# GAPS IN DYNAMICAL DEGREES FOR ENDOMORPHISMS AND RATIONAL MAPS 
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#### Abstract

We study the ratio of dynamical degrees $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)$ for regular, dominant endomorphisms of smooth complex projective surfaces, and obtain a gap property: for $\lambda_{2}(f) \leq D$, there is a uniform $\varepsilon(D)>0$ such that this ratio is never contained in $] 1,1+\varepsilon(D)[$. The proof is a simple variation on the main theorems of [6].


This version is longer than the one submitted for publication. Here, I include some of the proofs of [6], instead of just pointing to this paper.

## 1. DYNAMICAL DEGREES

Let $f$ be a dominant rational transformation of a smooth complex projective variety $X$. Let $m$ denote the dimension of $X$. Let $H$ be a hyperplane section of $X$. The dynamical degrees $\lambda_{k}(f)$ are defined for each dimension $0 \leq k \leq m$ by the following limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}(f)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left(\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} H^{k}\right) \cdot\left(H^{n-k}\right)\right)^{1 / n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $\cdot$ ) denotes the intersection product and $H^{k}=H \cdot H \cdots H$ (with $k$ factors $H$ ). Thus, $\lambda_{0}(f)=1$ and $\lambda_{m}(f)$ is the topological degree $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {top }}(f)$ (a positive integer since $f$ is dominant). The sequence $k \mapsto \lambda_{k}(f)$ is log-concave, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k-1}(f) \lambda_{k+1}(f) \leq \lambda_{k}(f)^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<k<m$. In particular, $\lambda_{m}(f)^{k / m} \leq \lambda_{k}(f) \leq \lambda_{1}(f)^{k}$. This proves the following well known result.

Theorem A. There is a uniform lower bound

$$
\lambda_{k}(f) \geq \lambda_{m}(f)^{k / m} \geq 2^{k / m}>1 \quad(\forall 1 \leq k \leq m)
$$

for every variety $X$ of dimension $m$ and every dominant rational transformation $f$ of $X$ with topological degree $\lambda_{m}(f)>1$.

For instance, when $f$ is an endomorphism of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ defined by polynomial formulas of degree $d$, one gets $\lambda_{k}(f)=d^{k}$ and the previous inequality is indeed an equality. This paper discusses whether a further uniform gap $\lambda_{1}(f)^{m} \geq \lambda_{m}(f)(1+\varepsilon)$ is satisfied for maps with $\lambda_{1}(f)^{m}>\lambda_{m}(f)$. We focus on the first interesting case, that is when $X$ is a surface.

## 2. GAPS FOR SURFACES ?

When $\operatorname{dim}(X)=2$, one gets $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}(f)^{2} \geq \lambda_{2}(f)$. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}(f)=1$, i.e. if $f$ is a birational map of $X$, then either $\lambda_{1}(f)=1=\lambda_{2}(f)$, or $\lambda_{1}(f) \geq \lambda_{L}$, where $\lambda_{L}$ is the Lehmer number: this is an important consequence of [5] proven in [1]. This inequality may be considered as a gap for dynamical degrees, since $\lambda_{L} \simeq 1.17628>1$.

With the Inequalities (1.2) in mind, one would like to compute the infimum $R(D)$ of $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)$ over all dominant rational maps of a given surface $X$ (resp. of any surface) with a given topological degree $\lambda_{2}(f)=D$ and a first dynamical degree $\lambda_{1}(f)>\sqrt{\lambda_{2}(f)}$. A less precise question is the following.
Question.- Fix an integer $D \geq 2$. Does there exist a constant $\varepsilon(D)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} \geq D(1+\varepsilon(D)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all dominant rational maps of surfaces with $\lambda_{2}(f)=D$ and $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}>\lambda_{2}(f)$ ?
If the answer is positive for some family of rational maps, we say that this family satisfies the gap property for $\lambda_{1}$. Theorem $B$ below provides such a gap for regular endomorphisms of smooth complex projective surfaces.

