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#### Abstract

We investigate properties of subspace subcodes of Gabidulin codes. They are isomorphic to Gabidulin codes with the same minimum rank distance and smaller parameters. We design systematic encoding and decoding algorithms for subspace subcodes. We show that the direct sum of subspace subcodes of Gabidulin codes is isomorphic to the direct product of Gabidulin codes with smaller parameters. Thanks to this structure there is a great deal of correctable error-patterns whose rank exceeds the error-correcting capability. Finally we show that for particular sets of parameters, subfield subcodes of Gabidulin codes can be uniquely characterised by elements of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(G F(q))$ of non-singular $q$-ary matrices of size $n$.


## 1. Introduction

Gabidulin codes were introduced in [8]. They form a family of optimal codes for the so-called rank distance. There are polynomial-time algorithms decoding errors of rank less or equal to their error-correcting capability $[8,9,24,23,16]$. Gabidulin codes and more generally codes correcting rank errors found their first applications when data were stored on tapes, and errors occurred along specific rows or columns of the arrays, [4, 24, 3].

Recently, interest in Gabidulin codes was reactivated in two main fields:

- In the field of space-time coding: Codes with optimal rate-diversity tradeoff are sets of matrices over a finite constellation and such that, for a given size of the code, the matricial rank between two codewords is maximized. Lu and Kumar showed how to construct such codes from Gabidulin codes, [17].
- In the field of random network coding: Errors and erasures occur in some definite vector space of upper-bounded dimension. Suitable codes with efficient decoding algorithms can be constructed from Gabidulin codes, [25].
Apart from applications in coding theory, rank distance and the family of Gabidulin codes have been employed in the design of McEliece-like public-key cryptosystems, see [11]. The motivation for the use of codes correcting rank errors is that for similar parameters the work factor of decoding a random linear code in rank metric is larger than in Hamming metric, see [5, 20]. Therefore this enables to use smaller public keys than in the original system.

For the same reason that GRS codes shall not be used in cryptographic applications without being properly scrambled, Gabidulin codes have to be scrambled before being used. A procedure for scrambling was proposed in the original paper [11] and others were proposed recently, see $[19,15,1]$. It was shown however that the structure of Gabidulin codes could be recovered by an attacker if the parameters of the scrambler were not chosen adequately. Thus the public-key

[^0]size must be increased and it reduces the interest of using rank distance, rather than Hamming distance, [21, 22].

Because of growing importance of rank distance and decodable codes for rank distance in modern applications, it is of interest to study the structure of codes derived from Gabidulin codes like subspace and subfield subcodes, not only for themselves but in the perspective of finding other classes of good codes for coding and cryptographic applications.

In Hamming metric the mere question of determining the minimum distance of a subfield subcode remains open. For the generic case, only bounds were obtained, [6, 27, 2]. For the wider family of subspace subcodes, the same type of bounds can be obtained, [13]. In the case of ReedSolomon codes, the bounds were improved at the price of a more complicated formula involving the structure of the chosen subspace, [18, 12]. The problem of efficiently encoding information with subspace subcodes was investigated in the case of bit shortened Reed-Solomon codes and in the more general case of linear MDS codes [26, 7]. In the latter case the authors designed a very efficient systematic encoding algorithm. This algorithm is non optimal in the sense that it does not always encode all the theoretically possible amount of information.

This paper extends the results previously obtained and presented in [10] and shows that in some cases questions remaining open for subspace subcodes of Reed-Solomon codes in Hamming metric can be answered for Gabidulin codes in rank metric: When the length of the parent Gabidulin code is equal to the degree of the alphabet field, there exists a rank-preserving isomorphism between the subspace subcode and a Gabidulin code with smaller parameters. In that case we design a systematic procedure encoding all the possible information as well as a decoding algorithm correcting up to the capability of the subspace subcode. Then, we generalise the results to the direct sum of subspace subcodes and show that the number of decodable error-patterns is larger than what is theoretically possible for a code with the same parameters, but without this additional structure. Finally we prove that subfield subcodes of Gabidulin codes can be seen, modulo the action of the general linear group, as the direct sum of Gabidulin codes with smaller parameters.

