian of determinant } 1\}.$$

... but how to compute it? An example

R. 09 : worked out the procedure in the case $A = E^3$ where E is an elliptic curve with CM.

- $\exists?$ optimal curve C/\mathbb{F}_{47} : $A = \text{Jac } C \sim E^3$ with E CM by $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ where $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$.
- $Cl(\mathcal{O}) = 1 \Rightarrow A = E^3$.
- a_0 the product polarization on A :

$$\{a \text{ p.p. on } A\} \longleftrightarrow \{M = a_0^{-1}a \in M_3(\mathcal{O}) \text{ positive definite hermitian of determinant } 1\}.$$

- classification by Schiemann of such matrices for some orders. There is only one in this case :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & -2 + \tau \\ -1 & -2 + \bar{\tau} & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- lift E as a CM curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$: $\tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 - 152x - 722$;

- lift E as a CM curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 - 152x - 722 ;$
- find a period matrix associated to (\tilde{E}^3, a_0M) w.r.t. wedge product of the pull back ω_0 of the differential $dx/(2y)$ on $\tilde{E} :$

$$c_1(a_0M) = \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\omega_1\overline{\omega_2})} {}^t M$$

where $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ is a period matrix of \tilde{E} w.r.t. $dx/(2y) ;$

- lift E as a CM curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 - 152x - 722$;
- find a period matrix associated to (\tilde{E}^3, a_0M) w.r.t. wedge product of the pull back ω_0 of the differential $dx/(2y)$ on \tilde{E} :

$$c_1(a_0M) = \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\omega_1\overline{\omega_2})} {}^t M$$

where $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ is a period matrix of \tilde{E} w.r.t. $dx/(2y)$;

- compute an analytic approximation of

$$\chi_{18}((\tilde{E}^3, a_0M), \omega_0) = (2^{19} \cdot 19^7)^2;$$

- lift E as a CM curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$: $\tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 - 152x - 722$;
- find a period matrix associated to (\tilde{E}^3, a_0M) w.r.t. wedge product of the pull back ω_0 of the differential $dx/(2y)$ on \tilde{E} :

$$c_1(a_0M) = \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\omega_1\overline{\omega_2})} {}^t M$$

where $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ is a period matrix of \tilde{E} w.r.t. $dx/(2y)$;

- compute an analytic approximation of

$$\chi_{18}((\tilde{E}^3, a_0M), \omega_0) = (2^{19} \cdot 19^7)^2;$$

- since it is a square (over \mathbb{F}_{47}), such an optimal curve C exists.

Guàrdia (09) :

$$\tilde{C} : x^4 + (1/9)y^4 + (2/3)x^2y^2 - 190y^2 - 570x^2 + (152/9)y^3 - 152x^2y = 1083.$$

Values of $\chi = \chi_{18}(\tilde{E}^3, a_0M, \omega_0)$ \tilde{E} : Gross' models with discriminant d^3 (when class number is 1).

d	$M, \tau = (1 + \sqrt{d})/2$	χ	$\# \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}^3, a)$
-7	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & \tau & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$(7^7)^2$	$2 \cdot 168$
-19	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & -2 + \tau \\ -1 & -2 + \bar{\tau} & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^5 \cdot 19^7)^2 \cdot (-2)$	$2 \cdot 6$
-43	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 - \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 - \tau & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^6 \cdot 43^7)^2 \cdot (-47 \cdot 79 \cdot 107 \cdot 173)$	$2 \cdot 1$
-163	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -\bar{\tau} \\ 1 & 2 & 1 - \bar{\tau} \\ -\tau & 1 - \tau & 28 \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 163^7)^2 \cdot (-2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 127)$	$2 \cdot 6$
-15	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 + \bar{\tau} \\ -1 & 2 & 1 - \bar{\tau} \\ -1 + \tau & 1 - \tau & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$22769095299822142340569171645771726299/4 +$ $10182522603020834484863085151244322675 \cdot \sqrt{5}/4 +$ $4462640909353821881995695647429476869 \cdot \sqrt{-15}/4$ $+ 9978330617922886443823982755114202445 \cdot \sqrt{-3}$	$2 \cdot 24$