## 3. Monomial maps

Consider a monomial map $f:(x, y) \mapsto\left(\alpha x^{a} y^{b}, \beta x^{c} y^{d}\right)$, viewed as a rational transformation of the projective plane. Set $\tau=a+d$ and $\delta=a d-b c$, the trace and determinant of the $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
A_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{3.1}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

associated to $f$. Then $|\delta|=\lambda_{2}(f)$, and the spectral radius of $A_{f}$ is $\lambda_{1}(f)$; changing $A_{f}$ into $-A_{f}$ does not change the dynamical degrees, so we assume $\tau \geq 0$. The characteristic polynomial of $A_{f}$ is $\chi(t)=t^{2}-\tau t+\delta$. If its eigenvalues are complex conjugate, then $\lambda_{1}^{2}(f)=|\delta|=\lambda_{2}(f)$. So, we now assume that $\chi$ has two real roots. The largest one is $\lambda_{1}(f)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^{2}-4 \delta}\right)$; it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^{2}-2 \delta+\tau \sqrt{\tau^{2}-4 \delta}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, with $a=d$ and $b=c=1$ we obtain $\tau=2 a, \delta=a^{2}-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}}{\lambda_{2}(f)}=\frac{a+1}{a-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $a \rightarrow+\infty$, the limit is 1 . Thus, if $D=|\delta|$ is not fixed there is no gap for $\lambda_{1}$.
Now, if $D=|\delta|$ is fixed there is a gap:
Proposition 3.1. Let $D$ be an integer $\geq$. If $f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a dominant monomial map with $\lambda_{2}(f)=D$ and $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}>\lambda_{2}(f)$, the ratio $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)$ is bounded from below by $1+(2 D)^{-1}$.

Proof. As explained above, we may assume $\tau \geq 0$. Since the eigenvalues are distinct, $\tau \neq 0$ and $\tau^{2}-4 \delta \geq 1$; hence, $\tau \geq 1$. Using that $\lambda_{2}(f)=|\delta|$ and Equation (3.2), the lower bound $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f) \geq 1+(2 D)^{-1}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{2}-2 \delta+\tau \sqrt{\tau^{2}-4 \delta} \geq 2|\delta|+1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta<0$, this follows from $\tau \geq 1$. If $\delta>0$, we denote by $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the two eigenvalues of $A_{f}$, and we remark that (3.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-\beta)^{2}+\tau \sqrt{\tau^{2}-4 \delta} \geq 1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is always satisfied, because $\tau$ and $\delta$ are integers, and $\tau^{2}-4 \delta \geq 1$.
Remark 3.2. Similar examples can be obtained on abelian surfaces. For instance, for any elliptic curve $E$, one gets linear endomorphisms of $X=E \times E$ with $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)>1$ but arbitrary close to 1 .

## 4. REGULAR ENDOMORPISMS

Let us look at regular endomorphisms of projective surfaces. As explained in Section 3, we need to fix $\lambda_{2}(f)$ to some value $D$ in order to get a gap.

Theorem B. Let $D$ be a positive integer. There is a positive real number $\varepsilon(D)$ such that

$$
\frac{\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}}{\lambda_{2}(f)} \geq 1+\varepsilon(D)
$$

for every smooth complex projective surface $X$ and every dominant endomorphism $f$ of $X$ with $\lambda_{2}(f)=D$ and $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}>\lambda_{2}(f)$.

The proof occupies the rest of this section. So, $f$ will denote a dominant endomorphism of a smooth projective surface $X$. Since Theorem B is known for $D=1$, we shall always assume $2 \leq \lambda_{2}(f) \leq D$ for some fixed integer $D$.

The main arguments, described in § 4.2, are taken from the very nice paper [6] of Noboru Nakayama (see also the companion paper [4]). For all necessary results on dynamical degree, we refer to [2].
4.1. When a bound on $\rho(X)$ is satisfied. Since $f$ is dominant, $f_{*} f^{*}$ is the multiplication by $\lambda_{2}(f)$, so $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$ are isomorphisms of the Néron-Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(X ; \mathbf{Q})$. The dynamical degree $\lambda_{1}(f)$ is the spectral radius of $f^{*}$ on $\operatorname{NS}(X ; \mathbf{R})$ and is the largest eigenvalue of $f^{*}$ on $\mathrm{NS}(X ; \mathbf{R})$; as such, it is an algebraic integer.

