

Terminal Examination - the 12/12/2022 (2h)

Documents are not allowed

Exercise 1 [About the basic rules of pseudo-differential calculus]. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $a(x, \xi) \in S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a symbol of order m . recall that the action of the pseudo-differential operator $\text{Op}(a) \equiv a(x, D)$ is given by

$$\text{Op}(a)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

1.1. We denote by $[\text{Op}(a), \partial_j]$ the commutator of $\text{Op}(a)$ with the partial derivative with respect to the j^{em} direction. Prove that $[\text{Op}(a), \partial_j]$ is a pseudo-differential operator and compute its symbol in terms of a .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(a)(\partial_j u) - \partial_j(\text{Op}(a)(u)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) (i\xi_j) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi)) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$[\text{Op}(a), \partial_j] = \text{Op}(-\partial_j a),$$

which can be tested on differential operators.

1.2. Same question for $[\text{Op}(a), x_j]$ where x_j is the multiplication operator by x_j .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(a)(x_j u) - x_j \text{Op}(a)(u) &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \partial_j \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int x_j e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^n} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi)) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int x_j e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$[\text{Op}(a), x_j] = \text{Op}(-i\partial_{\xi_j} a),$$

which again can be tested on differential operators.

Exercise 2 [About the localization of the wave front set]. Let $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a compactly supported distribution. We say that a direction $\xi \neq 0$ is in $\Upsilon(u)$ when there exists a conic neighborhood \mathcal{C}_1 of ξ such that \hat{u} is rapidly decreasing inside \mathcal{C}_1 . The complement of $\Upsilon(u)$ is denoted by $\Sigma(u) := \Upsilon(u)^c$. In what follows, we fix some $\xi \neq 0$ inside $\Upsilon(u)$.

2.0. Explain the sense of the sentence " \hat{u} is of at most polynomial growth", and then recall why \hat{u} is a smooth function of at most polynomial growth.

This means that

$$\exists p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |\hat{u}(\zeta)| \leq C \langle \zeta \rangle^p.$$

In the present case, since u is compactly supported, we have

$$\hat{u}(\zeta) = \langle u, e^{i\zeta \cdot} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}} = \langle u, \chi e^{i\zeta \cdot} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}}, \quad C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \chi \equiv 1 \text{ on } \text{supp } u.$$

On the other hand, since u is a tempered distribution, we can find some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\langle u, \chi e^{i\zeta \cdot} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}}| \leq \mathcal{N}_p(\chi e^{i\zeta \cdot}), \quad \mathcal{N}_p(\varphi) := \sup_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq p} \|x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \varphi\|_\infty.$$

Now, with R such that $\text{supp } \chi \subset B(0, R]$, it suffices to remark that

$$\|x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\chi e^{i\zeta x})\|_\infty \lesssim R^p \langle \zeta \rangle^p.$$

2.1. Explain the sense of the sentence " \hat{u} is rapidly decreasing inside \mathcal{C}_1 ".

With $\langle \zeta \rangle := (1 + \|\zeta\|^2)^{1/2}$, this means that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \exists C_N; \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{C}_1, \quad \|\hat{u}(\zeta)\| \leq C_N \langle \zeta \rangle^{-N}. \quad (1)$$

2.2. Prove that there is a conic neighborhood \mathcal{C}_2 of ξ and a constant $c \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\forall \eta \in \mathcal{C}_2, \quad \|\eta - \zeta\| \leq c \|\eta\| \implies \zeta \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

Indication : interpret the condition in terms of $\check{\xi} := \frac{\xi}{\|\xi\|}$, $\tilde{\eta} := \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|}$ and $\tilde{\zeta} := \frac{\zeta}{\|\zeta\|}$.

We have $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_1$ whenever $\tilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Thus, the statement can be reformulated as the existence of a conic neighborhood \mathcal{C}_2 of $\check{\xi}$ (which is a conic neighborhood of ξ) such that

$$\forall \tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{C}_2 \cap B(0, 1], \quad \|\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{\zeta}\| \leq c \implies \tilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

Since \mathcal{C}_1 is open, we can find some $c \in]0, 1[$ such that $B(\check{\xi}, 2c) \subset \mathcal{C}_1$. Define \mathcal{C}_2 as the conic neighborhood generated by $B(\check{\xi}, c[$ so that $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{C}_2 \cap B(0, 1]$ means that $\|\tilde{\eta} - \check{\xi}\| < c$. Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \|\tilde{\zeta} - \check{\xi}\| \leq \|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\eta}\| + \|\tilde{\eta} - \check{\xi}\| \leq \|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{\eta}\| + c \\ \|\tilde{\eta} - \check{\xi}\| \leq c \end{array} \right\} \implies \|\tilde{\zeta} - \check{\xi}\| \leq 2c,$$

which implies that $\tilde{\zeta} \in B(\check{\xi}, 2c) \subset \mathcal{C}_1$.

