

Random products of automorphisms of Heisenberg nilmanifolds and Weil's representation

Bachir Bekka and Jean-Romain Heu

November 1, 2009

Abstract

For $n \geq 1$, let H be the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional real Heisenberg group, and let Λ be a lattice in H . Let Γ be a group of automorphisms of the corresponding nilmanifold $\Lambda \backslash H$ and U the associated unitary representation of Γ on $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H)$. Denote by T the maximal torus factor associated to $\Lambda \backslash H$. Using Weil's representation (also known as the metaplectic representation), we show that a dense set of matrix coefficients of the restriction of U to the orthogonal complement of $L^2(T)$ in $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H)$ belong to $\ell^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

We give the following application to random walks on $\Lambda \backslash H$ defined by a probability measure μ on $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$. Denoting by Γ the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ generated by the support of μ and by U^0 and V^0 the restrictions of U respectively to the subspaces of $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H)$ and $L^2(T)$ with zero mean, we prove the following inequality:

$$\|U^0(\mu)\| \leq \max \left\{ \|V^0(\mu)\|, \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/(2n+2)} \right\},$$

where λ_Γ is the left regular representation of Γ on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. In particular, the action of Γ on $\Lambda \backslash H$ has a spectral gap if and only if the corresponding action of Γ on T has a spectral gap.

1 Introduction

Let (X, m) be a probability space and G a locally compact group of measure preserving transformations of X . Given a probability measure μ on G ,

consider a sequence of independent μ -distributed random variables X_n^ω with values in G and the corresponding random products $S_n^\omega = X_n^\omega \dots X_1^\omega$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This defines a random walk on X with initial distribution m and trajectories $S_n^\omega(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. A question of interest is whether this random walk has a spectral gap. To define this notion, let U be the unitary representation of G on $L^2(X, m)$ defined by $U_g(\xi) = \xi(g^{-1}(x))$ for $g \in G$, $\xi \in L^2(X, m)$, and $x \in X$. Let $L_0^2(X, m)$ be the $U(G)$ -invariant subspace of functions ξ in $L^2(X, m)$ with zero mean, that is, with $\int_X \xi(x) dm(x) = 0$. Denote by U^0 the restriction of U to $L_0^2(X, m)$. Let $U^0(\mu)$ be the convolution operator defined on $L_0^2(X, m)$ by

$$U^0(\mu)\xi = \int_G U_g^0(\xi) d\mu(g) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in L_0^2(X, m).$$

Observe that $\|U^0(\mu)\| \leq 1$. We say that μ has a spectral gap in (X, m) if $\|U^0(\mu)\| < 1$. This spectral gap property has several interesting applications; the most immediate one is the exponentially fast convergence of the sequence of functions $x \mapsto \mathbf{E}(\xi(S_n^\omega(x)))$ to $\int_X \xi dm$ in the L^2 -norm for every $\xi \in L^2(X, m)$. Other applications include the existence of a rate of convergence for random ergodic theorems, a central limit theorem, and the uniqueness of invariant means on $L^\infty(X, m)$; see [FuSh99], [Guiv05], [Lubo94], [Sarn90].

The spectral gap property can be formulated in terms of weak containment of group representations (see [BeHV08, G.4.2]). Assume that the subgroup generated by the support of μ is dense in G . Assume moreover that μ is aperiodic (that is, the support of μ is not contained in the coset of a proper closed subgroup of G). Then μ has a spectral gap in (X, m) if and only if there is no G -almost invariant vectors in $L_0^2(X, m)$. If this is the case, we say for short that the G -action on X has a spectral gap.

We emphasize that the existence of a spectral gap property is a phenomenon which can occur only in the context of non-amenable groups: when G is a discrete amenable group and m is non-atomic, then G has *never* a spectral gap on X (see [JuRo79] or [Schmi80]).

When X is the n -dimensional torus $\mathbf{R}^n/\mathbf{Z}^n$, equipped with the normalized Lebesgue measure m , sufficient conditions were given in [FuSh99] for the existence of a spectral gap for the action of a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ by automorphisms on $\mathbf{R}^n/\mathbf{Z}^n$ (see also Example 4 below). In this paper, we will consider the case where X is a Heisenberg nilmanifold and G a group of automorphisms of X .

