

# Continuous Time Random Walks and fractional HJB equations

Maria Veretennikova

Mathematics and Statistics Doctoral Training Centre  
University of Warwick

16th of May, 2013, PDMP Workshop, Rennes, France

# Outline

- HJB and fHJB
- Basics of fractional calculus
- Mittag-Leffler function
- Example of a fDE
- Payoff equation
- Existence and uniqueness
- Open problems

## HJB and fHJB

General HJB:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + LS + \sup_u [f(x, u) \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + g(x, u)] = 0. \quad (1)$$

Game theory: HJB Isaacs equation

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + LS + \sup_u \inf_v [f(x, u, v) \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + g(x, u, v)] = 0. \quad (2)$$

fHJB

$$\frac{\partial^\beta S}{\partial t^\beta} + LS + \sup_u [f(x, u) \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + g(x, u)] = 0 \quad (3)$$

We're particularly interested in the case when

$$L = a(x) D_{0,x}^{*\alpha}, \alpha \in (0, 2].$$

# CTRW and optimal payoff equation

A random variable  $\gamma$  in DOA ( $\beta$ -stable law) if as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow Z, \quad (4)$$

in distribution, for some  $a_n, b_n$ , where  $Z$  is stable. In other notation, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\nu(dr)$  is the law for  $\gamma_i$  waiting times

$$\int_{|r|>n} \nu(dr) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma[1-\beta]n^\beta} \quad (5)$$

for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .

- Waiting times  $\gamma_i \in \text{DOA}(\beta\text{-stable law}), \beta \in (0, 1)$ .
- Denote  $X(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$  and  $Z_X(t) = \inf_n \{n : X(n) > t\}$
- Jumps  $\xi_i \in \text{DOA}(\alpha\text{-stable law}), \alpha \in (0, 2], \xi_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- The process  $Y_{Z_X}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_X(t)} \xi_i$  is the CTRW.
- Control set  $U$  at every jump:  $\xi_i(u_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Set of all possible controls for all jump times:

$$\tilde{U} = \{\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots)\}, u_i \in U. \quad (6)$$

## Basics

- Fractional integral defined via iterations for  $f \in S$ , Schwartz space: Let  $I f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(y) dy$  for  $f \in S$ .

$$I^k f(x) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{-\infty}^x (x-y)^{k-1} f(y) dy, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7)$$

Now replace integer  $k$  by fractional  $\beta$ :

$$I^\beta f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma[\beta]} \int_{-\infty}^x (x-y)^{\beta-1} f(y) dy, \text{ for } \beta > 0. \quad (8)$$

- Related fractional derivative for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\frac{d^\beta f(x)}{dx^\beta} = \frac{d}{dx} I^{1-\beta} f(x). \quad (9)$$

- The left-sided Caputo derivative for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  is defined for  $f \in S$  by

$$D_{0,x}^{*\beta} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma[1-\beta]} \int_0^x \frac{df(y)}{dy} (x-y)^{-\beta} dy. \quad (10)$$

- Fractional Laplacian operator  $(-\nabla)^\alpha$ :

$$-\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(f(x) - f(y))}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} dy. \quad (11)$$

- The operators  $-D_{0,y}^{*\beta}$  and  $(-\nabla)^{\beta/2}$  are generators of stable Levy motions.
- A general  $\beta$ -stable Levy motion  $L_t$  can be described by the following log-characteristic function:

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta L_t}] = -t\kappa^\beta |\theta|^\beta (1 - i\beta \text{sign}(\theta) \tan(\beta\pi/2)) + itm\theta \quad (12)$$

for  $\beta \neq 1$ ,  $\beta$  stability index.

## Mittag-Leffler function: power series definition

A Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\beta,\alpha}(z)$  is defined as:

$$E_{\beta,\alpha}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma[\beta k + \alpha]} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Ha}} e^{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\beta-\alpha}}{\lambda^{\beta} - z} d\lambda, \quad (13)$$

for  $\alpha, \beta > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and where Ha denotes a Hankel path, which is a contour starting and finishing at  $-\infty$  and encircling the disc  $|\lambda| \leq |z|^{1/\beta}$  counter clockwise.

## Examples of Caputo derivatives of functions

- $D_{0,t}^{*\beta}(e^{\lambda t}) = t^{-\beta} E_{1,1-\beta}(\lambda t) - \frac{t^{-\beta}}{\Gamma[1-\beta]},$
- $D_{0,t}^{*\beta}(\cosh(\sqrt{\lambda}t)) = t^{-\beta} E_{2,1-\beta}(\lambda t^2) - \frac{t^{-\beta}}{\Gamma[1-\beta]},$
- $D_{0,t}^{*\beta}(H(t)) = 0,$  where  $H(t)$  is the unit Heaviside function.