2.3. Let ϕ be in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.3.1. Prove and give a sense to the formula $\widehat{\phi u}(\eta) = F(\eta) + G(\eta)$ where

$$F(\eta) := \int_{\|\eta - \zeta\| \leq c\|\eta\|} \hat{\phi}(\eta - \zeta) \hat{u}(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad G(\eta) := \int_{\|\eta - \zeta\| \geq c\|\eta\|} \hat{\phi}(\eta - \zeta) \hat{u}(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

We have $\widehat{\phi u} = \widehat{\phi} \star \widehat{u}$ in the sense of distributions. Since $\widehat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the value of $\widehat{\phi u}(\eta)$ is well defined according to

$$\widehat{\phi u}(\eta) = \langle \widehat{u}(\cdot), \widehat{\phi}(\eta - \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}}. \quad (2)$$

The Fourier transform of a distribution with compact support (like in the case of u) is (see the question **2.0**) a smooth function of at most polynomial growth (the same applies to the derivatives), that is

$$\exists p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |\widehat{u}(\zeta)| = |\langle u, e^{i\zeta \cdot} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}}| \leq C \langle \zeta \rangle^p. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, $\widehat{\phi}$ is in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This property is conserved under the action of a (fixed) translation : $\widehat{\phi}(\eta - \cdot)$ is still in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The growth (3) is compensated by the decreasing of $\widehat{\phi}(\eta - \cdot)$ so that the product $\widehat{\phi}(\eta - \cdot) \widehat{u}(\cdot)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the dual product (2) can be interpreted as a usual integration which can then be separated into the above two integrals.

2.3.2. Prove that F is rapidly decreasing on \mathcal{C}_2 .

The condition $\|\eta - \zeta\| \leq c \|\eta\|$ implies that

$$\|\zeta\| \geq \|\eta\| - \|\eta - \zeta\| \geq (1 - c) \|\eta\|.$$

In view of the question 2.2, knowing that $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_2$, it also means that $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_1$ so that we can use (1) to obtain

$$|F(\eta)| \leq C_N \int_{\|\eta - \zeta\| \leq c\|\eta\|} |\widehat{\phi}(\eta - \zeta)| (1 - c)^{-N} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N} d\zeta \leq \tilde{C}_N \langle \eta \rangle^{-N}.$$

with

$$\tilde{C}_N := \frac{C_N}{(1 - c)^N} \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This holds true for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_2$, which gives the expected result.

2.3.3. By using Peetre's inequality

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle \eta \rangle^t \leq 2^{|t|} \langle \zeta \rangle^t \langle \eta - \zeta \rangle^{|t|},$$

prove that G is rapidly decreasing.

Since $\widehat{\phi}$ is rapidly decreasing and due to (3), we can assert that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |G(\eta)| \lesssim \int_{\|\eta - \zeta\| \geq c\|\eta\|} \langle \eta - \zeta \rangle^{-N} \langle \zeta \rangle^p d\zeta.$$

We take N in the form $N = n + 1 + p + q$ with q large. This becomes

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |G(\eta)| \lesssim \int_{\|\eta - \zeta\| \geq c\|\eta\|} \langle \eta - \zeta \rangle^{-q} \langle \eta - \zeta \rangle^{-n-1} \left(\frac{\langle \zeta \rangle}{\langle \eta - \zeta \rangle} \right)^p d\zeta.$$

On the domain of integration, we have $\langle \eta - \zeta \rangle^{-1} \leq c^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$ as well as (using Peetre's inequality with $t = -1$)

$$\frac{\langle \zeta \rangle}{\langle \eta - \zeta \rangle} \leq 2 \langle \eta \rangle.$$

There remains

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |G(\eta)| \lesssim \int_{\|\eta - \zeta\| \geq c\|\eta\|} c^{-q} \langle \eta \rangle^{-q} \langle \eta - \zeta \rangle^{-n-1} 2^p \langle \eta \rangle^p d\zeta \lesssim \langle \eta \rangle^{p-q}.$$

Just take $q = N + p$ with any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.3.4. Show that $\Sigma(\phi u) \subset \Sigma(u)$.