For $n \geq 1$, let $H = H_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ be the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional real Heisenberg group. This is a two step nilpotent Lie group with one-dimensional centre Z (see Section 2 below). Let Λ be a lattice in H : Λ is a discrete subgroup of H such that there exists a (unique) probability measure m on the Borel sets of the corresponding nilmanifold $\Lambda \backslash H$ which is invariant under right translation by elements from H . (Observe that Λ is cocompact in H .) Denote by $\text{Aut}(H)$ the group of continuous automorphisms of H and by $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ the subgroup of all $g \in \text{Aut}(H)$ such that $g(\Lambda) = \Lambda$; every automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ induces a homeomorphism of $\Lambda \backslash H$.

Let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$. The action of Γ on $\Lambda \backslash H$ preserves the H -invariant probability measure m on $\Lambda \backslash H$. Let U be the associated unitary representation of Γ on $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H, m)$. Let $T = \Lambda Z \backslash H$ be the maximal torus factor of $\Lambda \backslash H$. Observe that $T \cong \mathbf{R}^{2n} / \mathbf{Z}^{2n}$ and $\text{Aut}(T) \cong GL_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$. Since $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ preserves $Z\Lambda$, we have a homomorphism $p : \text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$ and an induced action of Γ on T . This defines a unitary representation of Γ on $L^2(T)$, where T is equipped with normalized Lebesgue measure. We can (and will) identify $L^2(T)$, as Γ -space, with a closed $U(\Gamma)$ -invariant subspace of $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H)$. Denote by \mathcal{H} the orthogonal complement of $L^2(T)$ in $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H)$, so that we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Lambda \backslash H) = L^2(T) \oplus \mathcal{H}$$

into $U(\Gamma)$ -invariant subspaces. Here is our main result.

Theorem 1 *The matrix coefficients of the restriction of U to \mathcal{H} are strongly $L^{4n+2+\varepsilon}$: there are dense subspaces D_1 and D_2 of \mathcal{H} such that, for any $v \in D_1$ and $w \in D_2$, the matrix coefficient $\gamma \mapsto \langle U_\gamma v, w \rangle$ belongs to $\ell^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Concerning the proof of the previous theorem, we first show that the representation U is linked with Weil's representation, which is also known as Segal-Shale-Weil, metaplectic, or oscillator representation (see [Shal62], [Weil64]). The crucial tool is then a result from [HoMo79] about the decay of the matrix coefficients of Weil's representation.

Here is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. Recall that, if X is a locally compact space, $C_0(X)$ denotes the space of complex-valued continuous functions on X which tend to zero at infinity.

Corollary 2 *The restriction of the unitary representation U to \mathcal{H} is mixing: the matrix coefficients $\gamma \mapsto \langle U_\gamma v, w \rangle$ belong to $c_0(\Gamma)$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$.*

The previous corollary immediately implies that the ergodicity or mixing of the Γ -action on $\Lambda \backslash H$ is equivalent to the ergodicity or mixing of the Γ -action on T (see Corollary 6 below).

We apply Theorem 1 to the existence of a spectral gap for the random walk on $\Lambda \backslash H$ associated to a probability measure μ on $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$.

Theorem 3 *Let μ be a probability measure on $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$. Denote by Γ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ generated by the support of μ . Let U^0 and V^0 be the associated unitary representations of Γ on $L_0^2(\Lambda \backslash H)$ and $L_0^2(T)$ respectively. Then*

$$\|U^0(\mu)\| \leq \max\{\|V^0(\mu)\|, \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/(2n+2)}\},$$

where λ_Γ is the left regular representation of Γ on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. In particular, the action of Γ on $\Lambda \backslash H$ has a spectral gap if and only if the corresponding action of Γ on T has a spectral gap.

