

## Correction of the CC2

We work on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We consider the Laplace operator  $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ .

**1.1.** What is the symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  that is associated to the action of the operator  $1 - \Delta$  through the relation  $1 - \Delta = op(a) = a(x, D)$ .

*By definition, the symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  associated to the action of  $a(x, D)$  is such that*

$$a(x, D)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\hat{u} \equiv \mathcal{F}u$  is the Fourier transform of  $u$ . From

$$(1 - \Delta)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} (1 + |\xi|^2) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

we can see that  $a(x, \xi) = 1 + |\xi|^2$ .

**1.2.** Determine the symbol  $b(x, \xi)$  of the pseudo-differential operator allowing to satisfy the relation  $b(x, D) a(x, D) = Id$ . The operator  $b(x, D)$  is denoted by  $(1 - \Delta)^{-1}$ . Indicate on the right its symbol class.

*It suffices to remark that*

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1} (1 + |\xi|^2) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1} \mathcal{F}((1 - \Delta)u)(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

to deduce that  $b(x, \xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1}$  which is in the symbol class  $S_{1,0}^{-2}$ .

**1.3.** Determine the symbol  $c(x, \xi)$  of the pseudo-differential operator  $1 - (1 - \Delta)^{-1}$ . Indicate on the right its symbol class.

$$c(x, \xi) = 1 - (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1}, \quad c(x, D) \in S_{1,0}^0.$$

*In fact,  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  do not depend on  $x$ . They are Fourier multipliers.*

**1.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fix  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in H^s(\Omega)$ . Given some  $s_0 < s$ , we consider a distribution  $u \in H^{s_0}(\Omega)$  which is such that  $\Delta u = f$ .

**1.4.1.** We recall Peetre's inequality :

$$\langle \xi' \rangle^{\tilde{s}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tilde{s}} \leq 2^{|\tilde{s}|} \langle \xi - \xi' \rangle^{|\tilde{s}|}, \quad \forall (\tilde{s}, \xi, \xi') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We select a cutoff function  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . Show that  $\chi \Delta u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The function  $\chi$  can be extended as a function in the Schwartz space so that

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{\tilde{s}} |\chi(\xi)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, we know that  $\langle \xi' \rangle^{-s} |\hat{f}(\xi')|$  is in  $L^2$ . Now, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\chi \Delta u)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(\xi - \xi') \hat{f}(\xi') d\xi'.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}(\chi \Delta u)(\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^s} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\chi(\xi - \xi')| \frac{\langle \xi' \rangle^s}{\langle \xi \rangle^s} \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi')|}{\langle \xi' \rangle^s} d\xi' \leq 2^{|\tilde{s}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi - \xi' \rangle^{|\tilde{s}|} |\chi(\xi - \xi')| \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi')|}{\langle \xi' \rangle^s} d\xi'.$$

The right hand side is the convolution of two  $L^2$ -functions. We can conclude with Young's convolution inequality.

**1.4.2.** Show that  $g := (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \chi \Delta u \in H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The operator  $(1 - \Delta)^{-1}$  is continuous from  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , since it is a Fourier multiplier of order  $-2$ . This implies that  $g$  is in  $H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**1.5.** Show that  $g = (1 - \Delta)^{-1} [\chi, \Delta]u - (1 - (1 - \Delta)^{-1}) \chi u$ .

We can start from the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \Delta)^{-1} [\chi, \Delta]u - (1 - (1 - \Delta)^{-1}) \chi u &= g - (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \Delta(\chi u) - (1 - (1 - \Delta)^{-1}) \chi u \\ &= g - (1 - \Delta)^{-1} (\Delta - 1)(\chi u) - (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \chi u - (1 - (1 - \Delta)^{-1}) \chi u \\ &= g + \chi u - \chi u = g. \end{aligned}$$

**1.6.** Explain why  $R := [\chi, \Delta]$  is a first-order differential operator.

This is just because  $R = -2 \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla - \Delta \chi$  which is a differential operator of order 1.

**1.7.** Let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  be a non negative function which is equal to 1 on the ball  $\{\xi; |\xi| \leq 1\}$  and equal to 0 out of the ball  $\{\xi; |\xi| \leq 2\}$ . Prove that the pseudo-differential operator  $e(x, D) := \psi(D) + c(x, D)$  (where  $c$  is as in question 1.2) is an isomorphism of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The symbol of  $e(x, D)$  is  $e(x, \xi) = \psi(\xi) + 1 - (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1}$ . We find that

$$e(x, 0) = \psi(0) + 1 - 1 = 1, \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} e(x, \xi) = 0,$$

as well as

$$e(x, \xi) \geq 1 - (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1} > 0, \quad \forall \xi \neq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\exists (c, C) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^2; \quad 0 < c \leq e(x, \xi) \leq C, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1)$$

The symbol  $e(x, \xi)$  is in  $S_{1,0}^0$ . This implies that the Fourier multiplier  $e(x, D)$  acts continuously from  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

From condition (1) - of ellipticity, we can define  $e(x, \xi)^{-1} \in S_{1,0}^0$ . The pseudo-differential operator  $e(x, D)$  is invertible with inverse  $e(x, D)^{-1}$  which acts continuously from  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**1.8.** Show that  $\chi u = e(x, D)^{-1} [(1 - \Delta)^{-1} Ru - g + \psi(D)(\chi u)]$ , and deduce from this relation that  $\chi u$  is in  $H^{s_0+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

From question 1.4, we have

$$(1 - (1 - \Delta)^{-1}) \chi u = (1 - \Delta)^{-1} [\chi, \Delta] u - g,$$

so that

$$e(x, D)(\chi u) = (c(x, D) + \psi(D))(\chi u) = (1 - \Delta)^{-1} Ru - g + \psi(D)(\chi u).$$

It suffices to compose on the left by  $e(x, D)^{-1}$  to recover the expected formula. Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} u \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ R \in Op(S_{1,0}^1) \end{array} \right\} \implies Ru \in H^{s_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This gives rise to

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Ru \in H^{s_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \in Op(S_{1,0}^{-2}) \end{array} \right\} \implies (1 - \Delta)^{-1} Ru \in H^{s_0+1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

On the other hand

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \chi u \in H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \psi(D) \in Op(S_{1,0}^{-\infty}) \end{array} \right\} \implies \psi(D)u \in H^{+\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

From question 1.3, we know already that  $g \in H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . After summation, since  $s_0 < s$ , there remains

$$\chi u \in H^{\min(s_0+1, +\infty, s+2)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s_0+1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Concluding remarks.** Due to the pde  $\Delta u = f$  and the regularity assumption on the source term  $f$ , the regularity of  $u$  goes (locally) one step up, from  $H_{loc}^{s_0}(\Omega)$  to  $H_{loc}^{s_0+1}(\Omega)$ . This information comes also from the ellipticity of the operator  $\Delta$ . Refined (microlocal) versions of this principle do exist : they distinguish between the various directions  $\xi$ . If moreover we know that  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ , then, by induction we recover that  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ . This is called hypoellipticity, see this reference.