Values of $\chi = \chi_{18}(\tilde{E}^3, a_0M, \omega_0)$

\tilde{E} : Gross' models with discriminant d^3 (when class number is 1).

d	$M, \tau = (1 + \sqrt{d})/2$	χ	$\# \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}^3, a)$
-7	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & \tau & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$(7^7)^2$	$2 \cdot 168$
-19	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & -2 + \tau \\ -1 & -2 + \bar{\tau} & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^5 \cdot 19^7)^2 \cdot (-2)$	$2 \cdot 6$
-43	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 - \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 - \tau & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^6 \cdot 43^7)^2 \cdot (-47 \cdot 79 \cdot 107 \cdot 173)$	$2 \cdot 1$
-163	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -\bar{\tau} \\ 1 & 2 & 1 - \bar{\tau} \\ -\tau & 1 - \tau & 28 \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 163^7)^2 \cdot (-2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 127)$	$2 \cdot 6$
-15	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 + \bar{\tau} \\ -1 & 2 & 1 - \bar{\tau} \\ -1 + \tau & 1 - \tau & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$22769095299822142340569171645771726299/4 + 10182522603020834484863085151244322675 \cdot \sqrt{5}/4 + 4462640909353821881995695647429476869 \cdot \sqrt{-15}/4 + 9978330617922886443823982755114202445 \cdot \sqrt{-3}$	$2 \cdot 24$

Consequences :

$d = -19$: defect 0 curve for $q = 47, 61, 137, 277$ (see Top, Alekseenko et al.).

$d = -67$: defect 0 curve for $q = 23^3$.

Geometric interpretation of $\tilde{\chi}_{18}$

- Two remarkable geometric forms defined from even Thetanullwerte :
- the product $\tilde{\chi}_{18}$ (0 iff at least one Thetanullwerte is 0) ;
 - the 35th symmetric function $\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}$ of the 8th powers (0 if two Thetanullwerte are 0).

Geometric interpretation of $\tilde{\chi}_{18}$

Two remarkable geometric forms defined from even Thetanullwerte :

- the product $\tilde{\chi}_{18}$ (0 iff at least one Thetanullwerte is 0) ;
- the 35th symmetric function $\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}$ of the 8th powers (0 if two Thetanullwerte are 0).

Theorem (Igusa)

Let $(A, a) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3 / \tau_a \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z}^3 \in A_3(\mathbb{C})$.

- ① (A, a) is decomposable if and only if $\tilde{\chi}_{18}(\tau_a) = \tilde{\Sigma}_{140}(\tau_a) = 0$.
- ② (A, a) is a hyperelliptic Jacobian if and only if

$$\tilde{\chi}_{18}(\tau_a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_{140}(\tau_a) \neq 0.$$

- ③ (A, a) is a non hyperelliptic Jacobian if and only if $\tilde{\chi}_{18}(\tau_a) \neq 0$. □

An arithmetic version

Proposition (Ichikawa)

χ_{18} is primitive (i.e. it is not zero modulo any prime).

We need a similar result for $\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}$.

An arithmetic version

Proposition (Ichikawa)

χ_{18} is primitive (i.e. it is not zero modulo any prime).

We need a similar result for $\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}$.

Proposition

$$\Sigma_{140} = \frac{(2i\pi)^{140}}{2^{218}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}(\tau_a)}{\det(\Omega_2)^{140}} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3)^{\otimes 140}$$

is a primitive Siegel modular form of weight 140 over \mathbb{Z} .

An arithmetic version

Proposition (Ichikawa)

χ_{18} is primitive (i.e. it is not zero modulo any prime).

We need a similar result for $\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}$.

Proposition

$$\Sigma_{140} = \frac{(2i\pi)^{140}}{2^{218}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{140}(\tau_a)}{\det(\Omega_2)^{140}} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3)^{\otimes 140}$$

is a primitive Siegel modular form of weight 140 over \mathbb{Z} .

Conjecture : if $\chi_{18}((A, a)) = \Sigma_{140}((A, a)) = 0$ then (A, a) is decomposable.