## 2. Subspace subcodes in Rank metric

This section is an introductory section: in a first part, we introduce rank metric and Gabidulin codes. In a second part, we recall the definition and general properties of subspace subcodes.
2.1. Codes in rank metric. Let $G F(q)$ be the field with $q$ elements and let $G F\left(q^{m}\right), m \geq 1$ be the extension field with $q^{m}$ elements. In the following, we regard $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ either as a field or as an $m$-dimensional vector space over $G F(q)$.

Definition 1 (Rank of a vector, see [8]).
Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ be a basis of $G F\left(q^{m}\right) / G F(q)$ and let $\mathbf{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in G F\left(q^{m}\right)^{n}$. The rank of $\mathbf{e}$ over $G F(q)$ is by definition the rank of the matrix $\left(e_{i j}\right)_{i=1, j=1}^{m, n}$, where $e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i j} \gamma_{j}$. The rank of vector $\mathbf{e}$ is written $R k(\mathbf{e})$.

Given a code $\mathcal{C} \subset G F\left(q^{m}\right)^{n}$, its minimum rank distance is

$$
d \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \min _{\mathbf{c}_{1} \neq \mathbf{c}_{2} \in \mathcal{C}}\left(\operatorname{Rk}\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}-\mathbf{c}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

DEFINITION 2. $A$ code $\mathcal{C}$ is a $(n, M, d)_{r}$ code over $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ if

- $\mathcal{C} \subset G F\left(q^{m}\right)^{n}$
- $|\mathcal{C}|=M$
- $\mathcal{C}$ has minimum rank distance $d$

Moreover if $\mathcal{C}$ is a $k$-dimensional linear code, it is said to be a $[n, k, d]_{r}$ code. The parameters are related by an equivalent of Singleton bound for rank distance see [8, 19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq q^{\min (m(n-d+1), n(m-d+1))} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

And a code satisfying the equality $M=q^{\min (m(n-d+1), n(m-d+1))}$ is called a Maximum Rank Distance (MRD) code.

Let $[i] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} q^{i}$, when $i \geq 0$ and $[i] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} q^{m+i}$ when $i<0$. Let $n \leq m$ and

$$
\mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
g_{1} & \cdots & g_{n}  \tag{2.2}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g_{1}^{[k-1]} & \cdots & g_{n}^{[k-1]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ are linearly independent elements over $G F(q)$. The code $\mathcal{G}$ generated by $\mathbf{G}$ is called a Gabidulin code, [8]. A parity-check matrix $\mathbf{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ has the same structure as $\mathbf{G}$ :

$$
\mathbf{H}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
h_{1} & \cdots & h_{n}  \tag{2.3}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_{1}^{[d-2]} & \cdots & h_{n}^{[d-2]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for elements $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ linearly independent over $G F(q)$. The code $\mathcal{G}$ is an MRDcode and there are efficient polynomial-time decoding algorithms correcting errors of rank up to $C=\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$, see $[8,9,24,23,16]$.
2.2. Subspace subcodes in rank metric.

Definition 3 (see [13], for instance). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$. Let $V_{s}$ $(s \leq m)$ be an $s$-dimensional subspace of $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$. Then

$$
\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{G} \cap V_{s}^{n}
$$

is an $G F(q)$-linear subspace of $\mathcal{G}$ called subspace subcode or subgroup subcode of $\mathcal{G}$ restricted to $V_{s}$.