## Mittag-Leffler function: examples

For example,

$$E_{1,1}(z) = e^z \quad (14)$$

and

$$E_{1,2}(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z} \quad (15)$$

and

$$E_{2,2}(z^2) = \frac{\sinh(z)}{z}. \quad (16)$$

## Simple fDE

For  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$D_{0,t}^{*\beta}y(t) = \lambda y(t), \quad (17)$$

with initial condition

$$y(0) = y_0. \quad (18)$$

Solution is given by

$$y(t) = y_0 E_{\beta,1}(\lambda t^\beta). \quad (19)$$

When  $\beta = 1$ ,  $y(t) = y_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} = y_0 e^{\lambda t}$ , a standard result.

# CTRW and optimal payoff equation

- Waiting times  $\gamma_i \in \text{DOA}(\beta\text{-stable law})$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .
- Denote  $X(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$
- Denote  $Z_X(t) = \inf_n \{n : X(n) > t\}$
- Jumps  $\xi_i \in \text{DOA}(\alpha\text{-stable law})$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- The process  $Y_{Z_X}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_X(t)} \xi_i$  is the CTRW.  $Y$  considered at jump times only is a Markov process.
- Controlling jump sizes to optimise payoff:
- Control set  $U$  at every jump time
- Set of all possible controls for all jump times:

$$\tilde{U} = \{\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots)\}, u_i \in U. \quad (20)$$

- The optimal payoff function  $S(t, y)$  is defined as follows:

$$S(t, y) = \sup_{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{U}} \mathbb{E} S_0(y + Y(t, \tilde{u})), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

- Scaling jump sizes by  $\tau^{1/\alpha}$  and waiting times by  $\tau^{1/\beta}$ , then  $Y^\tau(u, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{X_n^\tau}(t)} \tau^{1/\alpha} \xi_i(u_i)$  and

$$S^\tau(t, y) = \sup_{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{U}} \mathbb{E} S_0^\tau(y + Y^\tau(t, \tilde{u})). \quad (22)$$

- 

$$S^\tau(t, y) = \sup_{u \in U} \left[ S_0^\tau(y) \int_t^\infty \nu(dr/\tau^{1/\beta}) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} S^\tau(t-r, y+\xi) \mu_u(d\xi/\tau^{1/\alpha}) \nu(dr/\tau^{1/\beta}) \right]. \quad (23)$$

As  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , assume  $\forall t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, S^\tau(t, y) \rightarrow \tilde{S}(t, y)$ , where  $\tilde{S}$  belongs to domains of the stable generator  $L$  and  $A_{0,t}^{*\beta}$ .

# Extensions and other versions

- ① Motion during waiting times can be deterministic, e.g. in case  $d = 1$ , with a generator of the form  $f(x) \frac{d}{dx}$ . I.e. the process  $Y$  is piecewise deterministic.
- ② This only slightly changes the equation for the optimal payoff, adding an extra term with the generator of the motion during waiting time intervals.
- ③ running costs- for waiting and for jumping, apart from arriving: represented by functions  $f$  and  $g$ .
- ④ Time and position dependence:

$$X_n^\tau = X_{n-1}^\tau + \gamma_n(X_{n-1}), \quad (24)$$

and

$$Y_n^\tau = Y_{n-1}^\tau + \xi_n(Y_{n-1}), \quad (25)$$

and the process we study is  $Y_{Z_X(t)}$ .

# Construction of the jump process

For an arbitrary Feller process  $\tilde{Y}$  with a generator  $L^u$ , it is always possible to construct a family of measures  $\mu_{u,\tau}(y, d\xi)$ , such that

$$Y_n^\tau = Y_{n-1}^\tau + \xi_n(Y_{n-1}^\tau), \quad (26)$$

where  $\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  are r.v.'s with distributions given by  $\mu_{u,\tau}$ , and such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(t, y + \xi) - f(t, y)}{\tau} \mu_{u,\tau}(y, d\xi) \rightarrow L^u f(t, y). \quad (27)$$

Then

$$Y_{[t/\tau]}^\tau \rightarrow_d \tilde{Y}. \quad (28)$$

Essentially, in such a case we obtain the same form of payoff equation.