Corollary : if the conjecture holds then Igusa's theorem is valid over finite fields as well.

Basic results

Mestre's observation : if $12 \mid \#Aut(\tilde{E}^3, a)$ then the primes which divide χ are the prime factors of $j(\tilde{E}) - 1728$ apart from 3.

Basic results

Mestre's observation : if $12 \mid \# \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}^3, a)$ then the primes which divide χ are the prime factors of $j(\tilde{E}) - 1728$ apart from 3.

Let $(A, a) = (\tilde{E}^3, a)$ with a indecomposable defined over a local ring S of a number field with residue field \mathbb{F} at a prime \mathfrak{p} .

Proposition ? : Assume that \tilde{E} has CM by \mathcal{O} maximal and $\tilde{E} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is smooth. If $(A, a) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is decomposable then $\tilde{E} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is supersingular. The converse is true if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 2$. In particular $\mathfrak{p} \mid \chi$.

Basic results

Mestre's observation : if $12 \mid \# \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}^3, a)$ then the primes which divide χ are the prime factors of $j(\tilde{E}) - 1728$ apart from 3.

Let $(A, a) = (\tilde{E}^3, a)$ with a indecomposable defined over a local ring S of a number field with residue field \mathbb{F} at a prime \mathfrak{p} .

Proposition ? : Assume that \tilde{E} has CM by \mathcal{O} maximal and $\tilde{E} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is smooth. If $(A, a) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is decomposable then $\tilde{E} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is supersingular. The converse is true if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 2$. In particular $\mathfrak{p} \mid \chi$.

Question : how to detect hyperelliptic reduction ?

	$\sqrt{-3}$	$\sqrt{5}$	results over \mathbb{F}_{193}
$d = -15 :$	-4	9	a defect 3 non hyperelliptic curve
	-4	-9	a defect 3 non hyperelliptic curve
	4	-9	a minimal defect 3 non hyperelliptic curve
	4	9	a hyperelliptic curve

To move out from the border by isogeny

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic not 2.

$E/k : y^2 = x(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)$ an elliptic curve and $A = E^3/W$ where $W \subset E^3[2]$ is a certain isotropic subgroup.

To move out from the border by isogeny

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic not 2.

$E/k : y^2 = x(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)$ an elliptic curve and $A = E^3/W$ where $W \subset E^3[2]$ is a certain isotropic subgroup.

Let a_0 be the product polarization on E^3 and a be the descent indecomposable p.p. on A from $2a_0$.

Theorem (simplest case of Howe-Leprevost-Poonen (2002))

(A, a) is a Jacobian if and only if $3\alpha + \beta \in k^2$.

To move out from the border by isogeny

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic not 2.

$E/k : y^2 = x(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)$ an elliptic curve and $A = E^3/W$ where $W \subset E^3[2]$ is a certain isotropic subgroup.

Let a_0 be the product polarization on E^3 and a be the descent indecomposable p.p. on A from $2a_0$.

Theorem (simplest case of Howe-Leprevost-Poonen (2002))

(A, a) is a Jacobian if and only if $3\alpha + \beta \in k^2$.

Question : can we say something about this value a priori ?

To move out from the border by isogeny

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic not 2.

$E/k : y^2 = x(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)$ an elliptic curve and $A = E^3/W$ where $W \subset E^3[2]$ is a certain isotropic subgroup.

Let a_0 be the product polarization on E^3 and a be the descent indecomposable p.p. on A from $2a_0$.

Theorem (simplest case of Howe-Leprevost-Poonen (2002))

(A, a) is a Jacobian if and only if $3\alpha + \beta \in k^2$.

Question : can we say something about this value a priori ?

In the same spirit, when $k = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

Theorem (Nart-R. (2008 and 2009))

If $n > 5$ is even then there is always a maximal curve ;

If n is odd and $[2\sqrt{2^n}] \equiv 1, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ there is always a maximal curve.

To move out from the border by change of polarization

Can we algebraically link the Thetanullwerte on A corresponding to two non isomorphic principal polarizations ?

Thank you for your attention !