Example 4 Let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ such that its image $p(\Gamma) \subset GL_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ under the homomorphism $p : \text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T) \cong GL_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ acts irreducibly on \mathbf{R}^{2n} and does not have an abelian subgroup of finite index (this is for instance the case if $p(\Gamma)$ is Zariski dense in $GL_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$). Then, as shown in [FuSh99, Theorem 6.5], the action of Γ on T has a spectral gap.

In the case $n = 1$, we have the following more precise result.

Corollary 5 *Let $H = H_3(\mathbf{R})$ be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and Λ a lattice in H . Let μ be a probability measure on $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$. Then*

$$\|U^0(\mu)\| \leq \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/4},$$

where Γ is the subgroup generated by the support of μ . In particular, if μ is aperiodic, $\|U^0(\mu)\| < 1$ if and only if Γ is non-amenable.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to J.-P. Conze, A. Gamburd and Y. Guivarc'h for interesting discussions.

2 Proofs

We first recall the definition of the Heisenberg group $H = H_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$; we then describe the automorphism group of H as well as its irreducible unitary representations.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Consider the symplectic form β on \mathbf{R}^{2n} given by

$$\beta((x, y), (x', y')) = (x, y)^t J (x', y') \quad \text{for all } (x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbf{R}^{2n},$$

where J is the $(2n \times 2n)$ -matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and I_n is the $n \times n$ -identity matrix. The $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group (over \mathbf{R}) is the group $H = H_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ with underlying set $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \times \mathbf{R}$ and product

$$((x, y), s)((x', y'), t) = \left((x + x', y + y'), s + t + \frac{1}{2}\beta((x, y), (x', y')) \right),$$

for $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$. This is a two-step nilpotent Lie group. Its centre Z coincides with its commutator subgroup and is given by

$$Z = \{((0, 0), s) : s \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

The symplectic group $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$, which is the subgroup of $GL_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ of all matrices g with ${}^t g J g = J$, acts by automorphisms on $H = H_{2n+1}(\mathbf{R})$:

$$g((x, y), t) = (g(x, y), t) \quad \text{for all } g \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}), (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

As is well-known (see [Foll89, 1.22]), the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(H)$ of H is generated by:

- the inner automorphisms,
- the automorphisms defined by matrices from $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ as above,
- the dilations $((x, y), t) \mapsto ((rx, ry), r^2 t)$ for $r > 0$, and
- the inversion $i : ((x, y), t) \mapsto ((y, x), -t)$.

The connected component $\text{Aut}(H)_0$ of the identity in $\text{Aut}(H)$ is a subgroup of index two and can be viewed as the group of $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ -matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} rA & 0 \\ a^t & r^{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$, $r > 0$, and a a column vector in \mathbf{R}^{2n} (the action on H corresponding to the usual action on \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}). The subgroup of automorphisms of H fixing pointwise the centre can be identified with the group of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ a^t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and is hence isomorphic to the semi-direct product $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, for the standard action of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ on \mathbf{R}^{2n} .

The unitary dual \widehat{H} of H (that is, the set of classes of irreducible unitary representations of H under unitary equivalence) consists of the equivalence classes of the following representations (see [Foll89, 1.50]):

- the unitary characters of the abelianized group H/Z ;
- for every $t \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the infinite dimensional representation π_t defined on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by the formula

$$\pi_t((a, b), s)\xi(x) = \exp(2\pi its) \exp\left(2\pi\left\langle a, x - \frac{b}{2} \right\rangle\right) \xi(x - b)$$

for $((a, b), s) \in H$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

For $t \neq 0$, the representation π_t is, up to unitary equivalence, the unique irreducible unitary representation of H whose restriction to the centre Z is a multiple of the unitary character $s \mapsto \exp(2\pi its)$.