Let $\rho(X)$ denote the Picard number of $X$, i.e. $\rho(X)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathrm{NS}(X ; \mathbf{Q})$.
Lemma 4.1. Let $D$ and $R$ be positive integers $>1$. There is a positive real number $\varepsilon(R, D)$ such that $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)>1+\varepsilon(R, D)$ for every smooth projective surface $X$ and every regular endomorphism $f$ of $X$ such that $\rho(X) \leq R, \lambda_{2}(f) \leq D$, and $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}>\lambda_{2}(f)$.

Proof. We can assume $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}>\lambda_{2}(f)$ and $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f) \leq 2$. Thus, $\lambda_{1}(f)$ is bounded from above by $\sqrt{2 D}$; since $\lambda_{1}(f)$ is the spectral radius of $f^{*}$, all eigenvalues of $f^{*}$ on $\operatorname{NS}(X ; \mathbf{C})$ have modulus $\leq \sqrt{2 D}$. So, the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{f^{*}}$ of $f^{*}: \mathrm{NS}(X ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X ; \mathbf{Z})$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients, of degree $R$, the coefficients of which are bounded from above by $C(R)(\sqrt{2 D})^{R}$ for some constant $C(R)$. This gives only finitely many possibilities for $\chi_{f^{*}}$, and the result follows.
4.2. Orbits of negative curves, following Nakayama. Consider the set $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$ of irreducible curves $C \subset X$ with $C^{2}<0$ (negative curves).

Pick $C \in \operatorname{Neg}(X)$ and set $C_{1}=f(C)$; let $a>0$ be the integer such that $f_{*}(C)=$ $a C_{1}$ ( $a$ is the degree of $f$ along $C$ ). If $C^{\prime}$ is another irreducible curve such that $f_{*}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=a^{\prime} C_{1}$ for some $a^{\prime}>0$ then $a C^{\prime}=a^{\prime} C$ in $\operatorname{NS}(X ; \mathbf{Q})$ because $f_{*}$ is injective. This implies that $C^{\prime}=C$ because $C^{2}<0$ and $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are irreducible and reduced. Thus, $f^{*} C_{1}=b C$ with $a b=\lambda_{2}(f)$; together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot C=b C \cdot C=C_{1} \cdot f_{*}(C)=C_{1} \cdot\left(a C_{1}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=\lambda_{2}(f) \quad \text { and } \quad C_{1}^{2}=(b / a) C^{2}<0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $f_{*}$ permutes the irreducible curves $C \subset X$ with negative self-intersection. This set of curves is, a priori, infinite, but we have

Lemma 4.2 (Nakayama, see Lem. 10 and Pro. 11 in [6]). Let $R(f)$ be the ramification divisor of $f$. Let $\operatorname{Neg}(X ; R(f))$ be the set of irreducible components of $R(f)$ with negative self-intersection. Let $C$ be an element of $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$.
(1) There is an integer $0 \leq m \leq \log \left(\left|C^{2}\right|\right)$ such that $f^{m}(C) \in \operatorname{Neg}(X ; R(f))$.
(2) If $C^{2}=-1$ then $C \in \operatorname{Neg}(X ; R(f)), f(C)^{2} \leq-\lambda_{2}(f)$, and $f^{m}(C) \in R(f)$ for a positive integer $m \leq \log \left(\lambda_{2}(f)\right)$.
(3) The set $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$ is finite.
(4) There is an integer $N>0$ such that $f^{N}(C)=C$ for every $C$ in $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Neg}(X)=\operatorname{Neg}\left(X ; R\left(f^{N}\right)\right)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove (1). With the above notation, the condition $C \subset R(f)$ is equivalent to $b \geq 2$. On the other hand, $b=1$ means $a=\lambda_{2}(f)$; and then $C_{1}^{2}=\lambda_{2}(f)^{-1} C^{2}>C^{2}$, hence $f^{m}(C) \subset R(f)$ for an $m \leq \log \left(-C^{2}\right) / \log (a)$.

This lemma shows that one can contract a sequence of $(-1)$-curves in an $f^{N_{-}}$ equivariant way to reach a minimal model of $X$ :

Theorem 4.3 (Nakayama). If $f$ is an endomorphism of a smooth projective surface $X$, there is an integer $N>0$, a birational morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow X_{0}$ onto a minimal model $X_{0}$ of $X$, and an endomorphism $f_{0}$ of $X_{0}$ such that $\pi \circ f^{N}=f_{0} \circ \pi$.