From questions 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3, we can infer that $\widehat{\phi u}$ is rapidly decreasing on \mathcal{C}_2 which implies that $\xi \in \Upsilon(\phi u)$. This is verified for all $\xi \in \Upsilon(u)$ so that $\Upsilon(u) \subset \Upsilon(\phi u)$ which, passing to the complement, is equivalent to $\Sigma(\phi u) \subset \Sigma(u)$.

2.3.5. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Prove that $\Upsilon(\chi v) \subset \Upsilon(\psi \chi v)$.

We cannot use directly the question 2.3.4 because $\psi \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, we can find some $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{\chi}$ is equal to one on the support of χ so that $\chi v \equiv \tilde{\chi} \chi v \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\tilde{\chi} \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from the question 2.2.4, we can assert that

$$\Upsilon(\chi v) \subset \Upsilon((\tilde{\chi} \psi) \chi v) \equiv \Upsilon(\psi(\tilde{\chi} \chi) v) \equiv \Upsilon(\psi \chi v).$$

2.4. Below, the symbol "WF" is for "Wave Front set". From the foregoing, deduce that

$$\forall \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad WF(\psi v) \subset WF(v).$$

It suffices to show that

$$(x, \xi) \notin WF(v) \implies (x, \xi) \notin WF(\psi v).$$

Fix some $(x, \xi) \notin WF(v)$. By definition, we can find some cutoff function $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi(x) \neq 0$ and such that $\widehat{\chi v}$ is rapidly decreasing in a conic neighborhood of ξ . In other words, $\xi \in \Upsilon(\chi v) \subset \Upsilon(\psi \chi v) \equiv \Upsilon(\chi(\psi v))$. It follows that $(x, \xi) \notin WF(\psi v)$.

Exercice 3 [About the square root of an elliptic operator]. Let a be a symbol which is in $S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ with $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that

$$\exists (c, R) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^2; \quad a(x, \xi) \geq c(1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{m/2} \quad \text{if} \quad \|\xi\| \geq R.$$

This is a classical proof (almost done during the course) using the symbolic calculus.

3.1. Prove that we can find an elliptic operator $b_0 \in S_{1,0}^{(m/2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$Op(a) - Op(b_0) \circ Op(b_0) \in S_{1,0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq R, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2R \leq |\xi|. \end{cases}$$

Take $b_0(x, \xi) := \sqrt{a}(x, \xi) \chi(\xi)$. Remark that a can take negative values for $|\xi| \leq R$. Thus, it is important here to localize out of the ball of radius R to be sure that \sqrt{a} is well defined. It is clear that $b_0 \in S_{1,0}^{m/2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}_+^*)$ and that b_0 is elliptic since

$$b_0(x, \xi) = \sqrt{a}(x, \xi) \geq \sqrt{c} (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{m/4} \quad \text{if } \|\xi\| \geq 2R.$$

On the other hand

$$Op(a) - Op(b_0) \circ Op(b_0) = Op(a - b_0^2) + Op(S_{1,0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

By construction, we have $a - b_0^2 = a(1 - \chi^2)$ so that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) = \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} C_\beta^\gamma \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x, \xi) \partial_\xi^{\beta-\gamma} (1 - \chi^2)(\xi),$$

which is a sum of products of functions in $S_{1,0}^{m-|\gamma|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and functions in $S_{1,0}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (because $\chi \equiv 1$ for large $|\xi|$). This implies that

$$Op(a) - Op(b_0) \circ Op(b_0) \in Op(S_{1,0}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) + Op(S_{1,0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset Op(S_{1,0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

3.2. We fix some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$. Show by induction that we can find symbols $b_k \in S_{1,0}^{(m/2)-k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 \leq k \leq N$ which are adjusted such that

$$Op(a) - Op(b_0 + \dots + b_N) \circ Op(b_0 + \dots + b_N) \in S_{1,0}^{m-N-1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By induction, with $b' = b_0 + \dots + b_k$, we can start with

$$Op(a) - Op(b') \circ Op(b') = Op(c), \quad c \in Op(S_{1,0}^{m-k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

