

## Correction of the CC1 (the 28/10/2022)

**Exercice 1.** We denote by  $k_B \simeq 10^{-23}$  the Boltzmann's constant and by  $\hbar \simeq 10^{-16}$  the reduced Planck constant. Introduce  $\beta := 1/(k_B T)$  where  $T$  is the temperature. In Planck's model of blackbody radiation, the energy in a given frequency  $\omega$  of electromagnetic radiation is distributed randomly over all numbers of the form  $n\hbar\omega$  with  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The *likelihood*  $p(E = n\hbar\omega)$  of finding energy  $n\hbar\omega$  and the *expected value*  $\langle E \rangle$  of the total energy are assumed to be

$$p(E = n\hbar\omega) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta n\hbar\omega}, \quad \langle E \rangle := \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n\hbar\omega e^{-\beta n\hbar\omega}.$$

**1.1.** The number  $Z$  is a normalization constant which is chosen so that the sum over  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of the probabilities  $p(E = n\hbar\omega)$  is 1. What is the value of  $Z$  ?

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})^n = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}}.$$

**1.2.** Show that  $\langle E \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1}$ .

*Beginning with the formula for the sum of a geometric series (for  $0 \leq t < 1$ )*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n = 1/(1 - t),$$

*by differentiation, we obtain*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n t^{n-1} = 1/(1 - t)^2.$$

*Multiply this by  $t$  and replace  $t$  by  $t = e^{-s}$  to obtain*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n e^{-sn} = e^{-s}/(1 - e^{-s})^2.$$

*We can apply this with  $s = \beta\hbar\omega$  to find with question 1.1 that*

$$\langle E \rangle = (1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \hbar\omega \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n e^{-\beta n\hbar\omega} = (1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \hbar\omega \frac{e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}}{(1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})^2} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1}.$$

**1.3.** Could you explain why physicists observe for small frequencies  $\omega$  a linear behavior of  $\langle E \rangle$  with respect to the temperature whereas this behavior changes completely for large values of  $\omega$  (this change of behavior is in connection with the ultraviolet catastrophe).

For "small" frequencies  $\omega$ , we have  $\langle E \rangle \sim \beta^{-1} = k_B T$ . Since  $k_B$  is a constant whereas  $T$  can be modified, physicists have first established (and experimentally verified) linear laws expressing  $\langle E \rangle$  in terms of  $T$ . On the contrary, for "large" values of  $\omega$ , we find that  $\langle E \rangle \sim 0$  and the preceding linear laws are no longer applicable. This change of behavior is called the **ultraviolet catastrophe**. At the end of the 19th century, German physicist **Max Planck** heuristically derived the preceding formula (in question 1.2) for  $\langle E \rangle$  precisely by assuming that a hypothetical electrically charged oscillator in a cavity that contained black-body radiation could only change its energy in a minimal increment ( $\hbar\omega$ ). This discovery is of fundamental importance to quantum theory.

**Exercice 2.** On the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the two (unbounded self-adjoint) operators

$$X f(x) := x f(x), \quad P f(x) := -i\hbar \frac{df}{dx}.$$

**2.1.** Let  $(r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . What is the name of the theorem allowing to define  $e^{irX/\hbar}$  and  $e^{isP/\hbar}$  as bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  (circle your response) ?

**Stone's theorem**

**2.2.** Compute  $e^{irX/\hbar}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  as a multiplication operator

$$e^{irX/\hbar} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (irX/\hbar)^n f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (ir/\hbar)^n (X^n f(x)) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (irx/\hbar)^n \right) f(x).$$

This means that  $e^{irX/\hbar}$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}$  by multiplication by the function  $e^{irx/\hbar}$ , that is

$$e^{irX/\hbar} f(x) := e^{irx/\hbar} f(x).$$

**2.3.** Could you explain (at least formally) why  $e^{isP/\hbar}$  should be the translation operator given by  $e^{isP/\hbar} f(x) = f(x + s)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} e^{isP/\hbar} f(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (isP/\hbar)^n f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (is/\hbar)^n (P^n f(x)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (is/\hbar)^n (-i\hbar)^n f^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} s^n f^{(n)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

We can recognize on the right hand side the Taylor series of  $f(x + s)$ .

**2.4.** Show that  $e^{irX/\hbar} e^{isP/\hbar} = e^{-irs/\hbar} e^{isP/\hbar} e^{irX/\hbar}$ .

*On the one side, we have*

$$e^{irX/\hbar} e^{isP/\hbar} f(x) = e^{irX/\hbar} f(x+s) = e^{irx/\hbar} f(x+s).$$

*On the other side, we have*

$$e^{isP/\hbar} e^{irX/\hbar} f(x) = e^{isP/\hbar} (e^{irx/\hbar} f(x)) = e^{ir(x+s)/\hbar} f(x+s) = e^{irs/\hbar} (e^{irx/\hbar} f(x+s)).$$

*The standard form of the canonical commutation relations is given by  $[X, P] = i\hbar I$ . The question 2.4 furnishes the exponentiated form of this canonical commutation relation.*