The following proposition lower bounds the cardinality of $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$ :
Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $n, k, d]_{r}$ code over $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$. Let $V_{s}(s \leq m)$ be an $s$-dimensional subspace of $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$. If $n s-m(n-k)>0$ then

$$
q^{n s-m(n-k)} \leq\left|\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)\right|
$$

A similar result was already proved in [13]. The principle of the proof is the following:
Let $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in V_{s}^{n}$ and let $\mathbf{b}=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ be a bases of $V_{s}$. The vector $\mathbf{c}$ is uniquely decomposable under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b} U=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right) U \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=\left(U_{i, t}\right)_{i=1, t=1}^{s, n} \in G F(q)^{s \times n}$. Let $\mathbf{H}=\left(h_{j, t}\right)_{j=1, t=1}^{n-k, n}$ be a parity-check matrix of $\mathcal{G}$. We have

$$
\mathbf{c} \in\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b} U  \tag{2.5}\\
\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right) U \mathbf{H}^{T}=\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $U$ is a $q$-ary matrix of size $s \times n$. By developing the equation we obtain the following linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall j=1, \ldots, n-k, \quad \sum_{i=1, t=1}^{s, n} \underbrace{\beta_{i} h_{j, t}}_{\in G F\left(q^{m}\right)} \underbrace{U_{i, t}}_{\in G F(q)}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unknowns are the $U_{i, t}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$ and $t=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ be a basis of $G F\left(q^{m}\right) / G F(q)$. We can write

$$
\forall i, j, t, \quad \beta_{i} h_{j, t}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_{i, t}^{(j, k)} \gamma_{k}
$$

where $\delta_{i, t}^{(j, k)} \in G F(q)$. Therefore solving (2.6) in $G F(q)$ is equivalent to solving the following linear system:

$$
\forall j=1, \ldots, n-k, \forall k=1, \ldots, m \quad \sum_{i=1, t=1}^{s, n} \delta_{i, t}^{(j, k)} U_{i, t}=0
$$

It is a linear system in $s n$ unknowns and $m(n-k)$ equations. Therefore the space of solution has dimension at least $s n-m(n-k)$.

## 3. Subspace subcodes of Gabidulin codes

In the case where $\mathcal{G} \subset G F\left(q^{m}\right)^{n}$ is a Gabidulin code, we deduce an upper-bound on the cardinality of subspace subcodes. If $n=m$, we show that subspace subcodes of Gabidulin codes are MRD, and we show how to design specific encoding and decoding algorithms for subspace subcodes of Gabidulin codes.

### 3.1. Size of subspace subcodes of Gabidulin codes.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Gabidulin code over $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ with parity-check matrix (2.3). Let $V_{s}(s \leq m)$ be an $s$-dimensional subspace of $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$. We have

$$
q^{n s-m(d-1)} \leq\left|\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)\right| \leq q^{m(s-d+1)}
$$

Proof. The lower bound comes from proposition 1: A Gabidulin code being an MRD-code we have $d-1=n-k$.

The mapping

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G F\left(q^{m}\right) & \rightarrow G F\left(q^{m}\right) \\
x & \mapsto x^{[i]}
\end{array}
$$

is $G F(q)$-linear. Therefore, solving (2.5) is equivalent to solving

$$
\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right) \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta_{1}^{[m]} & \ldots & \beta_{1}^{[m-d+2]}  \tag{3.7}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\beta_{s}^{[m]} & \ldots & \beta_{s}^{[m-d+2]}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{H}_{V_{s}}^{T}}=\mathbf{0}
$$

where $v_{1}=\sum_{t=1}^{n} U_{1, t} h_{t}, \ldots, v_{s}=\sum_{t=1}^{n} U_{s, t} h_{t} \in G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ are the unknowns. This implies that $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$ can be regarded as an $G F(q)$-linear subcode of the $[s, s-d+1, d]_{r}$ Gabidulin code with parity-check matrix $\mathbf{H}_{V_{s}}$.

Definition 4 (Parent Code). The linear code over $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ with parity-check matrix $\mathbf{H}_{V_{s}}$ is called the parent code of $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$. It is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$.

If $n=m$ both inequalities of proposition 2 match and $\left|\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)\right|=q^{m(s-d+1)}$. In that case $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$ is an MRD code. In the following we will suppose that $m=n$.