It follows in particular that

$$R = Op(r) := Op(a) - Op(b' \sharp b') \in Op(S_{1,0}^{m-k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Due to the elliptic property of $b_0 \in S_{1,0}^{(m/2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that

$$|b_0(x, \xi)| \geq \sqrt{c} (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{m/4} \quad \text{if } \|\xi\| \geq 2R.$$

To obtain b' , we add to b_0 more decreasing symbols b_j (when $j \geq 1$). As a consequence

$$\exists (c', R') \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^2; \quad |b'(x, \xi)| \geq c' (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{m/4} \quad \text{if } \|\xi\| \geq R'.$$

We seek $b_{k+1} \in S_{1,0}^{(m/2)-k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$Op(a) - Op(b' + b_{k+1}) \circ Op(b' + b_{k+1}) \in Op(S_{1,0}^{m-k-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

or equivalently such that

$$r - 2b'b_{k+1} + b_{k+1}^2 \in S_{1,0}^{m-k-2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since $b_{k+1}^2 \in S_{1,0}^{m-2k-2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_{1,0}^{m-k-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this is the same as

$$r - 2b'b_{k+1} = g \in S_{1,0}^{m-k-2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For $\|\xi\| \geq R'$, it suffices to take $b_{k+1} = (r - g)/2b'$ and extend this function smoothly for smaller values of $\|\xi\|$ to recover some symbol $b_{k+1} \in S_{1,0}^{(m/2)-k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ allowing to recover the expected property.

Problème [About the canonical commutation relations]. We consider two unbounded self-adjoint operators A and B on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} satisfying the exponentiated commutation relation

$$(ECR) \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad e^{isA} e^{itB} = e^{-ist\hbar} e^{itB} e^{isA},$$

where \hbar is the reduced Planck constant. In what follows, we consider a function f which is in the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and which is real valued. We denote by \hat{f} its Fourier transform. We define the bounded operator $Q(f)$ by the formula

$$Q(f) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(s, t) U(s, t) ds dt.$$

P.1. Define $U(s, t) := e^{ist\hbar/2} e^{isA} e^{itB}$. Prove that

$$(CCR) \quad \forall (s, t, s', t') \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad U(s, t) U(s', t') = e^{-i\hbar(st' - ts')/2} U(s + s', t + t').$$

It suffices to apply the ECR to find

$$\begin{aligned} U(s, t) U(s', t') &= e^{i(st+s't')\hbar/2} e^{isA} (e^{itB} e^{is'A}) e^{it'B} \\ &= e^{i(st+s't')\hbar/2} e^{isA} (e^{is't\hbar} e^{is'A} e^{itB}) e^{it'B} \\ &= e^{i(st+2s't+s't')\hbar/2} e^{i(s+s')A} e^{i(t+t')B} \\ &= e^{i(st+2s't+s't')\hbar/2} e^{-i(s+s')(t+t')\hbar/2} U(s + s', t + t'). \end{aligned}$$

P.2. Show that $U(s, t)^* = U(-s, -t)$ (where the star $*$ is for the adjoint operation).

There are two possible proofs. Either, we can use the ECR to see that

$$U(s, t)^* = e^{-ist\hbar/2} e^{-itB} e^{-isA} = e^{-ist\hbar/2} e^{ist\hbar} e^{-isA} e^{-itB} = U(-s, -t).$$

Or we can remark that $U(s, t)$ is by construction a unitary operator whose inverse is the adjoint. Now, from the CCR, we have directly access to $U(s, t)U(-s, -t) = Id$.

P.3. Recall that f is real valued. Explain why $Q(f)$ is well defined and self-adjoint.

Since $U(s, t)$ is a unitary operator and f is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we can find some constant C such that $\|\hat{f}(s, t)U(s, t)\| \leq (1 + s^2 + t^2)^{-2}$ where $\|\cdot\|$ is for the norm operator. The integral is then absolutely convergent (in the sense of a **Bochner integral**), and we can compute

$$Q(f) - Q(f)^* = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\hat{f}(s, t)U(s, t) - \overline{\hat{f}(s, t)}U(s, t)^*] ds dt.$$

Since f is real valued, we have $\overline{\hat{f}(s, t)} = \hat{f}(-s, -t)$. From question P.2, we get

$$Q(f) - Q(f)^* = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\hat{f}(s, t)U(s, t) - \hat{f}(-s, -t)U(-s, -t)] ds dt = 0,$$

just by changing (s, t) into $(-s, -t)$ in the second integral.