Let  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , obtain the limiting equation of the form:

$$D_{0,t}^{*\beta} S(t, y) = -LS(t, y) + H(u, t, y, D_y S). \quad (29)$$

First, we study

$$D_{0,t}^{*\beta} S(t, y) = -LS(t, y) + f(t, y), \quad (30)$$

We study the case when  $L : D(L) \rightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , with the resolvent set  $\rho(L)$  including the right half plane. More precisely this theory applies to  $L = -|\nabla|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  and to

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i \frac{d}{dx_i}, \text{ where } a_{i,j}, b_i \text{ are constants.}$$

Applying Laplace transform and re-arranging:

$$\hat{S}(\lambda) = \lambda^{\beta-1} (\lambda^\beta \mathbb{I} + L)^{-1} S_0(y) + (\lambda^\beta \mathbb{I} + L)^{-1} \hat{f}(\lambda). \quad (31)$$

# Mittag-Leffler function

Other representation of the Mittag-Leffler function:

$$E_{\beta,\alpha}(-t^\beta L) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha(\epsilon)} \frac{e^{stL^{1/\beta}} s^{\beta-\alpha}}{s^\beta + 1} ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-rtL^{1/\beta}} K_{\beta,\alpha}(r) dr, \quad (32)$$

where

$$K_{\beta,\alpha}(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r^{2\beta-\alpha} \sin(\alpha\pi) - r^{\beta-\alpha} \sin((\beta-\alpha)\pi)}{r^{2\beta} + 2r^\beta \cos(\beta\pi) + 1}. \quad (33)$$

We will need

$$K_{\beta,\beta}(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r^\beta \sin(\beta\pi)}{r^{2\beta} + 2r^\beta \cos(\beta\pi) + 1}. \quad (34)$$

Here  $e^{-rtL^{1/\beta}}$  is a bounded operator.



# A Laplace transform and an unbounded operator $L$

## Theorem

Let  $L : D(L) \rightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , such as  $L = (-\nabla)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . Then the following holds:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\beta,\alpha}(-t^\beta L) dt = \frac{\lambda^{\beta-\alpha}}{(\lambda^\beta \mathbb{I} + L)}. \quad (35)$$

Consider  $L : D(L) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  first. The power series representation of  $E_{\beta,\alpha}(-t^\beta L)f$  holds for any vector  $f$  of  $L$ , satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|L^n f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{n!} t^n < \infty \quad (36)$$

for any  $t > 0$ . This set of vectors is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Approximate functions in  $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by functions in  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Apply the inverse Laplace transform to obtain the mild form.

## Mild form of the equation

$$D_{0,t}^* S(t, y) = -LS(t, y) + f(t, y) \quad (37)$$

turns to

$$S(t, y) = E_{\beta,1}(-t^\beta L)S_0(y) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} E_{\beta,\beta}(-(t-s)^\beta L) f(t, y) ds. \quad (38)$$

## Application to the limiting payoff equation

## Theorem

Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $L = (-\nabla)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  or  $L = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \frac{d^2}{dx_i dx_j}$ , where  $a_{ij}$  are constants. The fDE

$$D_{0,t}^{*\beta} S(t, y) = -LS(t, y) + H(t, y, u, D_y S(t, y)) \quad (39)$$

has the mild form

$$S(t, y) = E_{\beta,1}(-t^\beta L)S_0(y) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} E_{\beta,\beta}(-(t-s)^\beta L)H(t, y, u, D_y S(t, y)) ds. \quad (40)$$

## Theorem 3

Assumptions:

- The domain of  $L$  is  $D = C_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , unbounded as an operator in  $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , e.g.  $L = (-\nabla)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ .
- $\|e^{-tL^{1/\beta}} f\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq t^{\epsilon(\beta)} \|f\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ .
- $H(u, t, y, p)$  is Lipschitz in  $p$  uniformly in  $y$ , i.e., with a Lipschitz constant  $\kappa$  independent of  $y$ , and

$$|H(u, y, 0)| \leq h \quad (41)$$

for a constant  $h$  and all  $y$ .

- A restriction on stability parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ :

$$\beta - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + 1 > 0. \quad (42)$$

Under the above assumptions on  $H$  and  $L$ , for any  $S_0 \in C_\infty^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  there exists a unique  $C_\infty^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  solution  $S(t, y)$  of the mild equation, for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The space  $C([0, T], C_{\infty}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  has the norm

$$\|\phi(\cdot)\| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\phi\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (43)$$

Denote by  $B_{S_0}^T$  the space of continuous functions from the closed convex subset of  $C([0, T], C_{\infty}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  with  $\phi_0 = S_0$ .