The group $\text{Aut}(H)$ acts on \widehat{H} by

$$\pi^g(h) = \pi(g^{-1}(h)) \quad \text{for all } \pi \in \widehat{H}, g \in \text{Aut}(H), h \in H.$$

Let $g \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$. For $t \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the representation π_t^g is unitary equivalent to π_t , since both representations have the same restriction to Z . Therefore, there exists a unitary operator $\sigma(g)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\sigma(g)\pi_t(g^{-1}(h))\sigma(g)^{-1} = \pi_t(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

By Schur's lemma, $\sigma(g)$ is unique up to a scalar multiple of the identity operator. Hence, for $g_1, g_2 \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$, there exists a complex number $c(g_1, g_2)$ of modulus one such that $\sigma(g_1)\sigma(g_2) = c(g_1, g_2)\sigma(g_1g_2)$. This means that $g \mapsto \sigma(g)$ is a projective unitary representation of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$. We extend σ to a projective unitary representation ω_t , called Weil's representation, of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ by setting

$$\omega_t(g, a) = \sigma(g)\pi_t(a) \quad \text{for all } (g, a) \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2n}.$$

Although we will not need this fact, it is worth mentioning that ω_t lifts to an ordinary representation of a two-fold cover of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ (see [Foll89, Chapter 4]).

How, let Λ be a lattice in H . (As an example, Λ can be the standard lattice $\{((x, y), s/2) : x, y \in \mathbf{Z}^n, s \in \mathbf{Z}\}$; a full classification of the lattices in H is given in [Ausl77, I. 2].)

The Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \times \mathbf{R}$ is a Haar measure on H and induces an invariant measure m on the nilmanifold $\Lambda \backslash H$. (For the classification of Γ -invariant measures on $\Lambda \backslash H$ for "large" groups $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$, see [Heu09].)

Proof of Theorem 1.

Let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$. Then Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$, for the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of H . Moreover, the subgroup of Γ consisting of the automorphisms fixing pointwise the centre of H has finite index in Γ . Indeed, the mapping

$$\text{Aut}(H)_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^*, \quad \begin{pmatrix} rA & 0 \\ a^t & r^{2n} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto r$$

is a homomorphism and the image of $\Gamma \cap \text{Aut}(H)_0$ is a discrete subgroup of \mathbf{R}^* .

It is clear that, if Theorem 1 is true for a subgroup of finite index in Γ , then it is true for Γ . So, we can (and will) assume that Γ is a subgroup of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2n}$.

Since every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ preserves the measure m on $\Lambda \backslash H$, we have an associated unitary representation $U : \gamma \mapsto U_\gamma$ of Γ on $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H, m)$.

Let $\rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}$ be the unitary representation of H on $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H, m)$ given by right translation:

$$\rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}(h)\xi(x) = \xi(xh) \quad \text{for all } h \in H, \xi \in L^2(\Lambda \backslash H, m), x \in \Lambda \backslash H.$$

The representations U and $\rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}$ are linked in the following way. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have:

$$(1) \quad U_\gamma \rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}(h) U_{\gamma^{-1}} = \rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}(\gamma(h)) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

We have a decomposition of $L^2(\Lambda \backslash H, m)$ into $\rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}$ -invariant subspaces

$$L^2(\Lambda \backslash H, m) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{H}_m,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \{\xi \in L^2(\Lambda \backslash H) : \rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}(0, 0, s)\xi = e^{2\pi i m s} \xi \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

The space \mathcal{H}_0 coincides with the space $L^2(T)$, where $T \cong \mathbf{R}^{2n}/\mathbf{Z}^{2n}$ is the maximal torus factor associated to $\Lambda \backslash H$. Moreover, for every $m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, the subspace \mathcal{H}_m is an isotypical component for $\rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}$ and is equivalent to a finite multiple of the irreducible representation π_m from above. (For a computation of the multiplicities, see [Toli78], [Moor65].)

Let $m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Since Γ fixes pointwise Z , we see from (1) that

$$U_\gamma(\mathcal{H}_m) = \mathcal{H}_m \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Denote by $U^{(m)}$ the restriction of U to \mathcal{H}_m .