Remark 4.4. Since the dynamical degrees are invariant under birational conjugacy, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}}{\lambda_{2}(f)}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}\left(f_{0}\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{2}\left(f_{0}\right)}\right)^{1 / N} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

without any control on $N$, one can not deduce a gap for $f$ from a gap for $f_{0}$. But if $N$ and $\rho\left(X_{0}\right)$ are bounded, then we automatically get a gap from Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.1. So, we shall either control $N$ and $\rho\left(X_{0}\right)$, and for this we follow closely [6], or reduce the computation to the case of monomial maps (with the same value of $D$ ).

Let us replace $f$ by $g:=f^{N}$ to assume that $\operatorname{Neg}(X)=\operatorname{Neg}(X ; R(g))$ and that $g$ fixes each irreducible curve $C \subset R(g)$. From Equations (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain
(1) $\lambda_{2}(g)$ is a square: there is an integer $a_{g}>0$ such that $a_{g}^{2}=\operatorname{deg}_{t o p}(g)$;
(2) $g^{*}(C)=g_{*}(C)=a_{g} C$;
(3) the multiplicity of $C$ in $R(g)$ is $a_{g}-1$.

Thus, if we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{X}=\sum_{C \in \operatorname{Neg}(X)} C \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write $R(g)=\left(a_{g}-1\right) N_{X}+R^{+}(g)$ for some effective divisor $R^{+}(g)$, the components of which have non-negative self-intersection (these components are numerically effective). For $C$ in $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$, we obtain the following linear equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{X}+C \simeq g^{*}\left(K_{X}+C\right)+R^{+}(g)+\left(a_{g}-1\right)\left(N_{X}-C\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by adjunction formula, the ramification divisor $R\left(g_{\mid C}\right)$ of $g_{\mid C}: C \rightarrow C$ satisfies $R\left(g_{\mid C}\right) \simeq R^{+}(g)_{\mid C}+\left(a_{g}-1\right)\left(N_{X}-C\right)_{\mid C}$. And the Equality (4.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{g}-1\right)\left(K_{X} \cdot C+C^{2}\right)+R^{+}(g) \cdot C+\left(a_{g}-1\right)\left(N_{X}-C\right) \cdot C=0 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.5 (Nakayama, Lem. 13 of [6]).
(1) Let $C$ be an element of $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$. The arithmetic genus of $C$ is $\leq 1$, and if it is equal to 1 then $C$ is a connected component of the support of $R(g)$ (hence also of $N_{X}$ ), where $g=f^{N}$.
(2) A connected component of the support of $N_{X}$ is an irreducible curve, or a chain of rational curves, or a cycle of rational curves.

Proof. The arithmetic genus $p_{a}(C)$ is defined by $2 p_{a}(C)-2=K_{X} \cdot C+C^{2}$. Thus, Equation (4.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(a_{g}-1\right)\left(p_{a}(C)-1\right)=-\left(a_{g}-1\right)\left(N_{X}-C\right) \cdot C-R^{+}(g) \cdot C . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R^{+}(g)$ is numerically effective and $C$ has multiplicity 1 in $N_{X}$, we get $p_{a}(C)-1 \leq 0$, with equality if and only if $\left(N_{X}-C\right) \cdot C=0=R^{+}(g) \cdot C$. The first assertion follows.