# Sketch of the proof

Denote the RHS operator by  $\Psi(\cdot)$ . By triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Psi(\phi)\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} E_{\beta, \beta}(-(t-s)^\beta L) \right. \\ &\quad \left. H\left(u, s, y, \frac{d\phi(s, y)}{dy}\right) ds \right\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|E_{\beta, 1}(-t^\beta L) S(0)\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2 \in B_{S_0}^T$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Psi(\phi_t^1 - \phi_t^2)\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \\
 & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} E_{\beta, \beta}(-(t-s)^\beta L) \right. \\
 & \times \left( H(u, s, y, \frac{d\phi_s^1}{dy}) - H(u, s, y, \frac{d\phi_s^2}{dy}) \right) ds \Big\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
 & \leq \omega(t) \left( \kappa \sup_{s \leq t} \|\phi_s^1 - \phi_s^2\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(45)

The RHS operator  $\Psi$  is a contraction in the closed convex subset of  $C([0, T], C_{\infty}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  for small enough  $T$ .

As a consequence, it has a unique fixed point, i.e., there is a unique continuous solution  $S(t, y)$  for the mild form of the fHJB, which is approximated by the iterations of  $\Psi$  on  $S_0(y)$  by Banach fixed point theorem.



# Theorem 4

The assumptions of the previous theorem remain and we add a new one:

- $|H(u, t, y_1, p) - H(u, t, y_2, p)| \leq \tilde{\kappa}|y_1 - y_2|(1 + |p|)$  with a certain constant  $\tilde{\kappa} \geq 0$ .

If  $S_0(y) \in C_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the unique solution constructed previously belongs to  $C_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and represents a classical solution to the original fDE.

# Sketch of the proof

Denote by  $B_{S_0}^{T,R,2}$  the space of functions which are twice continuously differentiable in  $y$  from the closed convex subset  $B_{S_0}^T$  of  $C([0, T], C_\infty^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , have  $\phi_0 = S_0$  and

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|\phi_t\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq R \quad (46)$$

for some constant  $R > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq T} \|\Psi(\phi_t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \sup_{t \leq T} \|E_{\beta,1}(-t^\beta L)S(0)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \omega(s)R(\kappa + \tilde{\kappa})ds. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Want  $\sup_{t \leq T} \|\Psi(\phi_t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq R$ , so that  $\Psi$  maps  $B_{S_0}^{T,R,2}$  to itself. Re-arrange to obtain an expression for  $R$ :

$$R \geq \sup_{t \leq T} \|E_{\beta,1}(-t^\beta L)S(0)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \times \left(1 - \int_0^T \omega(s) ds (\kappa + \tilde{\kappa})\right)^{-1}. \quad (48)$$

If necessary, reduce  $T$  to  $\eta T$  for some  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , so that the denominator is positive.

If (48) is satisfied then  $\Psi$  maps  $B_{S_0}^{R,T,2}$  into itself and consequently the limit of iterations of  $\Psi$  on  $S_0$  is also of class  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

By the previous theorem we know that the solution to the fHJB is unique in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , hence, the solution  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi^k(S_0)$  is a unique solution of class  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So, by the principle of dynamic programming, there exists a unique classical solution for the simple fHJB on any interval  $[0, T]$ .

# Reading

- V. Kolokoltsov, Markov processes, semigroups and generators, De Gruyter, 2011
- I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations, An introduction to fractional derivatives, Mathematics in Science and engineering, Science and Engineering series, 198, 1999
- M. Veretennikova, V. Kolokoltsov, Controlled Continuous Time Random Walks and fractional Hamilton Jacobi Bellman equations, 2012
- O. Kallenberg, Foundations of modern probability, Springer 1997.
- W. H. Fleming, H. M. Soner, Controlled Markov processes and viscosity solutions, Springer, 2006
- R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, Continuous time random walk, Mittag-leffler waiting time and fractional diffusion: mathematical aspects, Arxiv, 2008

# Further research

- Still remains to prove  $S^\tau \rightarrow S$ .
- What if the restriction on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is not satisfied?
- If  $L = \sup_{u \in U} a(y)g(u)D_y^{*\alpha}$ , how to analyse the fHJB?
- SDE approach to the limiting process, links to fractional Fokker-Planck equation.
- Applications to insurance, for example considering a difference of two sums of jumps with waiting times dependent on related stability parameters  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  and introducing re-insurance as an additional running cost.
- CTRW with regenerations in limit order book theory
- Many-particle systems behaving as a similar CTRW, mean-field interaction of such systems in games
- CTRW approach to queueing theory

Thank You for listening.  
Merci de votre attention.