P.4. Prove that $U(s, t)Q(f) := Q(f')$ where the function f' is defined by its Fourier transform which is given by

$$\hat{f}'(s', t') := e^{ih(s't - st')/2} \hat{f}(s' - s, t' - t).$$

From the CCR, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(s, t)Q(f) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(s', t')U(s, t)U(s', t') ds' dt' \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(s', t') e^{-ih(st' - ts')/2} U(s + s', t + t') ds' dt' \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(s'' - s, t'' - t) e^{-ih(s(t'' - t) - t(s'' - s))/2} U(s'', t'') ds'' dt'' \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ih(s''t - st'')/2} \hat{f}'(s'', t'') e^{-ih(s(t'' - t) - t(s'' - s))/2} U(s'', t'') ds'' dt''. \end{aligned}$$

After simplification of the exponential factors, we can recognize $Q(f')$.

P.5. Prove that we have

$$U(s, t)^*Q(f)U(s, t) = U(-s, -t)Q(f)U(s, t) = Q(g)$$

where the function g is such that $\hat{g}(s', t') = e^{ih(s't - st')/2} \hat{f}(s', t')$.

Exchanging the role of f and g and using question P.4., the above relation is equivalent to

$$U(s, t)Q(f)U(-s, -t) = Q(f')U(-s, -t) = Q(g).$$

It suffices to show that

$$(\#) \quad Q(f')U(-s, -t) = Q(f''), \quad \widehat{f}''(s', t') := e^{ih(s't - st')/2} \hat{f}'(s' + s, t' + t)$$

to recover that

$$\widehat{f''}(s', t') := e^{ih(s't-st')/2} e^{ih((s'+s)t-s'(t'+t))/2} \widehat{f}(s', t') = e^{ih(s't-st')} \widehat{f}(s', t')$$

as expected. Now, the proof of (#) follows the same lines as in question P.4.

P.6. Explain why we have $Q(f)Q(g) = Q(f \star g)$ for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ where $f \star g$ is the Moyal product described by

$$\widehat{f \star g}(s, t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ih(st'-ts')/2} \widehat{f}(s-s', t-t') \widehat{g}(s', t') ds' dt'.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f \star g) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ih(st'-ts')/2} \widehat{f}(s-s', t-t') \widehat{g}(s', t') ds' dt' \right) U(s, t) ds dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ih((s'+s'')t'-(t'+t'')s')/2} \widehat{f}(s'', t'') \widehat{g}(s', t') U(s'+s'', t'+t'') ds' dt' ds'' dt''. \end{aligned}$$

We can exploit the CCR in the form

$$U(s'+s'', t'+t'') = e^{ih(s''t'-t''s')/2} U(s'', t'') U(s', t'),$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f \star g) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(s'', t'') \widehat{g}(s', t') U(s'', t'') U(s', t') ds' dt' ds'' dt'' \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(s'', t'') U(s'', t'') ds'' dt'' \right) \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{g}(s', t') U(s', t') ds' dt' \right) \\ &= Q(f)Q(g). \end{aligned}$$

P.7. Let ϕ and ψ in \mathcal{H} as well as s and t in \mathbb{R} . We assume that f is such that $Q(f) = 0$. By exploiting the relation

$$0 = \langle U(s, t) \phi, Q(f) U(s, t) \psi \rangle,$$

show that the operator Q is injective on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

With g as in question P.5, we must have

$$0 = \langle U(s, t) \phi, Q(f) U(s, t) \psi \rangle = \langle \phi, U(-s, -t) Q(f) U(s, t) \psi \rangle = \langle \phi, Q(g) \psi \rangle.$$

In view of the definition of g , this is the same as

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ih(s't-st')} \widehat{f}(s', t') \langle \phi, U(s', t') \psi \rangle ds' dt'.$$

We can recognize above the Fourier transform of the continuous function

$$F(s', t') := \widehat{f}(s', t') \langle \phi, U(s', t') \psi \rangle$$

evaluated at the point $\hbar(-t, s)$. This must be zero for all values of (s, t) . By Fourier inversion formula, this is possible if and only if F is zero at all positions (s', t') . Now, for $\phi = U(s', t') \psi$ with $\|\psi\| = 1$, we find that

$$0 = F(s', t') = \widehat{f}(s', t') \langle U(s', t') \psi, U(s', t') \psi \rangle = \widehat{f}(s', t') \langle \psi, \psi \rangle = \widehat{f}(s', t'),$$

and therefore $f = 0$.