Proposition 3. Let $m=n$, let $\mathcal{G} \subset G F\left(q^{n}\right)^{n}$ be the Gabidulin code with parity-check matrix (2.3). Let $\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ be the first row. Let $V_{s}$ be an $s$-dimensional subspace of $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ and let $\mathbf{b}=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ be a basis of $V_{s}$. The mapping

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f_{\mathbf{b}}: & V_{s}^{n} & \rightarrow G F\left(q^{n}\right)^{s} \\
& \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b} U & \mapsto & f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})=\mathbf{h} U^{T}
\end{array}
$$

satisfies the following properties

1. $f_{\mathrm{b}}$ is $G F(q)$-linear and bijective
2. $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ preserves the rank, i.e. $R k\left(f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})\right)=R k(\mathbf{c})$
3. $f_{\mathbf{b}}\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$
4. $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ can be computed in $\mathcal{O}\left(s n^{2}\right)$ multiplications in $G F(q)$ and $\mathcal{O}(s n)$ additions in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$
5. $f_{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}$ can be computed in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ multiplications in $G F(q)$ and $\mathcal{O}(s n)$ additions in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$

Proof. 1. Let $\mathbf{d} \in G F\left(q^{n}\right)^{s}$. Since by construction $\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ is a basis of $G F\left(q^{n}\right) / G F(q)$, there is a unique $q$-ary matrix $U$ such that $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{h} U^{T}$. Therefore the unique $\mathbf{c} \in V_{s}^{n}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})=\mathbf{d}$ is $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b} U$. This proves the bijectivity. $G F(q)$-linearity is immediate.
2. $\mathbf{b}=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ is a basis of $V_{s}$. From definition 1 we have $\operatorname{Rk}(\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b} U)=\operatorname{Rk}(U)$. Moreover, since $f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})=\mathbf{h} U^{T}$, and since $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ are linearly independent over $G F(q)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Rk}\left(f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})\right)=\operatorname{Rk}\left(U^{T}\right)=\operatorname{Rk}(U)=\operatorname{Rk}(\mathbf{c})
$$

3. Any vector of $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$ satisfies (2.5) for some $q$-ary matrix $U$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gabidulin code, $\mathbf{v}=\left(\sum_{t=1}^{n} U_{1, t} h_{t}, \ldots, \sum_{t=1}^{n} U_{s, t} h_{t}\right)$ satisfies (3.7). Therefore $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$. This implies that $f_{\mathbf{b}}\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$. Since both sets have the same cardinality, then they are equal.
4. A vector of $V_{s}^{n}$ is characterised by a unique $q$-ary $s \times n$ matrix $U$. Therefore, computing $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ consists of computing the product of $\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ by $U^{T}\left(s n^{2}\right.$ multiplications in $G F(q)$ and $s n$ additions in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ ). Conversely, to compute $f_{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)$ one needs to
(a) determine $U$ such that $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) U^{T}$ : By considering the elements of $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ as $q$-ary vectors of length $n$ this implies solving a matricial system over $G F(q)$, and this gives a complexity of $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ multiplications in $G F(q)$.
(b) compute $\mathbf{b} U: s^{2} n$ multiplications in $G F(q)$ and $s n$ additions in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$.
3.2. Encoding and Decoding. The rank preserving isomorphism $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ is the tool for designing encoding and decoding procedures for subspace subcodes.
3.2.1. Encoding. From Proposition 2 we encode up to $n(s-d+1) q$-ary digits with $\mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s-d+1}\right) \in G F\left(q^{n}\right)^{s-d+1}$ be an information vector. Let $\mathbf{G}_{V_{s}}$ be a generator matrix of $\mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$.
$\mathbf{x}$ is encoded into a codeword $\mathbf{c}$ by a two steps procedure:
5. Compute $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x} \mathbf{G}_{V_{s}}$
6. Compute $\mathbf{c}=f_{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$

From proposition 3, $\mathbf{c}$ belongs to $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$. The complexity of the encoding procedure is essentially the complexity of computing $\mathbf{x G}_{V_{s}}$ that is: $(s-d+1) \times s$ products in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$.
3.2.2. Decoding. Let $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{e}$ be a received vector where $\mathbf{c} \in\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)$ and $\mathbf{e} \in V_{s}^{n}$ is an error-vector of rank $t \leq\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$. From proposition 3, we deduce

$$
f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y})=f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})+f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{e})
$$

and $\operatorname{Rk}\left(f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{e})\right)=t$. The decoding procedure is:

1. Decode $f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y})$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$ and recover $\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s}\right)}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{c}^{\prime}+\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$.
2. Compute $\mathbf{c}=f_{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathbf{e}=f_{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$.