Since \mathcal{H}_m is equivalent to a finite multiple of the irreducible representation π_m , we can assume that \mathcal{H}_m is the tensor product

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \mathcal{K}_m \otimes \mathcal{L}_m$$

of the Hilbert space \mathcal{K}_m of π_m with a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{L}_m , in such a way that

$$(2) \quad \rho_{\Lambda \backslash H}(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_m} = \pi_m(h) \otimes I_{\mathcal{L}_m} \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By (1) and (2) above, we have

$$(3) \quad U_\gamma^{(m)}(\pi_m(h) \otimes I_{\mathcal{L}_m}) U_{\gamma^{-1}}^{(m)} = \pi_m(\gamma(h)) \otimes I_{\mathcal{L}_m} \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

Let ω_m be Weil's representation from above. Recall that ω_m is a projective representation of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ defined on $\mathcal{K}_m = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and that ω_m extends π_m . We have

$$(4) \quad \omega_m(\gamma)\pi_m(h)\omega_m(\gamma)^{-1} = \pi_m(\gamma(h)) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

It follows from (3) and (4) that, on \mathcal{H}_m , the operator $(\omega_m(\gamma)^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{L}_m}) U_\gamma^{(m)}$ commutes with $(\pi_m(h) \otimes I_{\mathcal{L}_m})$ for all $h \in H$. Hence, since π_m is irreducible, there exists a bounded operator $V_\gamma^{(m)}$ on \mathcal{L}_m such that

$$(\omega_m(\gamma)^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{L}_m}) U_\gamma^{(m)} = I_{\mathcal{K}_m} \otimes V_\gamma^{(m)},$$

that is,

$$(5) \quad U_\gamma^{(m)} = \omega_m(\gamma) \otimes V_\gamma^{(m)}.$$

Since $U^{(m)}$ is a unitary representation, it is clear that $\gamma \mapsto V_\gamma^{(m)}$ is a projective unitary representation of Γ .

Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be Schwartz functions on \mathbf{R}^n . By [HoMo79, Proposition 6.4], for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the matrix coefficient

$$C_{\xi, \eta}^{\omega_m} : g \mapsto \langle \omega_m(g)\xi, \eta \rangle$$

of the metaplectic representation ω_m belongs to $L^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R}^{2n})$. Set $G = Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$; observe that Γ is a discrete and hence closed subgroup of G . Choosing a Borel subset $X \subset G$ which is a fundamental domain for the quotient space $\Gamma \backslash G$, we can write (compare with the proof of Proposition 6.4 in [Howe82])

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |C_{\xi, \eta}^{\omega_m}(g)|^{4n+2+\varepsilon} dg &= \int_G |\langle \omega_m(g)\xi, \eta \rangle|^{4n+2+\varepsilon} dg \\ &= \int_X \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle \omega_m(\gamma g)\xi, \eta \rangle|^{4n+2+\varepsilon} \right) dg \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Fubini's theorem, for almost every $g \in X$, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle \omega_m(\gamma)\omega_m(g)\xi, \eta \rangle|^{4n+2+\varepsilon} < \infty,$$

that is, $C_{\omega_m(g)\xi, \eta}^{\omega_m|_\Gamma} \in \ell^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$.

Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ contains a countable set which is dense in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, it follows that there exist dense subspaces $D_1^{(m)}$ and $D_2^{(m)}$ of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$C_{\xi, \eta}^{\omega_m|_\Gamma} \in \ell^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$$

for all $\xi \in D_1^{(m)}$ and $\eta \in D_2^{(m)}$.

Since $U_\gamma^{(m)} = \omega_m(\gamma) \otimes V_\gamma^{(m)}$ and since matrix coefficients of projective unitary representations are bounded, the matrix coefficients $C_{\xi \otimes \xi', \eta \otimes \eta'}^{U^{(m)}}$ of $U^{(m)}$ belong to $\ell^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ for $\xi \in D_1^{(m)}, \eta \in D_2^{(m)}$ and $\xi' \in \mathcal{L}_m, \eta' \in \mathcal{L}_m$.

Let now D_1, D_2 be the linear subspaces of \mathcal{H} generated respectively by

$$\{\xi \otimes \xi' : \xi \in D_1^{(m)}, \xi' \in \mathcal{L}_m, m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$$

and

$$\{\eta \otimes \eta' : \eta \in D_2^{(m)}, \eta' \in \mathcal{L}_m, m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Then D_1 and D_2 are dense in \mathcal{H} and the matrix coefficients $C_{v,w}^U$ belong to $\ell^{4n+2+\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ for $v \in D_1$ and $w \in D_2$. ■

Proof of Theorem 3

Let μ be a probability measure on $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \setminus H)$. Denote by Γ the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \setminus H)$ generated by the support of μ .

