

CC2, correction

Documents are not allowed

Question. Let T be a densely defined operator which is symmetric and closable. Compare the operators T , T^* and \bar{T} , and then give a necessary and sufficient condition to be sure that T is self-adjoint.

We have $T \subset \bar{T} \subset T^$ and T is self-adjoint if and only if $T = \bar{T} = T^*$.*

Exercise 1. We work on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the standard inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int \bar{f}(x)g(x)dx$$

Select some non zero function $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, as well as some function $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which is not in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, define

$$\text{Dom}(T) := \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}); \int |f(x)\psi(x)|dx < +\infty \right\}, \quad T\psi = \langle f, \psi \rangle \psi_0$$

1.1. Explain why $\text{Dom}(T)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Since the function $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is locally integrable, the linear subspace $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in $\text{Dom}(T)$. On the other hand, $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore the same applies concerning $\text{Dom}(T)$.

1.2. Determine the domain $\text{Dom}(T^*)$ of the adjoint T^* of T .

By definition, $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(T^)$ if and only if the application*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(T) &\longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}) \\ \psi &\longmapsto \langle T\psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi, f \rangle \langle \psi_0, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Above, the number $\langle \psi_0, \varphi \rangle$ is a fixed constant. As a consequence of the Riesz representation theorem, the application $\psi \longmapsto \langle \psi, f \rangle$ is continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ on condition that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. But this is not the case. Thus, the only way to recover the continuity is to impose $\langle \psi_0, \varphi \rangle = 0$, which means that $\varphi \in \text{Vec}(\psi_0)^\perp$. In short, we have

$$\text{Dom}(T^*) = \text{Vec}(\psi_0)^\perp$$

1.3. Is the operator T closable or not ? Justify the answer.

From 1.1, we know that $\text{Dom}(T)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We have seen in the course that T is closable if and only if $\text{Dom}(T^)$ is dense. This is not the case in view of 1.2.*

Exercise 2. Let Ω be an open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H} &:= L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), & \langle f, g \rangle &:= \int_{\Omega} fg dx \\ \mathcal{V} &:= H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), & \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} &:= \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x f \cdot \nabla_x g dx + \int_{\Omega} fg dx \end{aligned}$$

with associated norms $\|f\| := \langle f, f \rangle$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$. Select f and V satisfying

$$f \in \mathbb{H} \quad ; \quad V \in (C^\infty \cap L^\infty)(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad ; \quad \operatorname{div} V := \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_{x_j} V \equiv 0.$$

Assume that $u \in \mathcal{V}$ is a solution in the sense of distributions to the equation

$$(V \cdot \nabla_x)u - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \tag{1}$$

2.1. Show that there exists a continuous coercive form Q on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\forall v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad Q(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle.$$

A simple integration by parts indicates that (1) is equivalent to

$$\forall v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad Q(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} (V \cdot \nabla_x)u v dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x v dx = \langle f, v \rangle.$$

The sesquilinear form Q is continuous on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ since

$$|Q(u, v)| \leq (1 + \|V\|_{L^\infty}) \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}}$$

The difficulty is to explain why it is coercive. Since V is divergence free, an integration by parts (which can be justified by a density argument) furnishes

$$\int_{\Omega} (V \cdot \nabla_x)u v dx = - \int_{\Omega} u (V \cdot \nabla_x)v dx \implies \int_{\Omega} (V \cdot \nabla_x)u v dx = 0$$

and therefore

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{V}, \quad Q(u, u) = \|\nabla_x u\|^2.$$

On the other hand, the Poincaré inequality guarantees that

$$\exists C \in [1, +\infty[; \quad \|u\| \leq C \|\nabla_x u\|$$

It follows that

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{V}, \quad |Q(u, u)| \geq \frac{1}{1 + C^2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2.$$

2.2. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Show that the equation (1) has a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$.

The Lax-Milgram theorem furnishes the existence of an operator $(\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ such that

$$\forall u \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad Q(u, v) = \langle \mathcal{L}u, v \rangle$$

The operator \mathcal{L} is bijective from $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$ onto \mathbb{H} . It suffices to take $u = \mathcal{L}^{-1}f$ to find a solution to (1), which is in $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{V}$. Let $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{V}$ be another solution. Then

$$\forall v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad Q(\tilde{u} - u, v) = 0 \implies 0 = Q(\tilde{u} - u, \tilde{u} - u) \geq \frac{1}{1 + C^2} \|\tilde{u} - u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2$$

which gives $\tilde{u} = u$.