It is the decoding step of a Gabidulin code which has the main contribution for the evaluation of the complexity. Therefore it strongly depends on the chosen decoding algorithm. For instance if we use the one described in [9], where $2 t=d-1$ then the complexity is roughly equal to $t\left(2 s+n+t^{2}\right)$ products in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$. If we use the algorithm in [16] the complexity is $s^{2}-5 s t+6 t^{2}$ products in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$.

## 4. Direct sum of subspace subcodes

For $i=1, \ldots, u$, let $1 \leq s_{i} \leq n$ and let $V_{s_{i}}$ be an $s_{i}$-dimensional subspace of $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ over $G F(q)$. We suppose that

$$
\forall i, j=1, \ldots, s_{u}, \quad i \neq j, \quad V_{s_{i}} \cap V_{s_{j}}=\{0\}
$$

It implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{u} s_{i} \leq n$. For all $i=1, \ldots, u$, let $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ be a basis of $V_{s_{i}}$ and let $f_{\mathbf{b}_{i}}$ be the associated mappings defined in proposition 3. We define

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f_{\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{u}\right)}: & V_{s_{1}}^{n} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{s_{u}}^{n} & \rightarrow G F\left(q^{n}\right)^{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{u}} \\
& \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{c}_{u} & \mapsto f_{\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{u}\right)}(\mathbf{c})=\left(f_{\mathbf{b}_{1}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, f_{\mathbf{b}_{u}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{u}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Let $\mathcal{M}=\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s_{1}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s_{u}}\right) . \mathcal{M}$ is a $G F(q)$-linear subcode of $\mathcal{G}$. For all $i=1, \ldots, u$, let $\mathbf{H}_{V_{s_{i}}}$ be the matrix given by (3.7). Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{u} s_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{u}\left(s_{i}-d+1\right), d\right]_{r}$-code over $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ with parity-check matrix

$$
\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{H}_{V_{s_{1}}} & \cdots & 0  \tag{4.8}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_{V_{s_{u}}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From proposition 3 we have

## Proposition 4.

1. $f_{\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{u}\right)}$ is $G F(q)$-linear, bijective and preserves the rank.
2. $f_{\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{u}\right)}(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

The code $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called parent code of $\mathcal{M}$. From the structure of matrix (4.8) it is clear that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the direct product of Gabidulin codes over $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ with parameters $\left[s_{i}, s_{i}-(d-1), d\right]_{r}$ for $i=1, \ldots, u$. We deduce the following corollary
Corollary 1. $\mathcal{M}$ is a $(n, M, D)_{r}$ additive code, where

- $M=q^{n \sum_{i=1}^{u}\left(s_{i}-(d-1)\right)}$.
- $D=d$.
4.1. Encoding with $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a $q^{n}$-ary vector of length $\sum_{i=1}^{u} s_{i}-u(d-1)$.

1. Write $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right)$ where, for all $i=1, \ldots, u, \mathbf{x}_{i}$ has length $s_{i}-d+1$.
2. For $i=1, \ldots, u, \mathbf{x}_{i}$ is encoded into $\mathbf{c}_{i} \in\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s_{i}}\right)$, using the procedure described in section 3.2 with mapping $f_{\mathbf{b}_{i}}$.
3. The encoded codeword is $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{c}_{u} \in \mathcal{M}$.