Let λ_Γ be the left regular representation of Γ on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. Let U^0 and V^0 be the corresponding unitary representations of Γ on $L_0^2(\Lambda \setminus H)$ and $L_0^2(T)$, respectively. We claim that

$$(6) \quad \|U^0(\mu)\| \leq \max\{\|V^0(\mu)\|, \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/k}\}$$

for $k = 2n + 2$.

Denoting by $U^\mathcal{H}$ the restriction of U to \mathcal{H} , it suffices to show that

$$(7) \quad \|U^\mathcal{H}(\mu)\| \leq \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/k}.$$

By Theorem 1, the matrix coefficients $C_{v,w}^{U^\mathcal{H}}$ of $U^\mathcal{H}$ are in $\ell^{2k}(\Gamma)$ for v and w in dense subspaces D_1 and D_2 of \mathcal{H} . It follows that the k -fold tensor power $(U^\mathcal{H})^{\otimes k}$ of $U^\mathcal{H}$ is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of an infinite multiple $\infty\lambda_\Gamma$ of λ_Γ (see [HoTa92, Chapter V, 1.2.4]). Hence,

$$\|(U^\mathcal{H})^{\otimes k}(\mu)\| \leq \|\infty\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\| = \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|$$

and Inequality (7) will be proved if we show that

$$(8) \quad \|U^\mathcal{H}(\mu)\| \leq \|(U^\mathcal{H})^{\otimes k}(\mu)\|^{1/k}.$$

To show Inequality (8), we use the following argument from [Nevo98]. Denote by $\check{\mu}$ the probability measure on Γ defined by $\check{\mu}(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma^{-1})$. For every vector $v \in \mathcal{H}$, using Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U(\mu)v\|^{2k} &= |\langle U(\check{\mu} * \mu)v, v \rangle|^k \\
&= \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle U(\gamma)v, v \rangle (\check{\mu} * \mu)(\gamma) \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle U(\gamma)v, v \rangle|^k (\check{\mu} * \mu)(\gamma) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle U(\gamma)^{\otimes k} v^{\otimes k}, v^{\otimes k} \rangle (\check{\mu} * \mu)(\gamma) \\
&= |\langle U^{\otimes k}(\check{\mu} * \mu)v^{\otimes k}, v^{\otimes k} \rangle| \\
&= \|U^{\otimes k}(\mu)v^{\otimes k}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|U^{\mathcal{H}}(\mu)\| \leq \| (U^{\mathcal{H}})^{\otimes k}(\mu) \|^{1/k}$, as claimed.

Assume that μ is aperiodic and that the Γ action on T has a spectral gap. Then, as mentioned in the Introduction, Γ is not amenable. Hence, $\|\lambda_{\Gamma}(\mu)\| < 1$ (see [BeHV08, G.4.2]) and therefore $\|U^0(\mu)\| < 1$, that is, the Γ action on $\Lambda \backslash H$ has a spectral gap. ■

Proof of Corollary 5

Let $H = H_3(\mathbf{R})$ be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and Λ a lattice in H . The unitary representation V of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$ on $L^2(T)$ factors through $p : \text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T) \cong GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ to the standard representation of $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ on $L^2(T) = L^2(\mathbf{R}^2/\mathbf{Z}^2)$. By Fourier duality, this last representation is unitarily equivalent to the representation of $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ on $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z}^2)$ obtained from the dual action of $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ on \mathbf{Z}^2 . We have an orthogonal decomposition into $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -invariant subspaces

$$\ell^2(\mathbf{Z}^2) = \mathbf{C}\delta_0 \bigoplus \bigoplus_{t \in T} \ell^2(GL_2(\mathbf{Z})/\Gamma_t),$$

where T is a set of representatives for the $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -orbits in $\mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and Γ_t is the stabilizer of t in $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Since every Γ_t is solvable (and hence amenable), it follows that V^0 is weakly contained in $\lambda_{GL_2(\mathbf{Z})} \circ p$ (see [BeHV08, Appendix F]). Hence, for every probability measure μ on $\text{Aut}(\Lambda \backslash H)$, we have

$$\|V^0(\mu)\| \leq \|(\lambda_{GL_2(\mathbf{Z})} \circ p)(\mu)\|.$$

Since

$$\ker p \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ a^t & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbf{R}^2 \right\} \cong \mathbf{R}^2,$$