Now, if $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are two elements of $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$ with $C \cdot C^{\prime}>0$, then the arithmetic genus of both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ is 0 ; this implies that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are smooth rational curves. Equation (4.7) implies that $C \cdot C^{\prime} \leq C \cdot\left(N_{X}-C\right) \leq 2$ and $R^{+}(g) \cdot C=0$ in case of equality. Thus, if $C \cdot C^{\prime}=2, C \cup C^{\prime}$ is a connected component of the support of both $N_{X}$ and $R(g)$. If, moreover, $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are tangent at some point $p$, then $R\left(g_{\mid C}\right)=\left(a_{g}-1\right) C_{\mid C}^{\prime}, g_{\mid C}^{-1}(p)=p$ with multiplicity $a_{g}=\operatorname{deg}_{t o p}\left(g_{\mid C}\right)$, and $g_{\mid C}$ is unramified on $C \backslash\{p\}$ : this is a contradiction because a polynomial transformation of the affine line of degree $a_{g}>1$ has at least one ramification point. Thus, if $C \cdot C^{\prime}=2, C \cup C^{\prime}$ is a cycle of two smooth rational curves and it coïncides with a connected component of the support of both $N_{X}$ and $R(g)$. If $C$ intersects another element $C^{\prime \prime}$ of $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$, then the two points of intersection are distinct, by the same argument, and $C \cdot R^{+}(g)=0$. Thus, a connected component of the support of $N_{X}$ is a chain or a cycle of smooth rational curves. If it is a cycle, it is also a connected component of the support of $R()$.
4.3. Rational surfaces. Assume that $X$ is rational. We follow the proof of Theorem 17 in [6]. If $\rho(X) \leq 3, \S 4.1$ shows that the endomorphisms of $X$ satisfy a gap for $\lambda_{1}$. Thus, we assume that $\rho(X) \geq 4$. Since $X$ is the blow-up of a minimal rational surface (the plane, the quadric, or a Hirzebruch surface), there is a fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ such that
(i) $B$ is the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and the generic fiber of $\pi$ is a projective line;
(ii) there is at least one singular fiber $F$;
(iii) every singular fiber is a tree of smooth rational curves with negative selfintersection;
(iv) there is at least one section $S$ of $\pi$ with self-intersection $S^{2}<0$.

Since $X$ admits an endomorphism with $\lambda_{2}(f)>1$, we also know that
(iii') every singular fiber is a chain of smooth rational curves with negative selfintersections.
Indeed, such a fiber is entirely contained in $N_{X}$. Since $S$ is also contained in $N_{X}$, we see that $N_{X}$ is connected and contains at least three irreducible components. Thus, Lemma 4.5 implies that (iii') holds and that
(v) $\pi$ has at most 2 singular fibers and $N_{X}$ is connected and is either a chain or a cycle of rational curves.

Case of a chain.- Assume that $N_{X}$ is a chain of rational curves. Either $f$ or $f^{2}$ fixes each irreducible component of $N_{X}$ (because $f(C) \cap f\left(C^{\prime}\right)=f\left(C \cap C^{\prime}\right)$ ). Thus, when contracting $(-1)$-curves, we can do it $f^{2}$-equivariantly up to a minimal model of $X$. Since a minimal rational surface satisfies $\rho\left(X_{0}\right)=2$, the gap follows from Remark 4.4.

Case of a cycle.- Now, assume that $N_{X}$ is a cycle of rational curves. There are two possibilities :
(1) $N_{X}$ is the union of two singular fibers $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ and two sections $S$ and $S^{\prime}$;
(2) $N_{X}$ is the union of the unique singular fiber $F$ of $\pi$ and two sections $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, with $S \cap S^{\prime}=\{p\}$ for some $p \notin F$.

In the first case, we can contract $(-1)$-curves contained in the two singular fibers to reach a minimal model $\eta: X \rightarrow X_{0}$ on which $g:=f^{N}$ induces an endomorphism $g_{0}$ and $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ induces a rational fibration $\pi_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow B$ such that

- $F_{0}:=\eta(F), F_{0}^{\prime}:=\eta\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ are two (smooth) fibers of $\pi_{0}, S_{0}:=\eta(S)$ and $S_{0}^{\prime}:=\eta\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ are two sections of $\pi_{0}$;
- $f$ induces a rational transformation $f_{0}$ of $X_{0}$ such that $f_{\mid X_{0} \backslash R\left(g_{0}\right)}$ is regular and $f_{0}^{N}=g_{0}$;
- $F_{0} \cup F_{0}^{\prime} \cup S_{0} \cup S_{0}^{\prime}$ is $f_{0}$-invariant and coïncides with $R\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Then, the complement of $R\left(g_{0}\right)$ in $X_{0}$ is a torus $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ on which $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$ act as regular endomorphisms. The restriction of $f_{0}$ to $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{C}^{\times}$ is monomial: one can find integers $a, b, c, d$ and elements $\alpha, \beta$ in $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ such that $f_{0}(x, y)=\left(\alpha x^{a} y^{b}, \beta x^{c} y^{d}\right)$. From Section 3, we know that such transformations satisfy the gap property for $\lambda_{1}$.