The complexity of the encoding procedure is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{u} s_{i}\left(s_{i}-d+1\right)$ products in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$.
4.2. Decoding in $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose the receiver gets $\mathbf{y} \in G F\left(q^{n}\right)^{n}$, where

$$
\mathbf{y}=\underbrace{\mathbf{c}}_{\in \mathcal{M}}+\mathbf{e} \in V_{s_{1}}^{n} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{s_{u}}^{n}
$$

For all $i=1, \ldots, u$, let $\mathbf{y}_{i}$ be the projection of $\mathbf{y}$ onto $V_{s_{i}}$. We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{c}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{1}, \text { where } \mathbf{c}_{1} \in\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s_{1}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{y}_{u}=\mathbf{c}_{u}+\mathbf{e}_{u}, \text { where } \mathbf{c}_{u} \in\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s_{u}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

If for all $i=1, \ldots, u, \mathbf{e}_{i}$ has rank less than $C \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor, \mathbf{y}$ can be decoded in polynomial time. Namely, for $i=1, \ldots, u$, it suffices to decode $\mathbf{y}_{i}$ in $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid V_{s_{i}}\right)$ with the decoding procedure described in section 4.2 .

Furthermore some error-patterns e of rank larger than $C$ can be also decoded with the same algorithms. Namely, if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Rk}(\mathbf{e})>C, \\
\forall i=1, \ldots, u, \operatorname{Rk}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \leq C .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then it is clear that e can be recovered in polynomial time. This increases the number of correctable patterns by a factor that we want to evaluate.

Let $\mathcal{N}_{C}(n, s)$ be the number of error-patterns of $V_{s}^{n}$ and of rank less than $C$. We have, see [14] page 455

$$
\mathcal{N}_{C}(n, s)=\sum_{j=0}^{C} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{\left(q^{n}-q^{i}\right)\left(q^{s}-q^{i}\right)}{q^{C}-q^{i}}
$$

This quantity is lower and upper bounded by

$$
q^{(n+s-1) C-C^{2}} \leq \mathcal{N}_{C}(n, s) \leq q^{(n+s+1) C-C^{2}+1}
$$

Let $N \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{u} s_{i}$. We have

- The number $\mathcal{N}$ of error-patterns of length $n$ over $V_{s_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{s_{u}}$ and of rank less than $C$ satisfies

$$
q^{(n+N-1) C-C^{2}} \leq \mathcal{N} \leq q^{(n+N+1) C-C^{2}+1}
$$

- The number $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ of error-vectors that can be corrected in polynomial-time is equal to the number of vectors $\mathbf{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{u} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ of length $n$ such that for all $i=1, \ldots, u \operatorname{Rk}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \leq C$ satisfies

$$
q^{(u n+N-u) C-u C^{2}} \leq \mathcal{N}^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{u} \mathcal{N}_{C}\left(n, s_{u}\right) \leq q^{(u n+N+u) C-u C^{2}+u}
$$

- A Gabidulin code with the same length and cardinality has minimum rank distance $D=$ $u(d-1)+1$. It corrects error-patterns of rank between $u C$ and $(u+1) C$ according to the parity of $d-1$. The number $\mathcal{N}_{\text {Gab }}$ of correctable error-patterns therefore satisfies

$$
q^{(n+N-1) u C-(u C)^{2}} \leq \mathcal{N}_{G a b} \leq q^{(n+N+u)(u+1) C-(u+1)^{2} C^{2}+1}
$$

Example. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\left(20,2^{240}, 5\right)_{r}$ additive code over $G F\left(2^{20}\right)$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\left(20,2^{240}, 5\right)_{r}$-code obtained from a parent code with parameters $[20,16,5]_{r}$ over $G F\left(2^{20}\right)$, and $u=2, s_{1}=10, s_{2}=$ 10. Then

- The number $\mathcal{N}$ of correctable error patterns in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies

$$
2^{74} \leq \mathcal{N} \leq 2^{79}
$$

- The number $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ or correctable error patterns in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies

$$
2^{108} \leq \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \leq 2^{116}
$$

A Gabidulin code with the same length and cardinality has a minimum rank distance $D=9$. Therefore it can correct a number $\mathcal{N}_{G a b}$ of error-patterns bounded by

$$
2^{140} \leq \mathcal{N}_{G a b} \leq 2^{149}
$$

Although the number of polynomial-time correctable error-patterns remains lower than for a Gabidulin code with same length and cardinality, our construction enables to correct significantly more error-patterns than for a code with same parameters but without the direct sum structure.