$\ker p$ is amenable and it follows that

$$\|(\lambda_{GL_2(\mathbf{Z})} \circ p)(\mu)\| \leq \|\lambda_{\text{Aut}(\Lambda \setminus H)}(\mu)\|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|V^0(\mu)\| \leq \|\lambda_{\text{Aut}(\Lambda \setminus H)}(\mu)\|.$$

Denote by Γ the subgroup generated by the support of μ . Since

$$\|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\| = \|\lambda_{\text{Aut}(\Lambda \setminus H)}(\mu)\|,$$

it follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\|U^0(\mu)\| \leq \max\{\|V^0(\mu)\|, \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/4}\} = \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/4}.$$

Assume that μ is aperiodic. If Γ is non-amenable, then $\|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\| < 1$ and hence $\|U^0(\mu)\| < 1$. If Γ is amenable, then Γ has no spectral gap in $\Lambda \setminus H$. ■

3 Some further applications

Let G be a locally compact group acting by measure preserving transformations on a probability space (X, m) . Let U denote the associated unitary representation of G on $L^2(X, m)$. The action of G on X is weakly mixing if $L^2_0(X, m)$ contains no non-zero finite dimensional $U(G)$ -invariant subspace (equivalently: if the diagonal action of G on $X \times X$ is ergodic; see [BeMa00, Chapter I, 2.17]). The action is strongly mixing if, for all $\xi, \eta \in L^2_0(X, m)$, the matrix coefficient $g \mapsto \langle U_g \xi, \eta \rangle$ belongs to $C_0(G)$.

With the notation of Theorem 1, all matrix coefficients $C_{v,w}^U$ are in $c_0(\Gamma)$ for $v \in D_1$ and $w \in D_2$. By density of D_1 and D_2 in \mathcal{H} , the same is true for all $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows that \mathcal{H} contains no non-zero finite dimensional $U(G)$ -invariant subspace if Γ is infinite (see [BeMa00, Chapter I, 2.15.iii]). Therefore, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6 *Let Γ be a group of automorphisms of the compact Heisenberg nilmanifold $\Lambda \setminus H$ and T the maximal T torus factor associated to $\Lambda \setminus H$. The following properties are equivalent.*

(i) *The action of Γ on $\Lambda \backslash H$ is ergodic (weakly mixing or strongly mixing, respectively).*

(ii) *The action of Γ on T is ergodic (weakly mixing or strongly mixing, respectively).*

Remark 7 In the case where Γ is generated by a single automorphism (or even an affine transformation) of an arbitrary compact nilmanifold, the previous corollary was obtained by W. Parry (see [Parr69], [Parr70]). The result concerning ergodicity has been generalized by J.-P. Conze ([Conz09]) to arbitrary groups of affine transformations of a general compact nilmanifold $\Lambda \backslash H$. Moreover, [Conz09] gives an example of an ergodic group Γ of automorphisms of the standard 7-dimensional Heisenberg nilmanifold $\Lambda \backslash H_7(\mathbf{R})$ such that no element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts ergodically on $\Lambda \backslash H_7(\mathbf{R})$.

Let G be a locally compact group acting by measure preserving transformations on a probability space (X, m) and U the associated unitary representation of G on $L^2(X, m)$. Assume that $\|(U^0 \otimes U^0)(\mu)\| < 1$. This condition, which is formely stronger than the spectral gap condition $\|U^0(\mu)\| < 1$, plays an important role in [FuSh99]. Indeed, it is shown in Theorem 1.4 there that, with the notation as in the Introduction, for every $\xi, \eta \in L^2(X, m)$, the correlation coefficient $\langle U(S_n^\omega)\xi, \eta \rangle$ converge almost surely to $\int_X \xi dm \int_X \eta dm$, with exponentially fast speed.