Let us show that the second case does not occur. We shall need the following lemma (see Lem. 16 of [6]).

Lemma 4.6. Let $U=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} C_{i}$ be an effective divisor on a smooth projective surface such that $a_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and the $C_{i}$ form a chain of smooth rational curves starting with $C_{1}$ and ending with $C_{k}$. If

$$
K_{X} \cdot U+2=0 \text { and } U \cdot C_{i}=0 \text { for all } i
$$

then $a_{1}=a_{k}=1, C_{i}^{2}=-1$ for some $i<k$ and $C_{j}^{2}=-1$ for some $j>1$.
Proof. From $U \cdot C_{i}=0$ we get $a_{1} C_{1}^{2}+a_{2}=0, a_{k-1}+a_{k} C_{k}^{2}=0$ and $a_{i-1}+a_{i} C_{i}^{2}+$ $a_{i+1}=0$ for $1<i<k$. This implies that $C_{i}^{2}<0$ for all $i$ and that $a_{1}$ divides $a_{j}$ for every $j \geq 1$. From $K_{X} \cdot U+2=0$ we deduce that $a_{1}$ is equal to 1 or 2 . If $a_{1}=2$, then $U_{0}=(1 / 2) U$ is an effective divisor such that $K_{X} \cdot U_{0}=-1$ and $U_{0}^{2}=0$, so that the arithmetic genus of $U_{0}$ should be $1 / 2$, and we get a contradiction. So $a_{1}=1$ and by symmetry $a_{k}=1$ as well.

If $C_{i}^{2} \leq-2$ for each $i>1$, then $K_{X} \cdot C_{i} \geq 0$ for each $i>1$ (by the genus formula) and $2+K_{X} \cdot U \geq 2+K_{X} \cdot C_{1}=-C_{1}^{2}$, which gives $C_{1} \geq 2$, a contradiction.

Let $F$ be the singular fiber of $\pi$. Then $F=\sum_{i} a_{i} C_{i}$ for a chain of rational curves $C_{i}$, and moving $F$ to a nearby smooth fiber we see that $F \cdot C_{i}=0$ for each $i$, and $K_{X} \cdot F=-2$. Thus, Lemma 4.6 can be applied to $U=F$.

First, one applies this lemma to contract a $(-1)$-curve contained in $F$ that does not intersect $S^{\prime}$; then we repeat this step until we reach a model $X_{1}$ of $X$ in which the image $S_{1}$ of $S$ satisfies $S_{1}^{2}=0$. This is always possible, at least after permutation of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, since otherwise we would reach a minimal model $X_{0}$ with two sections of negative self-intersection, but no such surface exists.

Then, one applies Lemma 4.6 to contract $(-1)$ curves of the singular fiber that do not intersect $S_{1}$ in order to reach a relatively minimal model $X_{0}$ of $X$ in which $S$ becomes a section $S_{0}$ with $S_{0}^{2}=0$ and $S^{\prime}$ provides a second section $S_{0}^{\prime}$.

The existence of a section $S_{0}$ with self-intersection 0 implies that $X_{0}$ is $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since $S_{0}^{\prime}$ intersects $S_{0},\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \geq 2$. By construction, $q_{0}:=F_{0} \cap S_{0}^{\prime}$ is not contained in $S_{0}$. Consider the section containing $q_{0}$ which is horizontal, i.e. linearly equivalent to $S_{0}$. This section is not $S_{0}$ and, its self-intersection being 0 , it is not equal to $S_{0}^{\prime}$ either. Its proper transform in $X$ is a negative curve; this proper transform should be in $\operatorname{Neg}(X)$, and we get a contradiction.
4.4. Ruled surfaces. If $X$ is ruled but not rational, the Albanese map $\alpha: X \rightarrow B$ is a surjective morphism onto a curve $B$ of genus $\geq 1\left({ }^{1}\right)$. There is an endomorphism