## 5. A particular case: Subfield subcodes

When the subspace $V_{s}$ is the field $G F\left(q^{s}\right)$ the results of the previous sections can be extended. We prove the following result: Given $G F\left(q^{s}\right) \subset G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ and a parity-check matrix of a $\left[s, k^{\prime}, d\right]_{r}$ Gabidulin code over $G F\left(q^{s}\right)$, the subfield subcode restricted to $G F\left(q^{s}\right)$ of a $[n, k, d]_{r}$ Gabidulin code over $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ and minimum rank distance $d$ is uniquely characterised by an element of the general linear group $G L_{n}(G F(q))$.

More precisely:

## Proposition 5.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the code over $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ with parity-check matrix (2.3), Let $s \mid n$ and let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & \cdots & a_{s} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1}^{[d-2]} & \cdots & a_{s}^{[d-2]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the $a_{i} \in G F\left(q^{s}\right) \subset G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, s$, are $G F(q)$-linearly independent. Then, there exists a unique matrix $S \in G L_{n}(G F(q))$ such that $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid G F\left(q^{s}\right)\right)$ has parity-check matrix

$$
\mathbf{H}_{q^{s}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & A
\end{array}\right) S,
$$

Proof.
Let $\mathbf{h}$ denote the first row of matrix $\mathbf{H}$ given by (2.3). It can be rewritten

$$
\mathbf{H}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{h} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{h}^{[d-2]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{[i]} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(h_{1}^{[i]}, \ldots, h_{n}^{[i]}\right)$. Trivially a parity-check matrix of $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid G F\left(q^{s}\right)\right)$ can be obtained by:

1. Choosing a basis of $G F\left(q^{n}\right) / G F\left(q^{s}\right)$.
2. Expanding the rows of $\mathbf{H}$ columnwise with respect to the chosen basis: A row of length $n$ with coefficients in $G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ is transformed into a matrix of size $n / s \times n$ with coefficients in $G F\left(q^{s}\right)$, that is

$$
\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \mapsto \mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
h_{1,1} & \cdots & h_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_{n / s, 1} & \cdots & h_{n / s, n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the rows of $\mathbf{H}$ are the $\mathbf{h}^{[i]}$ for $i=0, \ldots, d-2$, there exists a $n / s \times n / s$ non-singular $q^{s}$-ary matrix $Q_{i}$ satisfying

$$
\mathbf{h}^{[i]}=\left(h_{1}^{[i]}, \ldots, h_{n}^{[i]}\right) \mapsto Q_{i} \mathcal{H}^{[i]}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{[i]}$ denotes matrix $\mathcal{H}$ whose components have been elevated to the power $[i]$. Therefore, there exists a parity-check matrix of $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid G F\left(q^{s}\right)\right)$ which has the form

$$
\mathbf{H}_{q^{s}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{H} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{H}^{[d-2]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The $h_{i}$ 's being by definition linearly independent over $G F(q)$ ), then the columns of $\mathcal{H}$ are vectors of rank $n / s$. Hence, there is a $n \times n$ matrix $S$ with coefficients in $G F(q)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{a} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}
\end{array}\right) S
$$

where $\mathbf{a} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in G F\left(q^{s}\right)^{s}$. Let

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{a} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{a}^{[d-2]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

There exists a permutation matrix $P$ such that

$$
\mathbf{H}_{q^{s}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{H} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{H}^{[d-2]}
\end{array}\right)=P\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \mathcal{A}
\end{array}\right) S
$$

Multiplying a parity-check matrix on the left by a non-singular matrix generates the same code. A parity-check of $\left(\mathcal{G} \mid G F\left(q^{s}\right)\right)$ is therefore given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \mathcal{A}
\end{array}\right) S
$$

$\diamond$
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