However, we can see that both conditions are equivalent in our situation.

Corollary 8 *With the notation as in Theorem 3, the following properties are equivalent.*

(i) $\|(U^0 \otimes U^0)(\mu)\| < 1$;

(ii) $\|U^0(\mu)\| < 1$;

(iii) $\|V^0(\mu)\| < 1$.

Indeed, a proof similar to the one of Theorem 3 shows that the following inequality holds:

$$\|(U^0 \otimes U^0)(\mu)\| \leq \max\{\|(V^0 \otimes V^0)(\mu)\|, \|\lambda_\Gamma(\mu)\|^{1/k}\}.$$

On the other hand, as was shown in [FuSh99, Theorem 6.4], the condition $\|V^0(\mu)\| < 1$ is equivalent to the condition $\|(V^0 \otimes V^0)(\mu)\| < 1$. This shows the equivalence of Conditions (i), (ii), and (iii).

References

- [Ausl77] L. Auslander. *Lecture notes on nil-theta functions*, CBMS Regional Conference Series **34**, American Math. Soc. 1977
- [BeHV08] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, A. Valette. *Kazhdan's Property (T)*, Cambridge University Press 2008.
- [BeMa00] B. Bekka, M. Mayer. *Ergodic theory and topological dynamics of group actions on homogeneous spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **269**, Cambridge University Press 2000.
- [Conz09] J.-P. Conze. Action d'un groupe d'applications affines sur une nil-variété compacte. Preprint 2009
- [Foll89] G.B. Folland. *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **122**, Princeton University Press 1989.
- [FuSh99] A. Furman, Y. Shalom. Sharp ergodic theorems for group actions and strong ergodicity. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **19**, 1037–1061 (1999).
- [Guiv05] Y. Guivarc'h. Limit theorems for random walks and products of random matrices. In: *Probability measures on groups: recent directions and trends*, 255–330, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2006.
- [Heu09] J-R. Heu. Dynamical properties of groups of automorphisms on Heisenberg nilmanifolds. *Geometriae Dedicata*, to appear.
- [Howe82] R. Howe. On a notion of rank for unitary representations of the classical groups. In: *Harmonic analysis and group representations*. 223–331, Liguori, Naples, 1982.
- [HoMo79] R. Howe, C. C. Moore. Asymptotic properties of unitary representations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **32**, 72–96 (1979).
- [HoTa92] R. Howe, E.C. Tan. *Non-abelian harmonic analysis*, Springer 1992.
- [JuRo79] A. del Junco, J. Rosenblatt. Counterexamples in ergodic theory and number theory. *Math. Ann.* **245**, 185–197 (1979).

- [Lubo94] A. Lubotzky. *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Birkhäuser 1994.
- [Moor65] C. C. Moore. Decomposition of unitary representations defined by discrete subgroups of nilpotent Lie groups. *Ann. Math.* **82**, 146–182 (1965).
- [Nevo98] A. Nevo. Spectral transfer and pointwise ergodic theorems for semisimple Kazhdan groups, *Math. Res. Letters* **5**, 305-325, 1998.
- [Parr69] W. Parry. Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* **9**, 757–771 (1969).
- [Parr70] W. Parry. Dynamical systems on nilmanifolds. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **2**, 37–40 (1970).
- [Sarn90] P. Sarnak. *Some applications of modular forms*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Schmi80] K. Schmidt. Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ on the 2-sphere. *Israel J. Math.* **37**, 193–208 (1980).
- [Shal62] D. Shale. Linear symmetries of free boson fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103**, 149–167 (1962).
- [Toli78] R. Tolimieri. Heisenberg manifolds and theta functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **239**, 293–319 (1978).
- [Weil64] A. Weil. Sur certains groupes d’opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.* **111**, 143–211 (1964).

Address

UFR Mathématiques, Université de Rennes 1,
 Campus Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes Cedex
 France
 E-mail : bachir.bekka@univ-rennes1.fr, jean-romain.heu@univ-rennes1.fr