[^0]$f_{B}$ of $B$ such that $\alpha \circ f=f_{B} \circ \alpha$; in particular, each fiber $X_{b}:=\alpha^{-1}(b)$ is mapped to the fiber $X_{f_{B}(b)}$ by $f$. Then $\lambda_{1}\left(f_{B}\right)$ is an integer, the topological degree $\delta$ of $f_{\mid X_{b}}: X_{b} \rightarrow X_{f_{B}(b)}$ for a generic point $b \in B$ is also an integer, and we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(f)=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}\left(f_{B}\right), \delta\right\} \text { and } \lambda_{2}(f)=\lambda_{1}\left(f_{B}\right) \delta ; \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

see [3] for the general setting of rational maps permuting the fibers of a fibration. Thus, we obtain the gap property for $\lambda_{1}(f)$ with $\varepsilon(D)=\frac{1}{D-1}$, i.e. $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} \geq$ $\lambda_{2}(f)\left(1+\frac{1}{D-1}\right)$ if $\lambda_{2}(f) \leq D$ and $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}>\lambda_{2}(f)$.
4.5. Surfaces with non-negative Kodaira dimension. Assume that $\operatorname{kod}(X) \geq 0$. Since every dominant rational transformation of a surface $X$ of general type is a birational transformation of finite order, we have $\operatorname{kod}(X) \in\{0,1\}$.

When $\operatorname{kod}(X)=1$ the Kodaira-Iitaka fibration $\Phi: X \rightarrow B$ maps $X$ onto a smooth curve $B$ and there is an automorphism $f_{B}$ of $B$ such that $\Phi \circ f=f_{B} \circ \Phi$; by a superb theorem of Noboru Nakayama and De-Qi Zhang, $f_{B}$ has finite order (see [7]). Then, one easily shows that $\lambda_{1}(f)=\lambda_{2}(f)$. In particular, $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2}=$ $\lambda_{2}(f)^{2}$ and we have a gap property as in Theorem B with $\varepsilon(D)=D-1$.

When $\operatorname{kod}(X)=0$, the unique minimal model $X_{0}$ of $X$ must be a torus, a hyperelliptic surface, an Enriques or a K3 surface. Up to multiplication by an element of $\mathbf{C}^{\times}$, there is a unique non-zero section $\Omega$ of $K_{X}, f^{*} \Omega=\delta \Omega$ with $\delta^{2}=\lambda_{2}(f)$, and the exceptional locus of the birational morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow X_{0}$ is the zero locus of $\Omega$. Thus, $f$ preserves this locus and induces a regular endomorphism of $X_{0}$. Since K3 and Enriques surfaces do not admit endomorphisms with $\lambda_{2}(f)>1$, we have $\rho\left(X_{0}\right) \leq 6$ (it would be $\leq 22$ for K3 surfaces). Thus, the gap property follows when $\operatorname{kod}(X)=0$.
4.6. Conclusion. The last three subsections establish the gap property when $X$ is rational, when $X$ is ruled but not rational, and when $\operatorname{kod}(X) \geq 0$. From the classification of surfaces, this covers all possible cases, and Theorem B is proven.

## 5. Final comments

5.1. It would be nice to determine the infimum of $\lambda_{1}(f)^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)$ for dominant endomorphisms of complex projective surfaces with a fixed $\lambda_{2}(f)=D$, say for $D=2,3,4$. The proof of Theorem B shows that this is a tractable problem.
5.2. It seems reasonable to expect that Theorem B extends to projective surfaces over fields of positive characteristic, and to singular surfaces too.
5.3. As explained in § 2, the natural question is to decide whether a similar gap property holds for rational transformations of surfaces. This question was originally asked by Curtis T. McMullen, who also suggested Theorem B in a private communication. The difficult case is the one of rational transformations of the projective plane. I don't know what to expect in this more general context (see [1] for birational maps).
5.4. One can also ask similar questions for any fixed pair $\left(\operatorname{dim}(X), \operatorname{deg}_{t o p}(f)\right)=$ $(m, D)$, the first ratios to consider being $\lambda_{1}(f)^{m} / \operatorname{deg}_{\text {top }}(f)$ and $\lambda_{1}^{2} / \lambda_{2}(f)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Moreover, by a theorem of M. Segami, $\alpha$ endows $X$ with the structure of a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle, i.e. $X$ is ruled and the ruling is relatively minimal (see Pro. 14 of [6]).

